



Correction to: Why perceived organizational and supervisory family support is important for organizations? Evidence from the field

Özgür Demirtaş¹ · Aykut Arslan² · Mustafa Karaca³

Published online: 9 March 2019
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019

Correction to: Rev Manag Sci

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11846-017-0264-x>

Under Table 1, the explanation regarding the dummy variables that represent gender is reverse coded. In the corrected version of Table 1 and its legend, this gender dummy has been recoded.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11846-017-0264-x>.

✉ Aykut Arslan
aarslan@pirireis.edu.tr

Özgür Demirtaş
ozgurdemirtas@yahoo.com

Mustafa Karaca
mkaraca@inonu.edu.tr

¹ Kayseri, Turkey

² International Business and Trade, Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences, Piri Reis University, Tuzla-Istanbul, Turkey

³ Public Relations, Faculty of Communication, İnönü University, Malatya, Turkey

Table 1 Mean, standard deviations and correlations

Variables	Mean (SD)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1. Gender	.63 (.37)	—									
2. Age	44.7 (8.14)	.02	—								
3. Job experience	12.7 (4.36)	.05	.25**	—							
4. POFS	5.03 (2.15)	.17*	.03	.07	—						
5. PSFS	4.74 (1.97)	.15*	.08	.03	.41***	—					
6. O-ID	4.53 (1.86)	.22*	.18*	.17*	.35***	.38***	—				
7. PCB	3.87 (1.41)	.07	-.06	-.04	-.25*	-.29**	-.26**	—			
8. ER	4.64 (1.90)	.16*	.19*	.27**	.19*	.21**	.14*	-.29**	—		
9. WIF	4.51 (1.63)	.07	-.04	-.02	-.28***	-.16*	-.17*	.26***	-.23**	—	
10. FW	4.14 (1.52)	.11*	-.01	-.05	-.18*	-.20***	-.21***	.08	-.07	.27**	—

The bold elements in the main diagonal are AVEs

POFS perceived organizational family support, PSFS perceived supervisory family support, PCB psychological contract breach, WIF work interferes with family, FW family interferes with work, O-ID organizational identification, ER emotion regulation

* $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$ (two-tailed), gender was dummy coded (0 = female, 1 = male)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.