

www.jart.icat.unam.mx



Journal of Applied Research and Technology 22 (2024) 251-257

Original

# The effect of surface roughness parameters on frictional properties of AlSi10Mg alloy

Riyadh A. Al-Samarai<sup>a\*</sup> • Abdulsalam Y. Obaid<sup>b</sup> • Y. Al-Douri<sup>c,d</sup>

 <sup>a</sup>Electromechanical Engineering Department, Engineering College, University of Samarra, Samarra – 34010, Iraq
<sup>b</sup>Engineering Affairs Department, University of Fallujah, Iraq
<sup>c</sup>Nanotechnology and Catalysis Research Center (NANOCAT), University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
<sup>d</sup>Faculty of Engineering, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Piri Reis University, Eflatun Sk. No. 8, 34940 Tuzla, Istanbul, Turkiye

> Received 09 01 2023; accepted 03 06 2024 Available 04 30 2024

**Abstract:** Experimental study of the effects of surface roughness parameters and their relationship to the coefficient of friction (COF) on AlSi10Mg alloy. The information demonstrates that the average surface roughness (Ra) directly correlates with COF during sliding. This study aims to study the effect of surface roughness characteristics on AlSi10Mg alloy and the relationship between the coefficient of friction (COF), the maximum lateral height (Rt), as well as the roughness parameters (Ra). The alloy samples are chemically treated in neutral mode for 0, 5, 10, 15, 25, and 50 minutes, and then evaluated under different conditions for changes in the effect of surface roughness. Surface roughness was measured, 3D surface measurements were performed, and the coefficient of friction was determined using a T-05 tri-mass caliper. As well as using MATLAB to analyze the data. When the results were verified experimentally, it was found that the average surface roughness (Ra) and coefficient of friction in sliding settings were correlated with each other, and the data indicated a strong positive relationship with (Rt). After a 50-minute testing process, a 20% increase in Ra resulted in a higher COF of 118.07%.

Keywords: Surface roughness; AlSi10Mg alloy; COF, Ra, Frictional properties

\*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: riyadh2824@ yahoo.com (Riyadh A. Al-Samarai).

Peer Review under the responsibility of Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

## 1. Introduction

Reliable surface roughness measurement is essential to ensure and reliability of the finished product, as surface roughness is a critical parameter in many industrial applications and has a significant impact on the quality of equipment consisting of many machined components (Menezes et al., 2006) is the one that is most frequently used for quality control. This characteristic is not sensitive to minute profile changes, but it can provide a fair overall description of height variations. As a result, there is no significant link between Ra and coefficient of friction (COF) when comparing two surfaces, which may have highly distinct profiles but are like Ra (Menezes et al., 2008). Many distinct factors, such as maximum profile height (Rt), are defined as the separation between the most crucial factor in sliding wear under lubricated conditions that are suggested to be a space between the greatest and lowest trough peaks in the computed surface length. The combination of amplitude and spacing results in hybrid parameters, which are well related to COF, according to previous studies (Bigerelle et al., 2013; Gualtieri et al., 2011; Menezes et al., 2009; Menezes & Kailas, 2016). One of these hybrid parameters (a) has been found to describe changes in COF (Menezes et al., 2011). Its definition is the average of slopes between every two succeeding locations of estimated length. (Koura & Omar, 1981) have shown at least 59 distinct roughness parameters. One of the earliest methods of micromachining is chemical processing. Chemical etching can be used to alter the surface roughness characteristics of items for a variety of reasons (Lee et al., 2002) by using a strong acidic or alkaline chemical solution. AlSi10Mg alloy components are chemically etched for a specific application to examine how it affects various surface roughness characteristics and how relates to COF. Recently, it has become more important than ever to learn more about friction in sheet metal stamping processes. The tensile bend test, which has been studied extensively, is currently the most widely used method for determining the COF (Deng, Tieu, Su et al., 2020). To imitate the same deep drawing die radius conditions, the strip is bent and threaded through a pin with a certain radius (R) in this test. This test is used by Lee et al. (2002) to investigate the connection between COF, lubricating film thickness, and surface roughness. (Ceretti et al., 2008) have used "pin on the disc" to examine how COF changed based on the workpiece's speed, pressure, and temperature. However, specific tools are needed for the test created for this use; no maker of such a device exists. A simulation program is utilized by others (Darendeliler et al., 2002) to estimate the COF using easier techniques such as sheet-only tribological testing, like a pin-on-disk test. (Kim et al., 2007) investigated the performance of five lubricants that considered changes in

surface topography and roughness, punching force, shelf perimeter, and workpiece holder force. A software,

PAMSTAMP is used to calculate the COF and compare the measured and calculated results. (Murakawa & Takeuchi 2003) used a diamond-like carbon (DLC) coated ball to measure the COF when the ball moved on an aluminum sheet. (Guillon et al., 2001) have utilized an additional friction experiment; however, the slider is cylindrical to complete the study of surface topography using several instrument spans. The impact of various surface post-treatment techniques and use of various lubricants are examined by Fratini et al. (2006) They have discovered that Teflon has the lowest friction and lubrication is necessary to reduce friction, where chromium alone is insufficient.

(Hao et al., 1999) have researched variations of stamping speed, lubricant employed, and pin material in response to sheet deformation provided more significant information. Other side, (Lovell et al., 2006) examined the COF in connection with using ecologically friendly oils, they discovered that canola oil infused with a blend of boric acid gives satisfactory results for friction. Torques are measured on a pin during testing subjected to friction from actuation and back-pull forces. It is expected for further studies on friction and its relationship with surface roughness parameters, which open the horizons for future experimental works and theoretical calculations (Li et al., 2022). This work aims to investigate the relationship and interaction between the surface roughness parameters (Ra), maximum profile height (Rt), and average profile slope on COF of AlSi10Mg alloys, considering the surface quality criterion described by roughness parameters, and their effect on the Frictional properties. This work is divided into the following: Section 2 is devoted to experimental work, followed by elaborate results and discussion in Section 3. Finally, the conclusions are presented in Section 4.

#### 2. Materials and methods

The AlSi10Mg alloy samples utilized in this experiment have 14. 5 x 8 x 6.5 mm in size and put through a chemical treatment using an alkaline substance. The outcomes are compared using various chemical treatment times. The samples undergo a chemical treatment by being submerged in an alkaline solution for different periods and changing the surface of the samples. The intervals of chemical treatment are 0, 5, 10-, 15-, 25- and 50-min. T-05 block-on-ring tribometer is used to perform tribomechanical testing on samples in dry conditions, tribotester "block-on-ring" has (a) A diagram of the apparatus. b) Test location and (c) samples of blocks and rings (Figure 1). In this test, a load is put on the samples while the ring rotates at a specific pace. The equipment records the frictional force for every test, and COF may be calculated by dividing this number of COF by the applied force. To ensure that all starting average roughness values are the same, 2.25 m for all samples, the roughness is determined with an optical 3D measuring device before testing. AlSi10Mg alloy and AISI D2 (cold wrought steel) are materials used for block and ring samples, respectively.



Figure 1. Tribotester "block-on-ring": (a) An apparatus diagram. b) Test location. (c) Samples of blocks and rings.

All experiments are performed at a temperature of 27 °C, with 495 N load and 25 rpm spin for 35 seconds. The MathWorks MATLAB 2018 program specifically processed the test data to derive the parameters a, Rt, and Ra. It is crucial to highlight that, using Dixon's methodology, five runs are completed for each parameter, giving a 95% confidence level.

Equations 1-3 are employed to compute Ra, Rt and  $\Delta a,$  respectively.

$$R_a = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i| \tag{1}$$

$$R_t = R_p + R_v \tag{2}$$

$$\Delta a = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{\delta y_i}{\delta x_i} \right| \tag{3}$$

Where *yi* is vertical, *Rp* is tallest peak and *Rv* is valley's lowest point's depth, all are relative to the horizontal axis; *n* is amount of data collected,  $\delta yi$  is deviation between a series of vertical coordinates and  $\delta xi$  separates two adjacent horizontal coordinates (Matuszak, 2000).

2.26µm

1.71µm



Figure 2. Chemical etching test's representative 3-D surface pictures with the corresponding Ra and COF

#### 3. Results and discussion

Figure 2 illustrates the related 3-dimensional of picture samples materials' surfaces together with COF and Ra values that are discovered from the study. It should be noted that although the COFs values fluctuate significantly for samples exposed for 0 –25 min, there are no appreciable changes in Ra. This demonstrates that this parameter does not directly correlate with samples' frictional behavior (Lanzon et al., 1998; Matuszak, 2000). The average values of COF, Ra, Rt, and are displayed in Table 1. According to the findings, the samples exposed to chemicals for 25 minutes have greatest COF and Ra levels, which increased by 118% and 5.5%, respectively, compared with other samples. Additionally, it should be noted that samples exposed to chemicals for 25 minutes have 23% maximum rise in value compared to samples that are not expo

sed chemical treatment (Matuszak, 2000). A prolonged chemical treatment. 50 min has the effect of surface polishing, resulting in a reduction in all surface roughness and COF indicators. Figure 3 shows the comparison of COF with Ra, while Ra values are similar, COF results are noticeably different, especially for chemical exposure lasting between 0 and 25 minutes. A definite association is not seen due to the same COF is present in specimens with extremely varying Ra values (chemical attack for 50 min). According to certain studies (Darendeliler et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2007) two surfaces can have the same Ra but differing friction properties. This is attributed to the average roughness parameter, which is solely sensitive to height deviation from main profile and does not effectively characterize the surface topography. As a result, there is no direct correlation between Ra and COF behavior as confirmed by previous studies (Darendeliler et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2007).



Figure 3. Ra and COF relationship. One should be aware that a strict correlation cannot be found.

Attack Time (min)	∆a(mrad)	Rt (µm)	Ra (µm)	COF	Increment (%) COF
0.0	93	8.45	2.22	0.076	-
05	105	8.96	2.22	0.0765	21.32
10	107	8.77	2.14	0.144	61.55
15	113	8.66	2.17	0.0712	-1.88
25	94	8.74	2.26	0.1656	118.07
50	97	7.75	1.71	0.0660	-17.76

Fable 1. The test results	' parameters Ra, Rt and	COF.
---------------------------	-------------------------	------

Figure 4 depicts the relationship between COF and Ra. There is a distinct pattern; typically, COF increases as Ra increases, demonstrating a positive correlation between the mentioned two. According to several studies, there is a high association between COF and Ra, making this relationship the strongest for all parameters According to (Lee et al., 2002), Changes in slopes, denoted by Ra, which influence the mechanical properties of friction, the occurrence of fatigue failure, elastic contact, and the hydrodynamic lubrication effect, can help clarify this relationship. Rt and COF is shown in Figure 5. This agrees with (Koura & Omar, 1981; Menezes & Kailas, 2016), who discovered a general tendency towards increasing in COF and increasing of Rt as well. A contour sketch of correlation between Ra, Rt and COF, can be shown in Figure 6. Here, it is demonstrated that a greater COF can be obtained if Ra or Rt are high.



Figure 5. Relationship COF and Rt.

Through the results, we have noted that the relationship between roughness parameters (Ra,Rt) and COF measured on designed and chemically treated samples can be somewhat complicated as it can partially reduce the surface roughness during continuous sliding, while the processing followed by polishing is most effective in producing smooth surfaces (Ceretti et al., 2008).

Figure 7 illustrates a contour of relationship between Ra and COF. The COF values gradually increase with the increase of Ra

values, and it is also obvious that different Ra values are affected by the increase of COF values. It is no clear correlation between the Rt and Ra (Figure 8). A lower COF may be obtained from lower values of Rt. Finally, the effect of any surface feature is removed by chemical treatment. We have observed the relationship between roughness parameters (Ra, Rt) with COF and after careful examination of all surfaces, there is evidence of correlation between the mentioned parameters and friction (Heidarzadeh et al., 2019).

The frictional force acting on actual deformation affects the actual contact areas (Figure 9a), when it penetrates the surface roughness in softer areas (Figure 9b). One of the key factors influencing the fatigue behavior of metals is the surface roughness of parts that are conventionally fabricated (Matuszak, 2000). Given the surface textures produced by laser processing, it has been explored how roughness affects fatigue strength (Huang et al., 2019).





Figure 8. Relationship COF and ∆a, Rt.



Figure 9. Friction force in metal-to-metal contact.

The average values of COF, Ra, Rt, and are displayed in Table 1. According to the findings, the samples exposed to chemicals for 25 minutes have the greatest COF and Ra levels, which increased by 118% and 5.5%, respectively, compared with other samples. Additionally, it should be noted that samples exposed chemicals for 25 minutes have 23% maximum rise in value compared to samples that are not exposed chemical treatment (Ceretti et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2002). A prolonged chemical treatment of 50 min has the effect of surface polishing, resulting in a reduction in all surface roughness and COF indicators (Deng, Tieu, Lan et al., 2020; Hao et al., 1999; Lovell et al., 2006).

## 4. Conclusions

In this research, a chemical change was performed on the surface roughness characteristics of the AlSi10Mg alloy sample directly. However, a direct relationship between Ra and COF was discovered. It follows that high Ra values will always lead to corresponding high COF values. The results showed that changes in inclination have a more pronounced and immediate effect on COF. After a 50-minute testing process, a 20% increase in Ra resulted in a higher COF of 118.07%. Alkaline substances were used for chemical treatment. Long-term chemical strike firing is not recommended because it will increase Ra in industrial applications, which will affect the surface roughness of the samples and track stability. Although there is only about 4  $\mu$ m of surface roughness, this significantly reduces the surface quality.

# Conflict of interest

The authors have disclosed no conflicts of interest.

## Acknowledgements

To the University of Samarra and the Faculty of Engineering instructors for all the help that went into making this research a reality.

## Funding

The authors did not receive any financial support to complete this research.

#### References

Bigerelle, M., Najjar, D., Mathia, T., Iost, A., Coorevits, T., & Anselme, K. (2013). An expert system to characterise the surfaces morphological properties according to their tribological functionalities: The relevance of a pair of roughness parameters. *Tribology International*, *59*, 190-202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.triboint.2012.04.027

Ceretti, E., Fiorentino, A., & Giardini, C. (2008). Process parameters influence friction coefficient in sheet forming operations. *International Journal of Material Forming*, *1*, 1219-1222.

https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12289-008-0161-6

Darendeliler, H., Akkök, M., & Yücesoy, C. A. (2002). Effect of variable friction coefficient on sheet metal drawing. *Tribology International*, *35*(2), 97-104. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-679X(01)00099-8

Deng, G., Tieu, A. K., Lan, X., Su, L., Wang, L., Zhu, Q., & Zhu, H. (2020). Effects of normal load and velocity on the dry sliding tribological behaviour of CoCrFeNiMo0. 2 high entropy alloy. *Tribology international*, *144*, 106116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.triboint.2019.106116

Deng, G., Tieu, A. K., Su, L., Wang, P., Wang, L., Lan, X., ... & Zhu, H. (2020). Investigation into reciprocating dry sliding friction and wear properties of bulk CoCrFeNiMo high entropy alloys fabricated by spark plasma sintering and subsequent cold rolling processes: Role of Mo element concentration. *Wear 460, 203440.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2020.203440

Fratini, L., Casto, S. L., & Valvo, E. L. (2006). A technical note on an experimental device to measure friction coefficient in sheet metal forming. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, *172*(1), 16-21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2005.08.008

Gualtieri, E., Pugno, N., Rota, A., Spagni, A., Lepore, E., & Valeri, S. (2011). Role of roughness parameters on the tribology of randomly nano-textured silicon surface. *Journal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology*, *11*(10), 9244-9250. https://doi.org/10.1166/jnn.2011.4296

Guillon, O., Roizard, X., & Belliard, P. (2001). Experimental methodology to study tribological aspects of deep drawing—application to aluminium alloy sheets and tool coatings. *Tribology International*, *34*(11), 757-766. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-679X(01)00069-X Heidarzadeh, A., Barenji, R. V., Khalili, V., & Güleryüz, G. (2019). Optimizing the friction stir welding of the  $\alpha/\beta$  brass plates to obtain the highest strength and elongation. *Vacuum*, *159*, 152-160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vacuum.2018.10.036

Huang, Y., Xie, Y., Meng, X., Li, J., & Zhou, L. (2019). Joint formation mechanism of high depth-to-width ratio friction stir welding. *Journal of materials science & technology*, *35*(7), 1261-1269.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmst.2019.01.016

Hao, S., Klamecki, B. E., & Ramalingam, S. (1999). Friction measurement apparatus for sheet metal forming. *Wear*, 224(1), 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1648(98)00288-9

Kim, H., Sung, J. H., Sivakumar, R., & Altan, T. (2007). Evaluation of stamping lubricants using the deep drawing test. *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, 47(14), 2120-2132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmachtools.2007.04.014

Koura, M. M., & Omar, M. A. (1981). The effect of surface parameters on friction. *Wear*, *73*(2), 235-246. https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1648(81)90293-3

Lanzon, J. M., Cardew-Hall, M. J., & Hodgson, P. D. (1998). Characterising frictional behaviour in sheet metal forming. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, *80*, 251-256.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-0136(98)00110-1

Lee, B. H., Keum, Y. T., & Wagoner, R. H. (2002). Modeling of the friction caused by lubrication and surface roughness in sheet metal forming. *Journal of materials processing technology*, *130*, 60-63. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-0136(02)00784-7

Li, Z., Ma, F., Li, D., Wan, S., Yi, G., Geng, G., & Guo, L. (2022). Enhanced Mechanical and Tribological Capabilities of a Silicon Aluminum Alloy with an Electroplated Ni–Co–P/Si3N4 Composite Coating. *Metals*, *12*(1), 120. https://doi.org/10.3390/met12010120

Lovell, M., Higgs, C. F., Deshmukh, P., & Mobley, A. (2006). Increasing formability in sheet metal stamping operations using environmentally friendly lubricants. *Journal of materials processing technology*, *177*(1-3), 87-90.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2006.04.045

Matuszak, A. (2000). Factors influencing friction in steel sheet forming. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, *106*(1-3), 250-253.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-0136(00)00625-7

Menezes, P. L., Kishore, & Kailas, S. V. (2006). Effect of roughness parameter and grinding angle on coefficient of friction when sliding of Al–Mg alloy over EN8 steel. https://doiorg/10.1115/1.2345401

Menezes, P. L., Kishore, & Kailas, S. V. (2008). Influence of roughness parameters on coefficient of friction under lubricated conditions. *Sadhana*, *33*, 181-190. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12046-008-0011-8

Menezes, P. L., Kishore, & Kailas, S. V. (2009). Influence of roughness parameters and surface texture on friction during sliding of pure lead over 080 M40 steel. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, *43*, 731-743. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00170-008-1756-2

Menezes, P. L., Kailas, S. V., & Lovell, M. R. (2011). Friction and transfer layer formation in polymer–steel tribo-system: role of surface texture and roughness parameters. *Wear*, *271*(9-10), 2213-2221.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2010.12.047

Menezes, P. L., Kishore, Kailas, S. V., & Lovell, M. R. (2011). Role of surface texture, roughness, and hardness on friction during unidirectional sliding. *Tribology letters*, *41*, 1-15. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11249-010-9676-3

Menezes, P. L., & Kailas, S. V. (2016). Role of surface texture and roughness parameters on friction and transfer film formation when UHMWPE sliding against steel. *Biosurface and Biotribology*, *2*(1), 1-10.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bsbt.2016.02.001

Murakawa, M., & Takeuchi, S. (2003). Evaluation of tribological properties of DLC films used in sheet forming of aluminum sheet. *Surface and Coatings Technology*, *163*, 561-565. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0257-8972(02)00624-2