



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Physics Letters B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb



Measurement of the WZ production cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration*

CERN, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 23 July 2016

Received in revised form 10 December 2016

Accepted 7 January 2017

Available online xxx

Editor: M. Doser

Keywords:

CMS

Physics

Electroweak

ABSTRACT

The WZ production cross section in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV is measured with the CMS experiment at the LHC using a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 2.3 fb^{-1} . The measurement is performed in the leptonic decay modes $WZ \rightarrow \ell\nu\ell'\ell'$, where $\ell, \ell' = e, \mu$. The measured cross section for the range $60 < m_{\ell'\ell'} < 120$ GeV is $\sigma(\text{pp} \rightarrow \text{WZ}) = 39.9 \pm 3.2(\text{stat})_{-3.1}^{+2.9}(\text{syst}) \pm 0.4(\text{theo}) \pm 1.3(\text{lumi})$ pb, consistent with the standard model prediction.

© 2017 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

Measurements of the cross sections for massive gauge boson pair production in proton–proton collisions provide an essential test of the electroweak sector of the standard model (SM). The electroweak interaction in the SM is determined by the non-Abelian $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ gauge group. The non-Abelian nature of the electroweak gauge group leads to gauge boson self-interactions via triple gauge couplings (TGCs) and quartic gauge couplings (QGCs). In addition, weak gauge boson pairs can be produced through QGCs in vector boson scattering interactions. Thus, the study of diboson production can directly test both the weak interaction and the non-Abelian nature of the electroweak gauge group. The next-to-leading order (NLO) and next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) perturbative QCD corrections for the boson pair production have substantial impact on the predicted cross sections due to the addition of the gluon-initiated processes that are enhanced at energies available at the CERN LHC. The increase in the cross section is significant compared to the experimental uncertainties, allowing LHC boson pair cross section measurements to directly validate higher-order perturbative QCD calculations.

The observation of WZ production in proton–antiproton collisions at the Tevatron collider was reported by the CDF [1,2] and D0 [3] experiments. The WZ production cross section in proton–proton collisions has been measured at the LHC by the CMS ex-

periment at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [4] and the ATLAS experiment at $\sqrt{s} = 7, 8,$ and 13 TeV [5–7]. All measurements are in good agreement with SM predictions.

This paper reports the CMS measurement of the WZ production cross section in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. The measurement is performed using the leptonic decay modes $WZ \rightarrow \ell\nu\ell'\ell'$, where $\ell, \ell' = e, \mu$.

2. The CMS detector

The CMS detector is described in detail elsewhere [8]. The key components for this analysis are summarized here. The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the superconducting solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter, which provide the pseudorapidity coverage $|\eta| < 1.479$ in a barrel section and $1.479 < |\eta| < 3.0$ in two endcap sections. Forward calorimeters extend the coverage to $|\eta| < 5.0$. Muons are measured in gas-ionization detectors embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid.

The first level of the CMS trigger system, composed of custom hardware processors, is designed to select the most interesting events in less than $4 \mu\text{s}$ using information from the calorimeters and muon detectors. The high-level-trigger processor farm decreases the event rate from almost 100 kHz to around 1 kHz, before data storage.

* E-mail address: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.01.011>

0370–2693/© 2017 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP³.

3. Data and Monte Carlo samples

This measurement uses a sample of proton–proton collisions collected in 2015 at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. The integrated luminosity of the sample is 2.3 fb^{-1} . Several Monte Carlo (MC) event generators are used to simulate the signal and background processes.

The WZ signal is generated at NLO in perturbative QCD with POWHEG2.0 [9–12]. The ZZ production via $q\bar{q}$ annihilation is generated at NLO using POWHEG2.0, while the $gg \rightarrow ZZ$ process is simulated at leading-order with MCFM 7.0 [13]. The $Z\gamma$, $t\bar{t}V$ ($t\bar{t}W$, $t\bar{t}Z$), tZ , and triboson events VVV (WWZ, WZZ, ZZZ) are generated at NLO with MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO [14]. The ZZ samples are scaled to the cross section calculated at NNLO for $q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ$ [15] (scaling k factor 1.1) and at NLO for $gg \rightarrow ZZ$ [16] (scaling k factor 1.7). The PYTHIA 8.175 [17] program is used for parton showering, hadronization, and underlying event simulation using the CUETP8M1 tune [18]. The NNPDF3.0 [19] set of parton distribution functions (PDFs) is used, unless otherwise specified.

For all processes, the detector response is simulated using a detailed description of the CMS detector, based on the GEANT4 package [20], and the event reconstruction is performed with the same algorithms used for data. The simulated samples include additional interactions per bunch crossing (pileup) taken from minimum-bias events generated with PYTHIA. The simulated events are weighted so that the pileup distribution matches the measured one, with an average of about 11 pileup interactions per bunch crossing.

4. Event reconstruction

Using the information from all CMS subdetectors, a particle-flow (PF) technique is employed to identify and reconstruct the individual particles emerging from each collision event [21,22]. The particles are classified into mutually exclusive categories: charged hadrons, neutral hadrons, photons, muons, and electrons.

Electrons are reconstructed within the geometrical acceptance $|\eta^e| < 2.5$. The reconstruction combines the information from clusters of energy deposits in the ECAL and the trajectory in the tracker [23]. Electron identification relies on the electromagnetic shower shape and other observables based on tracker and calorimeter information. The selection criteria depend on the transverse momentum, p_T , and $|\eta|$, and on a categorization according to observables that are sensitive to the amount of bremsstrahlung emitted along the trajectory in the tracker. Two working points are defined: *tight* and *very tight*.

Muons are reconstructed within $|\eta^\mu| < 2.4$ [24]. The reconstruction combines the information from both the tracker and the muon spectrometer. The muons are selected from among the reconstructed muon track candidates by applying minimal quality requirements on the track components in the muon system and by ensuring that muons are associated to small energy deposits in the calorimeters. A single *tight* working point is defined.

The electrons and muons are required to originate from the primary vertex, which is chosen to be the vertex with the highest sum of p_T^2 of its constituent tracks [25]. For each lepton track the distance of closest approach to the primary vertex in the transverse plane, d_{xy} , is required to be less than 0.01 (0.07) cm for electrons in the barrel (endcap) region and 0.01 cm for muons with p_T less than 20 GeV and 0.02 cm for muons with p_T greater than 20 GeV. The distance along the beamline, d_z , must be less than 0.4 (0.6) cm for electrons in the barrel (endcap) and 0.1 cm for muons. For the *very tight* electron working point, electrons must pass $d_{xy} \leq 0.01$ (0.04) cm and $d_z \leq 0.05$ (0.4) cm in the barrel (endcap) region.

Jets are reconstructed using PF objects. The anti- k_T jet clustering algorithm [26] with $R = 0.4$ is used. The standard method for

jet energy corrections [27] is applied. These include corrections to the pileup contribution that keep the jet energy correction and the corresponding uncertainty almost independent of the number of pileup interactions. To exclude electrons and muons from the jet sample, the jets are required to be separated from the identified leptons by $\Delta R > 0.3$. In order to reject jets coming from pileup collisions (pileup jets), a multivariate-based jet identification algorithm [28] is applied. This algorithm takes advantage of differences in the shape of energy deposits in a jet cone between hard-scatter and pileup jets. The jets are required to have $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 5.0$. To identify the top quark background contribution in its decay to b quarks, the CSVv2 b tagging algorithm [29] with the *tight* working point is used [30]. The efficiency for selecting b quark jets is $\approx 49\%$ with a misidentification probability of $\approx 4\%$ for c quark jets and $\approx 0.1\%$ for light quark jets.

The isolation of individual electrons or muons is defined relative to their transverse momentum p_T^ℓ by summing over the transverse momenta of charged hadrons and neutral particles within a cone with radius $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2} < 0.4$ around the lepton direction at the interaction vertex:

$$I^\ell = \left(\sum p_T^{\text{charged}} + \max \left[0, \sum p_T^{\text{neutral}} + \sum p_T^\gamma - p_T^{\text{PU}} \right] \right) / p_T^\ell. \quad (1)$$

Here, $\sum p_T^{\text{charged}}$ is the scalar sum of the transverse momenta of charged hadrons originating from the primary vertex. The $\sum p_T^{\text{neutral}}$ and $\sum p_T^\gamma$ are the scalar sums of the transverse momenta for neutral hadrons and photons, respectively. The neutral contribution to the isolation from pileup events, p_T^{PU} , is estimated differently for electrons and muons. For electrons, $p_T^{\text{PU}} \equiv \rho A_{\text{eff}}$, where the average transverse momentum flow density ρ is calculated in each event using the “jet area” method [31], which defines ρ as the median of the ratio of the jet transverse momentum to the jet area, $p_T^{\text{jet}}/A_{\text{jet}}$, for all pileup jets in the event. The effective area A_{eff} is the geometric area of the isolation cone times an η -dependent correction factor that accounts for the residual dependence of the isolation on the pileup. For muons, $p_T^{\text{PU}} \equiv 0.5 \sum_i p_T^{\text{PU},i}$, where i runs over the charged hadrons originating from pileup vertices and the factor 0.5 corrects for the ratio of charged to neutral particle contributions in the isolation cone. Electrons are considered isolated if $I^e < 0.08$ (0.07) for the barrel (endcap) region, while muons are considered isolated if $I^\mu < 0.15$. For the *very tight* electron working point, the electrons must pass $I^e < 0.04$ (0.06) for the barrel (endcap) region.

The missing transverse momentum vector \vec{p}_T^{miss} is defined as the projection onto the plane perpendicular to the beams of the negative vector sum of the momenta of all reconstructed PF objects in an event, corrected for the pileup contribution. Its magnitude is referred to as E_T^{miss} .

The overall efficiencies of the reconstruction, identification, and isolation requirements for the prompt e or μ are measured in data in several bins of p_T^ℓ and $|\eta^\ell|$ using a “tag-and-probe” technique [32] applied to an inclusive sample of Z events. The efficiency for selecting electrons in the ECAL barrel (endcaps) varies from about 85% (77%) at $p_T^e \approx 10$ GeV to about 95% (89%) for $p_T^e > 20$ GeV. It is about 85% in the transition region between the ECAL barrel and endcaps, $1.44 < |\eta| < 1.57$, averaging over the whole p_T range. Muons are reconstructed and identified with efficiency above 98% in the full $|\eta^\mu| < 2.4$ range. These efficiencies are measured in data and simulation. The data/MC efficiency ratios are used as scale factors to correct the simulated event yields.

5. Event selection

Collision events are selected by triggers that require the presence of one or two electrons or muons. The p_T threshold for the single lepton is 23 (20) GeV for the electron (muon) trigger. For the dilepton triggers, with the same or different flavors, the minimum p_T of the leading and subleading leptons are 17 (17) and 12 (8) GeV for electrons (muons), respectively. The trigger efficiency for events within the acceptance of this analysis is greater than 99%.

A selected event is required to have three lepton candidates $\ell\ell'\ell'$. The $\ell\ell'$ pair has two leptons with opposite charge and the same flavor, as expected for a Z boson candidate. One of the leptons from the Z boson candidate is required to have $p_T > 20$ GeV and the other $p_T > 10$ GeV. If more than one combination is possible, the one with invariant mass closest to the Z boson mass is selected. The lepton associated with the W boson must have $p_T > 20$ GeV. All leptons must pass the *tight* identification and isolation requirements. To further reduce the contribution from Z+jets in events with an electron associated with the W boson, this electron must pass the requirements of the *very tight* working point.

There must be no other isolated leptons with $p_T > 10$ GeV in the events. To reduce contributions from $t\bar{t}$ events, the two leptons constituting the Z boson candidate are required to have an invariant mass satisfying $76 < m_{\ell\ell} < 106$ GeV, and there must be no jets with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$ that pass a b tagging requirement. The WZ events are expected to have missing transverse energy consistent with the presence of a neutrino in the final state, therefore $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 30$ GeV is required. The invariant mass of any dilepton pair must be greater than 4 GeV. This requirement prevents problems with collinear emission of same-flavor opposite-sign dilepton pairs in theoretical calculations. The selection is extended to all dilepton pairs at the detector level to reduce backgrounds from low mass resonances with a negligible effect on signal efficiency. The trilepton invariant mass, $m_{3\ell}$, is required to be more than 100 GeV to exclude a region where production of Z bosons with final-state radiation is expected to contribute.

6. Background estimation

The background contributions in this analysis are divided into two categories: background processes with prompt isolated leptons, e.g., ZZ, Z γ , $t\bar{t}$ Z; and background processes from nonprompt leptons from hadrons decaying to leptons inside jets or jets misidentified as isolated leptons, primarily Z+jets and $t\bar{t}$. The background processes with prompt leptons are estimated from simulation. The processes with at least one nonprompt lepton are estimated from data.

The major background contributions with nonprompt leptons arise from the production of Z bosons in association with jets and from $t\bar{t}$, whereas smaller contributions come from W boson production in association with jets and multijet processes. The nonprompt background contribution is evaluated using the “*tight-to-loose*” method. The method estimates the probability that a *loose* candidate is misidentified as a *tight* lepton and applies this probability to control regions with *loose* candidates to estimate the resulting contribution to the signal region. These *loose* candidates are selected with relaxed lepton identification and isolation requirements.

The misidentification probability is measured from a sample of dijet events enriched in nonprompt leptons. The sample is selected with one jet passing the relaxed lepton identification requirements matched to a single lepton trigger, defined as the probe lepton. The probe lepton and the second jet must be separated by $\Delta R > 1$. The misidentification ratio for each lepton flavor is defined in bins of

lepton p_T and η as the ratio of the number of probe leptons that pass the final isolation and identification requirements to the number of probe leptons that do not pass the *tight* requirements. The contamination from W+jets is suppressed by requiring a transverse mass $m_T < 20$ GeV, where $m_T = \sqrt{2E_T^{\text{miss}}p_T^\ell(1 - \cos(\Delta\phi))}$ and $\Delta\phi$ is the azimuthal angle between the vectors \vec{p}_T^{miss} and \vec{p}_T^ℓ . The contamination from Z boson events is suppressed by requiring the invariant mass of each pair of leptons composed of the probe lepton and of any other lepton candidate in the event to be outside of the window 60–120 GeV. Contributions from low mass resonances decaying into pairs of leptons are suppressed by requiring the dilepton mass to be greater than 20 GeV. The transverse momentum spectrum of the probe lepton in dijet events is different from the spectrum in Z and $t\bar{t}$ events. We have verified in data that one can make them similar with a requirement on the minimum transverse momentum of the second jet of 20 (35) GeV for the dijet events with one probe muon (electron).

A set of control regions with events containing three leptons is then used to estimate the background from nonprompt leptons. Zero, one, or two leptons are required to pass the signal region requirements, while the remaining leptons must pass the *loose* requirements and fail the signal region requirements. The misidentification ratio is applied to the *loose* leptons failing the *tight* identification requirements to estimate the corresponding contribution to the signal region. The total background is calculated as a sum of contributions from different regions. This method is validated in nonoverlapping data samples enriched in Drell-Yan and $t\bar{t}$ contributions. The Drell-Yan region is defined by inverting the selection requirement in E_T^{miss} and the $t\bar{t}$ region is defined by requiring at least one b-tagged jet and rejecting events with $76 < m_{\ell\ell} < 106$ GeV while keeping all other requirements for the signal region. The overall yield predicted with the “*tight-to-loose*” method agrees with that measured in the control region within 5%, with a maximum deviation of 30% in a single decay channel. The observed deviations are used as systematic uncertainties in the predicted background yields in the signal region.

7. Systematic uncertainties

Systematic uncertainties are less than 1% for the trigger efficiency and 2–4% for the lepton identification and isolation requirements, depending on the lepton flavors. Other systematic uncertainties are related to the use of simulated samples: 1% for the effects of pileup and 1–2% for the E_T^{miss} reconstruction, which is estimated by varying the energies of the PF objects within their uncertainties. The uncertainty in the b quark jet content in WZ events is 2% and accounts for differences in b-tagging efficiencies between data and MC as well as differences in b quark jet content between Z+jets and WZ+jets events. The uncertainty in the integrated luminosity of the data sample is 2.7% [33]. This uncertainty affects both the signal and the simulated portion of the background estimation and does not affect the background estimation from data; the total effect of the luminosity uncertainty on the cross section is 3.2%.

Uncertainties in prompt background sources are estimated from the theoretical uncertainties in the cross sections. For the ZZ background the uncertainty is 4% [15,34], and it contributes to the WZ cross section with an uncertainty of 0.4%. The uncertainties are 15% for $t\bar{t}V$ [14,35,36] and 6% for triboson and Z γ [13]; their contribution to the uncertainty in the WZ cross section is much less than 1%.

The uncertainties in background contributions from both flavors of nonprompt leptons are determined by combining the uncertainties in the measured values of the misidentification probabilities and the statistical uncertainties due to the limited number

Table 1

The contributions of each systematic uncertainty source to the combined uncertainty in the cross section measurement. The integrated luminosity as well as the PDF and scale uncertainties are reported separately in Equations (2) and (3) as (lumi) and (theo), respectively, while the other uncertainties are combined into a single systematic uncertainty (syst).

Source of uncertainty	Uncertainty in the cross section
Background with nonprompt μ	5.4%
Background with nonprompt e	3.9%
b tagging	2.1%
E_T^{miss}	2.0%
Electron efficiency	1.9%
Muon efficiency	1.5%
Pileup	0.8%
ZZ cross section	0.4%
$t\bar{t}V$ cross section	negligible
$Z\gamma$ cross section	negligible
VVV cross section	negligible
Integrated luminosity	3.2%
PDF and scales	1.0%

of events in the control regions. The systematic uncertainty in the misidentification probability is 30% for both electrons and muons. It covers the largest difference observed between the estimated and measured numbers of events in data control samples enriched in $t\bar{t}$ and Drell–Yan contributions. The uncertainties are uncorrelated between electrons and muons. The contribution to the uncertainty in the cross section measurement is 5.4% (3.9%) from muons (electrons).

Theoretical uncertainties in the $WZ \rightarrow \ell\nu\ell'\ell'$ acceptance are evaluated using POWHEG and MCFM by varying dynamic renormalization and factorization scales independently up and down by a factor of two with respect to the default values $\mu_R = \mu_F = m_{WZ}$ with the condition that $0.5 \leq \mu_R/\mu_F \leq 2$, where m_{WZ} is the mass of the WZ system at the generator level. The uncertainty in the acceptance due to the scale variations can be neglected. Phenomenological uncertainties (PDF+ α_s) are estimated using the CT14 [37], NNPDF3.0, and MMHT2014 [38] PDF sets according to their individual prescriptions. The largest variation among the sets defines an envelope of about 1%, which is taken as the theoretical uncertainty in the measured cross section.

A summary of each systematic uncertainty and its contribution to the final uncertainty in the cross section measurement is presented in Table 1.

8. Results

The observed and expected event yields for all decay channels are summarized in Table 2. The invariant mass distributions for all channels combined are shown in Fig. 1 and compared to the SM

Table 2

The expected yields of WZ events and the estimated yields of background events, consisting of the prompt leptons estimated from simulation and nonprompt background from data, compared to the number of observed events for each decay channel. The first uncertainty is statistical and the second is systematic.

Decay channel	Expected WZ	Background		Total expected	Observed
		Nonprompt	Prompt		
eee	$35.9 \pm 0.6^{+1.8}_{-1.8}$	$10.6 \pm 1.7^{+3.2}_{-2.5}$	$6.6 \pm 0.6^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$	$53.1 \pm 1.9^{+3.9}_{-3.3}$	49
ee μ	$50.2 \pm 0.8^{+2.4}_{-2.4}$	$14.8 \pm 3.6^{+3.9}_{-3.0}$	$8.3 \pm 0.5^{+0.6}_{-0.6}$	$73.3 \pm 3.7^{+4.8}_{-4.1}$	78
$\mu\mu e$	$56.0 \pm 0.8^{+2.5}_{-2.4}$	$21.5 \pm 3.2^{+5.0}_{-3.9}$	$9.3 \pm 0.6^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$	$86.8 \pm 3.4^{+5.8}_{-4.8}$	83
$\mu\mu\mu$	$84.0 \pm 1.0^{+3.4}_{-3.3}$	$20.0 \pm 4.9^{+6.1}_{-4.7}$	$12.4 \pm 0.5^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$	$116.3 \pm 5.0^{+7.2}_{-6.0}$	108
Total	$226 \pm 2^{+10}_{-9}$	$67 \pm 7^{+14}_{-11}$	$37 \pm 1^{+3}_{-2}$	$330 \pm 7^{+18}_{-16}$	318

expectations and to the backgrounds estimated from data. The two upper plots show distributions for events after all the selection requirements are applied except the one displayed. The two lower plots show distributions with the full WZ selection requirements. Kinematic distributions of the selected events are shown in Fig. 2. Overall, the simulated signal combined with the background contributions are in agreement with the data within uncertainties.

The measured yields, corrected for the efficiency of the event selections and the acceptance of the fiducial phase space, are used to evaluate the WZ production cross section.

The fiducial $WZ \rightarrow \ell\nu\ell'\ell'$ phase space is defined by the requirement of two leptons from the Z boson decay to have $p_T > 20$ and 10 GeV, the charged lepton from W boson decay to have $p_T > 20$ GeV, all leptons to be within $|\eta| < 2.5$, $60 < m_{\ell\nu\ell'} < 120$ GeV, and invariant mass of any same-flavor opposite-sign lepton pair is above 4 GeV. All the leptons are considered before final-state radiation (FSR). The difference between the cross section calculation with leptons before FSR and the cross section with the “dressed” leptons, which are obtained by summing the lepton momentum and the momenta of radiated photons withing a cone of $\Delta R < 0.1$ around the lepton, is found to be less than 1%.

The correction between the fiducial definition and the selection requirements takes into account the effect of the E_T^{miss} requirement, the reduced $m_{\ell\nu\ell'}$ mass window in the selection with respect to the fiducial definition, and the requirements of exactly three isolated leptons and no b-tagged jets in the event. A small contribution from WZ events where the W or Z boson decays via τ into an electron or muon is considered as signal at the detector level, but not at the generator level. Thus the correction for τ lepton decays is also taken into account in the selection efficiency.

The efficiency of the selection requirements with respect to the fiducial requirements varies with the channel, from 55% in $\mu\mu\mu$ to 25% in eee. It includes a 70% correction for the E_T^{miss} requirement at the reconstruction level, a 7% correction for the contribution from tau decays and the effects of the lepton identification requirements. The difference in the Z boson mass window definition at the selection level and in the fiducial definition has a 2% effect. The theoretical uncertainties in these corrections are estimated by checking differences between the various POWHEG, MADGRAPH, and MCFM predictions and are found to be much less than 1% so they are neglected in the fiducial cross section measurement. The major difference between the channels is the tighter identification and isolation requirements on the electrons.

To include all final states in the cross section calculation, the number of expected signal and background events is fitted to the number of observed events simultaneously in all decay channels. The likelihood is written as a combination of individual channel likelihoods for the signal and background hypotheses. The statistical and systematic uncertainties are included as scaling nuisance parameters and the correlation between different sources of uncertainties across channels is taken into account.




Fig. 1. (upper left) Distribution of the reconstructed $\ell\ell'$ pair mass summed for all decay channels with the $m_{\ell\ell'}$ selection extended to 60–120 GeV. (upper right) Distribution of the $\ell\ell\ell'$ reconstructed mass summed for all decay channels with the $m_{3\ell} > 100$ GeV selection requirement removed. (lower left) The transverse mass of the lepton from the W boson and the E_T^{miss} system. (lower right) The transverse mass of the three leptons and the E_T^{miss} system. Solid symbols represent the data with Poisson statistical uncertainties, while histograms represent the expected WZ signal and backgrounds. The shaded band represents the uncertainties in the signal and background estimated yields and includes systematic, theoretical, and integrated luminosity uncertainties in addition to the statistical uncertainty. The background shapes are taken from simulation or data, as described in the text. A ratio of the observed (Obs) and expected (Exp) distributions is also included.

The fiducial WZ $\rightarrow \ell\nu\ell'\ell'$ cross section for $p_T^{\ell\ell'} > 20, 10$ GeV, $p_T^\ell > 20$ GeV, all leptons within $|\eta| < 2.5$, $60 < m_{\ell\ell'} < 120$ GeV, and invariant mass of any same-flavor opposite-sign lepton pair above 4 GeV is

$$\sigma_{\text{fid}}(\text{pp} \rightarrow \text{WZ} \rightarrow \ell\nu\ell'\ell') = 258 \pm 21 \text{ (stat)} \pm_{-20}^{+19} \text{ (syst)} \pm 8 \text{ (lumi) fb}, \quad (2)$$

corresponding to a total cross section for the range $60 < m_{\ell\ell'} < 120$ GeV of

$$\sigma(\text{pp} \rightarrow \text{WZ}) = 39.9 \pm 3.2 \text{ (stat)} \pm_{-3.1}^{+2.9} \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.4 \text{ (theo)} \pm 1.3 \text{ (lumi) pb}. \quad (3)$$

The acceptance of the fiducial phase space, $(45.0 \pm 0.4)\%$, is calculated with POWHEG. The nominal Z to dilepton branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(Z \rightarrow \ell\ell')$ is $(3.3658 \pm 0.0023)\%$ for each lepton flavor, while for the W boson the average branching fraction to each lepton flavor, $(10.67 \pm 0.16)\%$, is derived from $(10.71 \pm 0.16)\%$ for the electron channel and $(10.63 \pm 0.15)\%$ for the muon channel [39].

The measured cross sections can be compared to the theoretical values of 274_{-8}^{+11} (scale) ± 4 (PDF) fb for the fiducial cross section and $42.3_{-1.1}^{+1.4}$ (scale) ± 0.6 (PDF) pb for the total cross section calculated with mCFM at NLO with NNPDF3.0 PDFs, with dynamic renormalization and factorization scales set to $\mu_R = \mu_F = m_{\text{WZ}}$. The uncertainty is obtained by varying the factorization and renormalization scales independently up and down by a factor of two with the condition that $0.5 \leq \mu_R/\mu_F \leq 2$. The mCFM and POWHEG predicted cross sections agree within the statistical uncertainties of the generated samples.

The measured total cross section can also be compared to the theoretical value of $50.0_{-1.0}^{+1.1}$ (scale) pb, available at NNLO via MATRIX [40] with fixed QCD scales set to $\mu_R = \mu_F = \frac{1}{2}(m_Z + m_W)$ and NNPDF3.0 PDFs. Uncertainties in this calculation take into account only renormalization and factorization scale variations. The variations are done independently with the condition that $0.5 \leq \mu_R/\mu_F \leq 2$. The values from mCFM with this scale choice are 291_{-13}^{+16} (scale) ± 4 (PDF) fb for the fiducial and $44.9_{-1.8}^{+2.2}$ (scale) ± 0.7 (PDF) pb for the total cross sections.




Fig. 2. (upper left) Distribution of the number of jets with $p_T > 30$ GeV in the event. (upper right) Transverse momentum of the lepton associated with the W boson. (lower left) Transverse momentum of selected Z boson candidates. (lower right) Transverse momentum of selected W boson candidates. Solid symbols represent the data with Poisson statistical uncertainties, while histograms represent the expected WZ signal and backgrounds. The shaded band represents the uncertainties in the signal and background estimated yields and includes systematic, theoretical, and integrated luminosity uncertainties in addition to the statistical uncertainty. The background shapes are taken from simulation or data, as described in the text. A ratio of the observed (Obs) and expected (Exp) distributions is also included.

9. Summary

The WZ production cross section in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV has been measured with the CMS experiment at the LHC using a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 2.3 fb^{-1} . The measurement is performed in the leptonic decay modes $WZ \rightarrow \ell\nu\ell'\ell'$, where $\ell, \ell' = e, \mu$. The measured fiducial $WZ \rightarrow \ell\nu\ell'\ell'$ cross section for two leptons from the Z boson decay with $p_T > 20$ and 10 GeV, the charged lepton from the W boson decay with $p_T > 20$ GeV, all leptons within $|\eta| < 2.5$, and $60 < m_{\ell\nu\ell'} < 120$ GeV is $\sigma_{\text{fid}}(\text{pp} \rightarrow WZ \rightarrow \ell\nu\ell'\ell') = 258 \pm 21(\text{stat})_{-20}^{+19}(\text{syst}) \pm 8(\text{lumi}) \text{ fb}$. The corresponding total cross section is $\sigma(\text{pp} \rightarrow WZ) = 39.9 \pm 3.2(\text{stat})_{-3.1}^{+2.9}(\text{syst}) \pm 0.4(\text{theo}) \pm 1.3(\text{lumi}) \text{ pb}$ for the dilepton mass range $60 < m_{\ell\nu\ell'} < 120$ GeV. For both cross sections, the invariant mass of any same-flavor opposite-sign lepton pair is required to be above 4 GeV. This measurement is compared with the theoretical values of $274_{-1.1}^{+1.1}(\text{scale}) \pm 4(\text{PDF}) \text{ fb}$ for the fiducial cross section and $42.3_{-1.1}^{+1.4}(\text{scale}) \pm 0.6(\text{PDF}) \text{ pb}$ for the total cross section calculated with mCFM at NLO with NNPDF3.0 PDFs, with dynamic renormalization and factorization scales set to $\mu_R = \mu_F = m_{WZ}$, and with the NNLO prediction from MATRIX .

Acknowledgements

We thank Massimiliano Grazzini and his collaborators for providing the NNLO cross section calculations. We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centres and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMWFW and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC IUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CIN-

1 VESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MBIE (New
2 Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portu-
3 gal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS and RFBR (Russia); MESTD
4 (Serbia); SEIDI and CPAN (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzer-
5 land); MST (Taipei); ThePCenter, IPST, STAR and NSTDA (Thai-
6 land); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU and SFFR (Ukraine); STFC
7 (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

8 Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie pro-
9 gramme and the European Research Council and EPLANET (Eu-
10 ropean Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A.P. Sloan Founda-
11 tion; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian
12 Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la
13 Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium);
14 the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technolo-
15 gie (IWT-Belgium); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports
16 (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Council of Science and In-
17 dustrial Research, India; the HOMING PLUS programme of the
18 Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced from European Union,
19 Regional Development Fund, the Mobility Plus programme of
20 the Ministry of Science and Higher Education, the National Sci-
21 ence Center (Poland), contracts Harmonia 2014/14/M/ST2/00428,
22 Opus 2013/11/B/ST2/04202, 2014/13/B/ST2/02543 and
23 2014/15/B/ST2/03998, Sonata-bis 2012/07/E/ST2/01406; the Thal-
24 is and Aristeia programmes cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek
25 NSRF; the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National
26 Research Fund; the Programa Clarín-COFUND del Principado de As-
27 turias; the Rachadapisek Sompot Fund for Postdoctoral Fellowship,
28 Chulalongkorn University and the Chulalongkorn Academic into
29 Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project (Thailand); and the
30 Welch Foundation, contract C-1845.

References

- 33 [1] A. Abulencia, et al., CDF Collaboration, Observation of WZ production, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98 (2007) 161801, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.161801>, arXiv:hep-ex/0702027.
- 34 [2] T. Aaltonen, et al., CDF Collaboration, Measurement of the WZ cross section and
35 triple gauge couplings in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* 86 (2012)
36 031104, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.031104>, arXiv:1202.6629.
- 37 [3] V.M. Abazov, et al., D0 Collaboration, A measurement of the WZ and ZZ pro-
38 duction cross sections using leptonic final states in 8.6 fb^{-1} of pp collisions,
39 *Phys. Rev. D* 85 (2012) 112005, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.112005>,
40 arXiv:1201.5652.
- 41 [4] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of WZ and ZZ production in pp collisions at
42 $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV in final states with b-tagged jets, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 74 (2014) 2973,
43 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-2973-5>, arXiv:1403.3047.
- 44 [5] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of WZ production in proton-proton colli-
45 sions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 72 (2012) 2173,
46 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-2173-0>, arXiv:1208.1390.
- 47 [6] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurements of $W^{\pm}Z$ production cross sections in pp
48 collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector and limits on anomalous
49 gauge boson self-couplings, *Phys. Rev. D* 93 (2016) 092004, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.092004>, arXiv:1603.02151.
- 50 [7] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the $W^{\pm}Z$ -boson production cross sec-
51 tions in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Lett. B* 759
52 (2016) 601, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.06.023>, arXiv:1606.04017.
- 53 [8] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, *J. Instrum.* 3 (2008)
54 S08004, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004>.
- 55 [9] T. Melia, P. Nason, R. Rontsch, G. Zanderighi, W^+W^- , WZ and ZZ production
56 in the POWHEG BOX, *J. High Energy Phys.* 11 (2011) 078, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11\(2011\)078](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2011)078), arXiv:1107.5051.
- 57 [10] P. Nason, A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo
58 algorithms, *J. High Energy Phys.* 11 (2004) 040, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/11/040>, arXiv:hep-ph/0409146.
- 59 [11] S. Frixione, P. Nason, C. Oleari, Matching NLO QCD computations with parton
60 shower simulations: the POWHEG method, *J. High Energy Phys.* 11 (2007) 070,
61 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/070>, arXiv:0709.2092.
- 62 [12] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, E. Re, A general framework for implementing
63 NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX, *J. High*
64 *Energy Phys.* 06 (2010) 043, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2010\)043](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2010)043), arXiv:
65 1002.2581.
- [13] J.M. Campbell, R.K. Ellis, C. Williams, Vector boson pair production at the LHC,
66 *J. High Energy Phys.* 07 (2011) 018, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07\(2011\)018](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2011)018),
67 arXiv:1105.0020.
- [14] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H.-S. Shao,
68 T. Stelzer, P. Torielli, M. Zaro, The automated computation of tree-level and
69 next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to par-
70 ton shower simulations, *J. High Energy Phys.* 07 (2014) 079, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07\(2014\)079](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079),
71 arXiv:1405.0301.
- [15] F. Cascioli, T. Gehrmann, M. Grazzini, S. Kallweit, P. Maierhöfer, A. von Man-
72 teuffel, S. Pozzorini, D. Rathlev, L. Tancredi, E. Weihs, ZZ production at hadron
73 colliders in NNLO QCD, *Phys. Lett. B* 735 (2014) 311, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.06.056)
74 [j.physletb.2014.06.056](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.06.056), arXiv:1405.2219.
- [16] F. Caola, K. Melnikov, R. Röntsch, L. Tancredi, QCD corrections to ZZ production
75 in gluon fusion at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. D* 92 (2015) 094028, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.094028>,
76 arXiv:1509.06734.
- [17] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J.R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna,
77 S. Prestel, C.O. Rasmussen, P.Z. Skands, An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2, *Comput.*
78 *Phys. Commun.* 191 (2015) 159, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024>,
79 arXiv:1410.3012.
- [18] CMS Collaboration, Event generator tunes obtained from underlying event and
80 multiparton scattering measurements, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 76 (2016) 1, [http://](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-3988-x)
81 dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-3988-x, arXiv:1512.00815.
- [19] R.D. Ball, V. Bertone, S. Carrazza, C.S. Deans, L. Del Debbio, S. Forte, A. Guffanti,
82 N.P. Hartland, J.J. Latorre, J. Rojo, M. Ubiali, NNPDF Collaboration, Parton distri-
83 butions for the LHC Run II, *J. High Energy Phys.* 04 (2015) 040, [http://dx.doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2015)040)
84 [org/10.1007/JHEP04\(2015\)040](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2015)040), arXiv:1410.8849.
- [20] S. Agostinelli, et al., GEANT4 Collaboration, GEANT4—a simulation toolkit,
85 *Nucl. Instrum. Methods, Sect. A* 506 (2003) 250, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8)
86 [S0168-9002\(03\)01368-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8).
- [21] CMS Collaboration, Particle-flow event reconstruction in CMS and performance for
87 jets, taus, and MET, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-09-001,
88 <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1194487>, 2009.
- [22] CMS Collaboration, Commissioning of the particle-flow event reconstruction
89 with the first LHC collisions recorded in the CMS detector, CMS Physics Analy-
90 sis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-10-001, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1247373>, 2010.
- [23] CMS Collaboration, Performance of electron reconstruction and selection with
91 the CMS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, *J. Instrum.*
92 10 (2015) P06005, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/10/06/P06005>, arXiv:
93 1502.02701.
- [24] CMS Collaboration, Performance of CMS muon reconstruction in pp colli-
94 sion events at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* 7 (2012) P10002, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/7/10/P10002>, arXiv:1206.4071.
- [25] CMS Collaboration, Description and performance of track and primary-vertex
95 reconstruction with the CMS tracker, *J. Instrum.* 9 (2014) P10009, [http://dx.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/9/10/P10009)
96 [doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/9/10/P10009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/9/10/P10009), arXiv:1405.6569.
- [26] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, The anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm, *J. High*
97 *Energy Phys.* 04 (2008) 063, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063>,
98 arXiv:0802.1189.
- [27] CMS Collaboration, Determination of jet energy calibration and transverse mo-
99 mentum resolution in CMS, *J. Instrum.* 6 (2011) P11002, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/6/11/P11002>, arXiv:1107.4277.
- [28] CMS Collaboration, Pileup jet identification, CMS Physics Analysis Summary
100 CMS-PAS-JME-13-005, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1581583>, 2013.
- [29] CMS Collaboration, Identification of b quark jets with the CMS experiment,
101 *J. Instrum.* 8 (2013) P04013, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/8/04/P04013>,
102 arXiv:1211.4462.
- [30] CMS Collaboration, Identification of b quark jets at the CMS experiment in
103 the LHC Run 2, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-BTV-15-001, [https://](https://cds.cern.ch/record/2138504)
104 cds.cern.ch/record/2138504, 2016.
- [31] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, Pileup subtraction using jet areas, *Phys. Lett. B* 659
105 (2008) 119, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.09.077>, arXiv:0707.1378.
- [32] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the inclusive W and Z production cross
106 sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the CMS experiment, *J. High*
107 *Energy Phys.* 10 (2011) 132, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10\(2011\)132](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2011)132), arXiv:
108 1107.4789.
- [33] CMS Collaboration, CMS luminosity measurement for the 2015 data tak-
109 ing period, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-15-001, [https://cds.](https://cds.cern.ch/record/2138682)
110 [cern.ch/record/2138682](https://cds.cern.ch/record/2138682), 2016.
- [34] M. Grazzini, S. Kallweit, D. Rathlev, ZZ production at the LHC: fiducial cross
111 sections and distributions in NNLO QCD, *Phys. Lett. B* 750 (2015) 407, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.09.055>,
112 arXiv:1507.06257.
- [35] J.M. Campbell, R.K. Ellis, $t\bar{t}W^{\pm}$ production and decay at NLO, *J. High En-
113 energy Phys.* 07 (2012) 052, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07\(2012\)052](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2012)052), arXiv:
114 1204.5678.
- [36] M.V. Garzelli, A. Kardos, C.G. Papadopoulos, Z. Trocsanyi, $t\bar{t}W^{\pm}$ and
115 $t\bar{t}Z$ hadroproduction at NLO accuracy in QCD with parton shower and
116 hadronization effects, *J. High Energy Phys.* 11 (2012) 056, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11\(2012\)056](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2012)056),
117 arXiv:1208.2665.

- [37] S. Dulat, T.-J. Hou, J. Gao, M. Guzzi, J. Huston, P. Nadolsky, J. Pumplin, C. Schmidt, D. Stump, C.P. Yuan, New parton distribution functions from a global analysis of quantum chromodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* 93 (2016) 033006, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.033006>, arXiv:1506.07443.
- [38] L.A. Harland-Lang, A.D. Martin, P. Motylinski, R.S. Thorne, Parton distributions in the LHC era: MMHT 2014 PDFs, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 75 (2015) 204, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3397-6>, arXiv:1412.3989.
- [39] Particle Data Group, K.A. Olive, et al., Review of particle physics, *Chin. Phys. C* 38 (2014) 090001, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/38/9/090001>.
- [40] M. Grazzini, S. Kallweit, D. Rathlev, M. Wieseemann, W^+Z production at hadron colliders in NNLO QCD, arXiv:1604.08576, 2016.

The CMS Collaboration

V. Khachatryan, A.M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

W. Adam, E. Asilar, T. Bergauer, J. Brandstetter, E. Brondolin, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, M. Flechl, M. Friedl, R. Frühwirth¹, V.M. Ghete, C. Hartl, N. Hörmann, J. Hrubec, M. Jeitler¹, A. König, I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, T. Matsushita, I. Mikulec, D. Rabady, N. Rad, B. Rahbaran, H. Rohringer, J. Schieck¹, J. Strauss, W. Treberer-Treberspurg, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz¹

Institut für Hochenergiephysik der OeAW, Wien, Austria

V. Mossolov, N. Shumeiko, J. Suarez Gonzalez

National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus

S. Alderweireldt, E.A. De Wolf, X. Janssen, J. Lauwers, M. Van De Klundert, H. Van Haevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, N. Van Remortel, A. Van Spilbeeck

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

S. Abu Zeid, F. Blekman, J. D'Hondt, N. Daci, I. De Bruyn, K. Deroover, N. Heracleous, S. Lowette, S. Moortgat, L. Moreels, A. Olbrechts, Q. Python, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders, I. Van Parijs

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

H. Brun, C. Caillol, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, H. Delannoy, G. Fasanella, L. Favart, R. Goldouzian, A. Grebenyuk, G. Karapostoli, T. Lenzi, A. Léonard, J. Luetic, T. Maerschalk, A. Marinov, A. Randle-conde, T. Seva, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, R. Yonamine, F. Zenoni, F. Zhang²

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

A. Cimmino, T. Cornelis, D. Dobur, A. Fagot, G. Garcia, M. Gul, D. Poyraz, S. Salva, R. Schöfbeck, M. Tytgat, W. Van Driessche, E. Yazgan, N. Zaganidis

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

H. Bakhshiansohi, C. Beluffi³, O. Bondu, S. Brochet, G. Bruno, A. Caudron, S. De Visscher, C. Delaere, M. Delcourt, L. Forthomme, B. Francois, A. Giammanco, A. Jafari, P. Jez, M. Komm, V. Lemaître, A. Magitteri, A. Mertens, M. Musich, C. Nuttens, K. Piotrkowski, L. Quertenmont, M. Selvaggi, M. Vidal Marono, S. Wertz

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

N. Belyi

Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium

W.L. Aldá Júnior, F.L. Alves, G.A. Alves, L. Brito, C. Hensel, A. Moraes, M.E. Pol, P. Rebello Teles

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas, W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato⁴, A. Custódio, E.M. Da Costa, G.G. Da Silveira, D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, L.M. Huertas Guativa, H. Malbouisson,

1 D. Matos Figueiredo, C. Mora Herrera, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, A. Santoro,
2 A. Sznajder, E.J. Tonelli Manganote⁴, A. Vilela Pereira

3 *Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*

4
5
6 S. Ahuja^a, C.A. Bernardes^b, S. Dogra^a, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei^a, E.M. Gregores^b, P.G. Mercadante^b,
7 C.S. Moon^a, S.F. Novaes^a, Sandra S. Padula^a, D. Romero Abad^b, J.C. Ruiz Vargas

8
9 ^a *Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil*

10 ^b *Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil*

11 A. Aleksandrov, R. Hadjiiska, P. Iaydjiev, M. Rodozov, S. Stoykova, G. Sultanov, M. Vutova

12 *Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria*

13
14
15 A. Dimitrov, I. Glushkov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

16 *University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria*

17
18
19 W. Fang⁵

20 *Beihang University, Beijing, China*

21
22
23 M. Ahmad, J.G. Bian, G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, M. Chen, Y. Chen⁶, T. Cheng, C.H. Jiang, D. Leggat, Z. Liu,
24 F. Romeo, S.M. Shaheen, A. Spiezia, J. Tao, C. Wang, Z. Wang, H. Zhang, J. Zhao

25 *Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China*

26
27
28 Y. Ban, G. Chen, Q. Li, S. Liu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, Z. Xu

29 *State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China*

30
31
32 C. Avila, A. Cabrera, L.F. Chaparro Sierra, C. Florez, J.P. Gomez, C.F. González Hernández, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez,
33 J.C. Sanabria

34 *Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia*

35
36
37 N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, I. Puljak, P.M. Ribeiro Cipriano

38 *University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia*

39
40
41 Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

42 *University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia*

43
44
45 V. Brigljevic, D. Ferencek, K. Kadija, S. Micanovic, L. Sudic, T. Susa

46 *Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia*

47
48
49 A. Attikis, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis, H. Rykaczewski

50 *University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus*

51
52
53 M. Finger⁷, M. Finger Jr.⁷

54 *Charles University, Prague, Czechia*

55
56
57 E. Carrera Jarrin

58 *Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador*

59
60
61 A.A. Abdelalim^{8,9}, Y. Mohammed¹⁰, E. Salama^{11,12}

62 *Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt*

1 B. Calpas, M. Kadastik, M. Murumaa, L. Perrini, M. Raidal, A. Tiko, C. Veelken

2 *National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia*

3
4 P. Eerola, J. Pekkanen, M. Voutilainen

5
6 *Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland*

7
8 J. Härkönen, V. Karimäki, R. Kinnunen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka,
9 T. Peltola, J. Tuominiemi, E. Tuovinen, L. Wendland

10
11 *Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland*

12
13 J. Talvitie, T. Tuuva

14
15 *Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland*

16
17 M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, C. Favaro, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour,
18 S. Ghosh, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, I. Kucher, E. Locci, M. Mached,
19 J. Malcles, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M. Titov, A. Zghiche

20
21 *IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France*

22
23 A. Abdulsalam, I. Antropov, S. Baffioni, F. Beaudette, P. Busson, L. Cadamuro, E. Chapon, C. Charlot,
24 O. Davignon, R. Granier de Cassagnac, M. Jo, S. Lisniak, P. Miné, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, G. Ortona,
25 P. Paganini, P. Pigard, S. Regnard, R. Salerno, Y. Sirois, T. Strebler, Y. Yilmaz, A. Zabi

26
27 *Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France*

28
29 J.-L. Agram¹³, J. Andrea, A. Aubin, D. Bloch, J.-M. Brom, M. Buttignol, E.C. Chabert, N. Chanon, C. Collard,
30 E. Conte¹³, X. Coubez, J.-C. Fontaine¹³, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, A.-C. Le Bihan, J.A. Merlin¹⁴, K. Skovpen,
31 P. Van Hove

32
33 *Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France*

34
35 S. Gadrat

36
37 *Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France*

38
39 S. Beauceron, C. Bernet, G. Boudoul, E. Bouvier, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, R. Chierici, D. Contardo,
40 B. Courbon, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fan, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, G. Grenier, B. Ille,
41 F. Lagarde, I.B. Laktineh, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, A.L. Pequegnot, S. Perries, A. Popov¹⁵, D. Sabes,
42 V. Sordini, M. Vander Donckt, P. Verdier, S. Viret

43
44 *Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France*

45
46 T. Toriashvili¹⁶

47
48 *Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia*

49
50 Z. Tsamalaidze⁷

51
52 *Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia*

53
54 C. Autermann, S. Beranek, L. Feld, A. Heister, M.K. Kiesel, K. Klein, M. Lipinski, A. Ostapchuk, M. Preuten,
55 F. Raupach, S. Schael, C. Schomakers, J.F. Schulte, J. Schulz, T. Verlage, H. Weber, V. Zhukov¹⁵

56
57 *RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany*

58
59 M. Brodski, E. Dietz-Laursonn, D. Duchardt, M. Endres, M. Erdmann, S. Erdweg, T. Esch, R. Fischer,
60 A. Güth, M. Hamer, T. Hebbeker, C. Heidemann, K. Hoepfner, S. Knutzen, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer,

61
62
63
64
65

66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130

1 P. Millet, S. Mukherjee, M. Olschewski, K. Padeken, T. Pook, M. Radziej, H. Reithler, M. Rieger, F. Scheuch, 66
 2 L. Sonnenschein, D. Teyssier, S. Thüer 67

3 RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany 68
 4 69

5 V. Cherepanov, G. Flügge, W. Haj Ahmad, F. Hoehle, B. Kargoll, T. Kress, A. Künsken, J. Lingemann, 70
 6 A. Nehr Korn, A. Nowack, I.M. Nugent, C. Pistone, O. Pooth, A. Stahl¹⁴ 71
 7 72

8 RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany 73
 9 74

10 M. Aldaya Martin, C. Asawatrangkuldee, K. Beernaert, O. Behnke, U. Behrens, A.A. Bin Anuar, 75
 11 K. Borras¹⁷, A. Campbell, P. Connor, C. Contreras-Campana, F. Costanza, C. Diez Pardos, G. Dolinska, 76
 12 G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, E. Eren, E. Gallo¹⁸, J. Garay Garcia, A. Geiser, A. Gizhko, J.M. Grados Luyando, 77
 13 P. Gunnellini, A. Harb, J. Hauk, M. Hempel¹⁹, H. Jung, A. Kalogeropoulos, O. Karacheban¹⁹, M. Kasemann, 78
 14 J. Keaveney, J. Kieseler, C. Kleinwort, I. Korol, D. Krücker, W. Lange, A. Lelek, J. Leonard, K. Lipka, 79
 15 A. Lobanov, W. Lohmann¹⁹, R. Mankel, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, G. Mittag, J. Mnich, 80
 16 A. Mussgiller, E. Ntomari, D. Pitzl, R. Placakyte, A. Raspereza, B. Roland, M.Ö. Sahin, P. Saxena, 81
 17 T. Schoerner-Sadenius, C. Seitz, S. Spannagel, N. Stefaniuk, K.D. Trippkewitz, G.P. Van Onsem, R. Walsh, 82
 18 C. Wissing 83
 19 84
 20 85
 21 86

22 Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany 87
 23 88

24 V. Blobel, M. Centis Vignali, A.R. Draeger, T. Dreyer, E. Garutti, K. Goebel, D. Gonzalez, J. Haller, 89
 25 M. Hoffmann, A. Junkes, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, N. Kovalchuk, T. Lapsien, T. Lenz, I. Marchesini, D. Marconi, 90
 26 M. Meyer, M. Niedziela, D. Nowatschin, J. Ott, F. Pantaleo¹⁴, T. Peiffer, A. Perieanu, J. Poehlsen, C. Sander, 91
 27 C. Scharf, P. Schleper, A. Schmidt, S. Schumann, J. Schwandt, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, F.M. Stober, 92
 28 M. Stöver, H. Tholen, D. Troendle, E. Usai, L. Vanelderen, A. Vanhoefer, B. Vormwald 93
 29 94
 30 95

31 University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany 96
 32 97

33 C. Barth, C. Baus, J. Berger, E. Butz, T. Chwalek, F. Colombo, W. De Boer, A. Dierlamm, S. Fink, R. Friese, 98
 34 M. Giffels, A. Gilbert, P. Goldenzweig, D. Haitz, F. Hartmann¹⁴, S.M. Heindl, U. Husemann, I. Katkov¹⁵, 99
 35 P. Lobelle Pardo, B. Maier, H. Mildner, M.U. Mozer, T. Müller, Th. Müller, M. Plagge, G. Quast, 100
 36 K. Rabbertz, S. Röcker, F. Roscher, M. Schröder, I. Shvetsov, G. Sieber, H.J. Simonis, R. Ulrich, 101
 37 J. Wagner-Kuhr, S. Wayand, M. Weber, T. Weiler, S. Williamson, C. Wöhrmann, R. Wolf 102
 38 103
 39 104

40 Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany 105
 41 106

42 G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, V.A. Giakoumopoulou, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, I. Topsis-Giotis 107
 43 108

44 Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece 109
 45 110

46 A. Agapitos, S. Kesisoglou, A. Panagiotou, N. Saoulidou, E. Tziaferi 111
 47 112

48 National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece 113
 49 114

50 I. Evangelou, G. Flouris, C. Foudas, P. Kokkas, N. Loukas, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, E. Paradis 115
 51 116

52 University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece 117
 53 118

54 N. Filipovic 119
 55 120

56 MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary 121
 57 122

58 G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, P. Hidas, D. Horvath²⁰, F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi²¹, A.J. Zsigmond 123
 59 124

60 Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary 125
 61 126

61 N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Karancsi²², A. Makovec, J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi 127
 62 128

63 Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary 129
 64 130
 65

1 M. Bartók²¹, P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

2 *University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary*

3 S. Bahinipati, S. Choudhury²³, P. Mal, K. Mandal, A. Nayak²⁴, D.K. Sahoo, N. Sahoo, S.K. Swain

4 *National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India*

5 S. Bansal, S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, R. Chawla, U. Bhawandeep, A.K. Kalsi, A. Kaur, M. Kaur, R. Kumar,
6 A. Mehta, M. Mittal, J.B. Singh, G. Walia

7 *Panjab University, Chandigarh, India*

8 Ashok Kumar, A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, R.B. Garg, S. Keshri, S. Malhotra, M. Naimuddin, N. Nishu,
9 K. Ranjan, R. Sharma, V. Sharma

10 *University of Delhi, Delhi, India*

11 R. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharya, K. Chatterjee, S. Dey, S. Dutt, S. Dutta, S. Ghosh, N. Majumdar,
12 A. Modak, K. Mondal, S. Mukhopadhyay, S. Nandan, A. Purohit, A. Roy, D. Roy, S. Roy Chowdhury,
13 S. Sarkar, M. Sharan, S. Thakur

14 *Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India*

15 P.K. Behera

16 *Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India*

17 R. Chudasama, D. Dutta, V. Jha, V. Kumar, A.K. Mohanty¹⁴, P.K. Netrakanti, L.M. Pant, P. Shukla, A. Topkar

18 *Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India*

19 T. Aziz, S. Dugad, G. Kole, B. Mahakud, S. Mitra, G.B. Mohanty, B. Parida, N. Sur, B. Sutar

20 *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India*

21 S. Banerjee, S. Bhowmik²⁵, R.K. Dewanjee, S. Ganguly, M. Guchait, Sa. Jain, S. Kumar, M. Maity²⁵,
22 G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, T. Sarkar²⁵, N. Wickramage²⁶

23 *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India*

24 S. Chauhan, S. Dube, V. Hegde, A. Kapoor, K. Kothekar, A. Rane, S. Sharma

25 *Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India*

26 H. Behnamian, S. Chenarani²⁷, E. Eskandari Tadavani, S.M. Etesami²⁷, A. Fahim²⁸, M. Khakzad,
27 M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, M. Naseri, S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi, F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi, B. Safarzadeh²⁹,
28 M. Zeinali

29 *Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran*

30 M. Felcini, M. Grunewald

31 *University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland*

32 M. Abbrescia^{a,b}, C. Calabria^{a,b}, C. Caputo^{a,b}, A. Colaleo^a, D. Creanza^{a,c}, L. Cristella^{a,b}, N. De Filippis^{a,c},
33 M. De Palma^{a,b}, L. Fiore^a, G. Iaselli^{a,c}, G. Maggi^{a,c}, M. Maggi^a, G. Miniello^{a,b}, S. My^{a,b}, S. Nuzzo^{a,b},
34 A. Pompili^{a,b}, G. Pugliese^{a,c}, R. Radogna^{a,b}, A. Ranieri^a, G. Selvaggi^{a,b}, L. Silvestris^{a,14}, R. Venditti^{a,b},
35 P. Verwilligen^a

36 ^a INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy

37 ^b Università di Bari, Bari, Italy

38 ^c Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy

1 G. Abbiendi^a, C. Battilana, D. Bonacorsi^{a,b}, S. Braibant-Giacomelli^{a,b}, L. Brigliadori^{a,b}, R. Campanini^{a,b}, 66
 2 P. Capiluppi^{a,b}, A. Castro^{a,b}, F.R. Cavallo^a, S.S. Chhibra^{a,b}, G. Codispoti^{a,b}, M. Cuffiani^{a,b}, 67
 3 G.M. Dallavalle^a, F. Fabbri^a, A. Fanfani^{a,b}, D. Fasanella^{a,b}, P. Giacomelli^a, C. Grandi^a, L. Guiducci^{a,b}, 68
 4 S. Marcellini^a, G. Masetti^a, A. Montanari^a, F.L. Navarria^{a,b}, A. Perrotta^a, A.M. Rossi^{a,b}, T. Rovelli^{a,b}, 69
 5 G.P. Siroli^{a,b}, N. Tosi^{a,b,14} 70
 6

7
 8 ^a INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

9 ^b Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

10 S. Albergo^{a,b}, M. Chiorboli^{a,b}, S. Costa^{a,b}, A. Di Mattia^a, F. Giordano^{a,b}, R. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Tricomi^{a,b}, 75
 11 C. Tuve^{a,b} 76
 12

13 ^a INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy

14 ^b Università di Catania, Catania, Italy

15
 16 G. Barbagli^a, V. Ciulli^{a,b}, C. Civinini^a, R. D'Alessandro^{a,b}, E. Focardi^{a,b}, V. Gori^{a,b}, P. Lenzi^{a,b}, 81
 17 M. Meschini^a, S. Paoletti^a, G. Sguazzoni^a, L. Viliani^{a,b,14} 82
 18

19 ^a INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

20 ^b Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

21
 22 L. Benussi, S. Bianco, F. Fabbri, D. Piccolo, F. Primavera¹⁴ 87
 23

24 INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

25
 26 V. Calvelli^{a,b}, F. Ferro^a, M. Lo Vetere^{a,b}, M.R. Monge^{a,b}, E. Robutti^a, S. Tosi^{a,b} 90
 27

28 ^a INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy

29 ^b Università di Genova, Genova, Italy

30
 31 L. Brianza, M.E. Dinardo^{a,b}, S. Fiorendi^{a,b}, S. Gennai^a, A. Ghezzi^{a,b}, P. Govoni^{a,b}, S. Malvezzi^a, 95
 32 R.A. Manzoni^{a,b,14}, B. Marzocchi^{a,b}, D. Menasce^a, L. Moroni^a, M. Paganoni^{a,b}, D. Pedrini^a, S. Pigazzini, 96
 33 S. Ragazzi^{a,b}, T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b} 97
 34

35 ^a INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

36 ^b Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

37
 38 S. Buontempo^a, N. Cavallo^{a,c}, G. De Nardo, S. Di Guida^{a,d,14}, M. Esposito^{a,b}, F. Fabozzi^{a,c}, 102
 39 A.O.M. Iorio^{a,b}, G. Lanza^a, L. Lista^a, S. Meola^{a,d,14}, P. Paolucci^{a,14}, C. Sciacca^{a,b}, F. Thyssen 103
 40

41 ^a INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy

42 ^b Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy

43 ^c Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy

44 ^d Università G. Marconi, Roma, Italy

45 P. Azzi^{a,14}, N. Bacchetta^a, L. Benato^{a,b}, D. Bisello^{a,b}, A. Boletti^{a,b}, R. Carlin^{a,b}, 110
 46 A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira^{a,b}, P. Checchia^a, M. Dall'Osso^{a,b}, P. De Castro Manzano^a, T. Dorigo^a, 111
 47 U. Dosselli^a, F. Gasparini^{a,b}, U. Gasparini^{a,b}, A. Gozzelino^a, S. Lacaprara^a, M. Margoni^{a,b}, 112
 48 A.T. Meneguzzo^{a,b}, J. Pazzini^{a,b,14}, N. Pozzobon^{a,b}, P. Ronchese^{a,b}, F. Simonetto^{a,b}, E. Torassa^a, 113
 49 M. Zanetti, P. Zotto^{a,b}, A. Zucchetta^{a,b}, G. Zumerle^{a,b} 114
 50

51
 52 ^a INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy

53 ^b Università di Padova, Padova, Italy

54 ^c Università di Trento, Trento, Italy

55 A. Braghieri^a, A. Magnani^{a,b}, P. Montagna^{a,b}, S.P. Ratti^{a,b}, V. Re^a, C. Riccardi^{a,b}, P. Salvini^a, I. Vai^{a,b}, 120
 56 P. Vitulo^{a,b} 121
 57

58 ^a INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

59 ^b Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

60
 61 L. Alunni Solestizi^{a,b}, G.M. Bilei^a, D. Ciangottini^{a,b}, L. Fanò^{a,b}, P. Lariccia^{a,b}, R. Leonardi^{a,b}, 126
 62 G. Mantovani^{a,b}, M. Menichelli^a, A. Saha^a, A. Santocchia^{a,b} 127
 63

64 ^a INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

65 ^b Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

1 K. Androso^{a,30}, P. Azzurri^{a,14}, G. Bagliesi^a, J. Bernardini^a, T. Boccali^a, R. Castaldi^a, M.A. Ciocci^{a,30}, 66
 2 R. Dell'Orso^a, S. Donato^{a,c}, G. Fedi, A. Giassi^a, M.T. Grippo^{a,30}, F. Ligabue^{a,c}, T. Lomtadze^a, L. Martini^{a,b}, 67
 3 A. Messineo^{a,b}, F. Palla^a, A. Rizzi^{a,b}, A. Savoy-Navarro^{a,31}, P. Spagnolo^a, R. Tenchini^a, G. Tonelli^{a,b}, 68
 4 A. Venturi^a, P.G. Verdini^a 69
 5

6 ^a INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy 71

7 ^b Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy 72

8 ^c Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy 73

9
 10 L. Barone^{a,b}, F. Cavallari^a, M. Cipriani^{a,b}, G. D'imperio^{a,b,14}, D. Del Re^{a,b,14}, M. Diemoz^a, S. Gelli^{a,b}, 74
 11 C. Jorda^a, E. Longo^{a,b}, F. Margaroli^{a,b}, P. Meridiani^a, G. Organtini^{a,b}, R. Paramatti^a, F. Preiato^{a,b}, 75
 12 S. Rahatlou^{a,b}, C. Rovelli^a, F. Santanastasio^{a,b} 76
 13

14 ^a INFN Sezione di Roma, Roma, Italy 79

15 ^b Università di Roma, Roma, Italy 80

16
 17 N. Amapane^{a,b}, R. Arcidiacono^{a,c,14}, S. Argiro^{a,b}, M. Arneodo^{a,c}, N. Bartosik^a, R. Bellan^{a,b}, C. Biino^a, 82
 18 N. Cartiglia^a, F. Cenna^{a,b}, M. Costa^{a,b}, R. Covarelli^{a,b}, A. Degano^{a,b}, N. Demaria^a, L. Finco^{a,b}, B. Kiani^{a,b}, 83
 19 C. Mariotti^a, S. Maselli^a, E. Migliore^{a,b}, V. Monaco^{a,b}, E. Monteil^{a,b}, M.M. Obertino^{a,b}, L. Pacher^{a,b}, 84
 20 N. Pastrone^a, M. Pelliccioni^a, G.L. Pinna Angioni^{a,b}, F. Ravera^{a,b}, A. Romero^{a,b}, M. Ruspa^{a,c}, R. Sacchi^{a,b}, 85
 21 K. Shchelina^{a,b}, V. Sola^a, A. Solano^{a,b}, A. Staiano^a, P. Traczyk^{a,b} 86
 22
 23

24 ^a INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy 89

25 ^b Università di Torino, Torino, Italy 90

26 ^c Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy 91

27
 28 S. Belforte^a, M. Casarsa^a, F. Cossutti^a, G. Della Ricca^{a,b}, C. La Licata^{a,b}, A. Schizzi^{a,b}, A. Zanetti^a 92
 29

30 ^a INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy 94

31 ^b Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy 95

32 D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, M.S. Kim, S. Lee, S.W. Lee, Y.D. Oh, S. Sekmen, D.C. Son, Y.C. Yang 97
 33

34 *Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Republic of Korea* 99

35
 36 A. Lee 101

37
 38 *Chonbuk National University, Jeonju, Republic of Korea* 102
 39

40 J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, T.J. Kim 105

41
 42 *Hanyang University, Seoul, Republic of Korea* 106
 43

44 S. Cho, S. Choi, Y. Go, D. Gyun, S. Ha, B. Hong, Y. Jo, Y. Kim, B. Lee, K. Lee, K.S. Lee, S. Lee, J. Lim, 108
 45 S.K. Park, Y. Roh 109
 46

47 *Korea University, Seoul, Republic of Korea* 111
 48

49 J. Almond, J. Kim, S.B. Oh, S.h. Seo, U.K. Yang, H.D. Yoo, G.B. Yu 114

50
 51 *Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea* 115
 52

53 M. Choi, H. Kim, H. Kim, J.H. Kim, J.S.H. Lee, I.C. Park, G. Ryu, M.S. Ryu 117

54
 55 *University of Seoul, Seoul, Republic of Korea* 119
 56

57 Y. Choi, J. Goh, C. Hwang, J. Lee, I. Yu 121

58
 59 *Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Republic of Korea* 123
 60

61 V. Dudenas, A. Juodagalvis, J. Vaitkus 125

62
 63 *Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania* 127
 64
 65

1 I. Ahmed, Z.A. Ibrahim, J.R. Komaragiri, M.A.B. Md Ali³², F. Mohamad Idris³³, W.A.T. Wan Abdullah, 66
 2 M.N. Yusli, Z. Zolkapli 67

3 68
 4 *National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia* 69

5 70
 6 E. Casimiro Linares, H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-De La Cruz³⁴, 71
 7 A. Hernandez-Almada, R. Lopez-Fernandez, R. Magaña Villalba, J. Mejia Guisao, A. Sanchez-Hernandez 72

8 73
 9 *Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico* 74

10 S. Carrillo Moreno, C. Oropeza Barrera, F. Vazquez Valencia 75

11 76
 12 *Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico* 77

13 78
 14 S. Carpinteyro, I. Pedraza, H.A. Salazar Ibarguen, C. Uribe Estrada 79

15 80
 16 *Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico* 81

17 82
 18 A. Morelos Pineda 83

19 84
 20 *Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico* 85

21 86
 22 D. Krofcheck 87

23 88
 24 *University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand* 89

25 90
 26 P.H. Butler 91

27 92
 28 *University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand* 93

29 94
 30 A. Ahmad, M. Ahmad, Q. Hassan, H.R. Hoorani, W.A. Khan, M.A. Shah, M. Shoaib, M. Waqas 95

31 96
 32 *National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan* 97

33 98
 34 H. Bialkowska, M. Bluj, B. Boimska, T. Frueboes, M. Górski, M. Kazana, K. Nawrocki, 99
 35 K. Romanowska-Rybinska, M. Szeleper, P. Zalewski 100

36 101
 37 *National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland* 102

38 103
 39 K. Bunkowski, A. Byszuk³⁵, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Misiura, 104
 40 M. Olszewski, M. Walczak 105

41 106
 42 *Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland* 107

43 108
 44 P. Bargassa, C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva, A. Di Francesco, P. Faccioli, P.G. Ferreira Parracho, M. Gallinaro, 109
 45 J. Hollar, N. Leonardo, L. Lloret Iglesias, M.V. Nemallapudi, J. Rodrigues Antunes, J. Seixas, O. Toldaiev, 110
 46 D. Vadrucchio, J. Varela, P. Vischia 111

47 112
 48 *Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal* 113

49 114
 50 S. Afanasiev, P. Bunin, M. Gavrilenko, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavin, A. Lanev, 115
 51 A. Malakhov, V. Matveev^{36,37}, P. Moisenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, S. Shmatov, S. Shulha, N. Skatchkov, 116
 52 V. Smirnov, N. Voytishin, A. Zarubin 117

53 118
 54 *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia* 119

55 120
 56 L. Chtchipounov, V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim³⁸, E. Kuznetsova³⁹, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, V. Sulimov, 121
 57 A. Vorobyev 122

58 123
 59 *Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia* 124

60 125
 61 Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, A. Karneyeu, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, 126
 62 A. Pashenkov, D. Tlisov, A. Toropin 127

63 128
 64 *Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia* 129
 65 130

V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilov, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Popov, I. Pozdnyakov, G. Safronov, A. Spiridonov, M. Toms, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia

A. Bylinkin³⁷

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Russia

M. Chadeeva⁴⁰, M. Danilov⁴⁰, O. Markin

National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin³⁷, I. Dremin³⁷, M. Kirakosyan, A. Leonidov³⁷, S.V. Rusakov, A. Terkulov

P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia

A. Baskakov, A. Belyaev, E. Boos, M. Dubinin⁴¹, L. Dudko, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova, I. Lokhtin, I. Miagkov, S. Obraztsov, S. Petrushanko, V. Savrin, A. Snigirev

Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

V. Blinov⁴², Y. Skovpen⁴²

Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia

I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, D. Elumakhov, V. Kachanov, A. Kalinin, D. Konstantinov, V. Krychkin, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, A. Sobol, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia

P. Adzic⁴³, P. Cirkovic, D. Devetak, M. Dordevic, J. Milosevic, V. Rekovic

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia

J. Alcaraz Maestre, M. Barrio Luna, E. Calvo, M. Cerrada, M. Chamizo Llatas, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, A. Escalante Del Valle, C. Fernandez Bedoya, J.P. Fernández Ramos, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, P. Garcia-Abia, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, E. Navarro De Martino, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo, J. Puerta Pelayo, A. Quintario Olmeda, I. Redondo, L. Romero, M.S. Soares

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

J.F. de Trocóniz, M. Missiroli, D. Moran

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

J. Cuevas, J. Fernandez Menendez, I. Gonzalez Caballero, J.R. González Fernández, E. Palencia Cortezon, S. Sanchez Cruz, I. Suárez Andrés, J.M. Vizán Garcia

Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain

I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, J.R. Castiñeiras De Saa, E. Curras, M. Fernandez, J. Garcia-Ferrero, G. Gomez, A. Lopez Virto, J. Marco, C. Martinez Rivero, F. Matorras, J. Piedra Gomez, T. Rodrigo, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Scodellaro, N. Trevisani, I. Vila, R. Vilar Cortabitarte

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

D. Abbaneo, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, M. Bachtis, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, P. Bloch, A. Bocci, A. Bonato, C. Botta, T. Camporesi, R. Castello, M. Cepeda, G. Cerminara, M. D'Alfonso, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, V. Daponte, A. David, M. De Gruttola, F. De Guio, A. De Roeck, E. Di Marco⁴⁴, M. Dobson, B. Dorney, T. du Pree, D. Duggan, M. Dünser, N. Dupont, A. Elliott-Peisert, S. Fartoukh, G. Franzoni, J. Fulcher, W. Funk, D. Gigi, K. Gill, M. Girone, F. Glege, D. Gulhan, S. Gundacker, M. Guthoff, J. Hammer, P. Harris, J. Hegeman, V. Innocente, P. Janot, H. Kirschenmann, V. Knünz, A. Kornmayer¹⁴,

1 M.J. Kortelainen, K. Kousouris, M. Krammer¹, P. Lecoq, C. Lourenço, M.T. Lucchini, L. Malgeri, 66
 2 M. Mannelli, A. Martelli, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, F. Moortgat, S. Morovic, M. Mulders, 67
 3 H. Neugebauer, S. Orfanelli, L. Orsini, L. Pape, E. Perez, M. Peruzzi, A. Petrilli, G. Petrucciani, A. Pfeiffer, 68
 4 M. Pierini, A. Racz, T. Reis, G. Rolandi⁴⁵, M. Rovere, M. Ruan, H. Sakulin, J.B. Sauvan, C. Schäfer, 69
 5 C. Schwick, M. Seidel, A. Sharma, P. Silva, M. Simon, P. Sphicas⁴⁶, J. Steggemann, M. Stoye, Y. Takahashi, 70
 6 M. Tosi, D. Treille, A. Triossi, A. Tsirou, V. Veckalns⁴⁷, G.I. Veres²¹, N. Wardle, A. Zagozdinska³⁵, 71
 7 W.D. Zeuner 72
 8 73
 9 74

10 CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland 75
 11 76

12 W. Bertl, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, 77
 13 T. Rohe 78
 14 79

15 Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland 80
 16 81

17 F. Bachmair, L. Bäni, L. Bianchini, B. Casal, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, P. Eller, C. Grab, 82
 18 C. Heidegger, D. Hits, J. Hoss, G. Kasieczka, P. Lecomte[†], W. Lustermann, B. Mangano, M. Marionneau, 83
 19 P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, M. Masciovecchio, M.T. Meinhard, D. Meister, F. Micheli, P. Musella, 84
 20 F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pandolfi, J. Pata, F. Pauss, G. Perrin, L. Perrozzi, M. Quittnat, M. Rossini, 85
 21 M. Schönenberger, A. Starodumov⁴⁸, V.R. Tavolaro, K. Theofilatos, R. Wallny 86
 22 87
 23 88

24 Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland 89
 25 90

26 T.K. Aarrestad, C. Amsler⁴⁹, L. Caminada, M.F. Canelli, V. Chiochia, A. De Cosa, C. Galloni, A. Hinzmann, 91
 27 T. Hreus, B. Kilminster, C. Lange, J. Ngadiuba, D. Pinna, G. Rauco, P. Robmann, D. Salerno, Y. Yang 92
 28 93

29 Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland 94
 30 95

31 V. Candelise, T.H. Doan, Sh. Jain, R. Khurana, M. Konyushikhin, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, Y.J. Lu, A. Pozdnyakov, 96
 32 S.S. Yu 97
 33 98

34 National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan 99
 35 100

36 Arun Kumar, P. Chang, Y.H. Chang, Y.W. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, P.H. Chen, C. Dietz, F. Fiori, W.-S. Hou, 101
 37 Y. Hsiung, Y.F. Liu, R.-S. Lu, M. Miñano Moya, E. Paganis, A. Psallidas, J.f. Tsai, Y.M. Tzeng 102
 38 103

39 National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan 104
 40 105

41 B. Asavapibhop, G. Singh, N. Srimanobhas, N. Suwonjandee 106
 42 107

43 Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand 108
 44 109

45 A. Adiguzel, S. Damarseckin, Z.S. Demiroglu, C. Dozen, E. Eskut, S. Girgis, G. Gokbulut, Y. Guler, 110
 46 E. Gurpinar, I. Hos, E.E. Kangal⁵⁰, O. Kara, A. Kayis Topaksu, U. Kiminsu, M. Oglakci, G. Onengut⁵¹, 111
 47 K. Ozdemir⁵², S. Ozturk⁵³, A. Polatoz, B. Tali⁵⁴, S. Turkcapar, I.S. Zorbakir, C. Zorbilmez 112
 48 113

49 Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey 114
 50 115

51 B. Bilin, S. Bilmis, B. Isildak⁵⁵, G. Karapinar⁵⁶, M. Yalvac, M. Zeyrek 116
 52 117

53 Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey 118
 54 119

55 E. Gülmez, M. Kaya⁵⁷, O. Kaya⁵⁸, E.A. Yetkin⁵⁹, T. Yetkin⁶⁰ 120
 56 121

57 Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey 122
 58 123

59 A. Cakir, K. Cankocak, S. Sen⁶¹ 124
 60 125

61 Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey 126
 62 127

63 B. Grynyov 128
 64 129

65 Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine 130

1 L. Levchuk, P. Sorokin

2 *National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine*

3
4 R. Aggleton, F. Ball, L. Beck, J.J. Brooke, D. Burns, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, J. Goldstein,
5 M. Grimes, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, J. Jacob, L. Kreczko, C. Lucas, D.M. Newbold⁶², S. Paramesvaran,
6 A. Poll, T. Sakuma, S. Seif El Nasr-storey, D. Smith, V.J. Smith

7
8
9 *University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom*

10 K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev⁶³, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, L. Calligaris, D. Cieri, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan,
11 K. Harder, S. Harper, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, A. Thea, I.R. Tomalin, T. Williams

12
13
14 *Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom*

15
16 M. Baber, R. Bainbridge, O. Buchmuller, A. Bundock, D. Burton, S. Casasso, M. Citron, D. Colling, L. Corpe,
17 P. Dauncey, G. Davies, A. De Wit, M. Della Negra, R. Di Maria, P. Dunne, A. Elwood, D. Futyan, Y. Haddad,
18 G. Hall, G. Iles, T. James, R. Lane, C. Laner, R. Lucas⁶², L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, S. Malik, L. Mastrolorenzo,
19 J. Nash, A. Nikitenko⁴⁸, J. Pela, B. Penning, M. Pesaresi, D.M. Raymond, A. Richards, A. Rose, C. Seez,
20 S. Summers, A. Tapper, K. Uchida, M. Vazquez Acosta⁶⁴, T. Virdee¹⁴, J. Wright, S.C. Zenz

21
22
23 *Imperial College, London, United Kingdom*

24 J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, D. Leslie, I.D. Reid, P. Symonds, L. Teodorescu, M. Turner

25
26
27 *Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom*

28 A. Borzou, K. Call, J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, H. Liu, N. Pastika

29
30
31 *Baylor University, Waco, USA*

32 O. Charaf, S.I. Cooper, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio

33
34
35 *The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA*

36 D. Arcaro, A. Avetisyan, T. Bose, D. Gastler, D. Rankin, C. Richardson, J. Rohlf, L. Sulak, D. Zou

37
38
39 *Boston University, Boston, USA*

40 G. Benelli, E. Berry, D. Cutts, A. Garabedian, J. Hakala, U. Heintz, J.M. Hogan, O. Jesus, E. Laird,
41 G. Landsberg, Z. Mao, M. Narain, S. Piperov, S. Sagir, E. Spencer, R. Syarif

42
43
44 *Brown University, Providence, USA*

45 R. Breedon, G. Breto, D. Burns, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, S. Chauhan, M. Chertok, J. Conway,
46 R. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, C. Flores, G. Funk, M. Gardner, W. Ko, R. Lander, C. Mclean,
47 M. Mulhearn, D. Pellett, J. Pilot, F. Ricci-Tam, S. Shalhout, J. Smith, M. Squires, D. Stolp, M. Tripathi,
48 S. Wilbur, R. Yohay

49
50
51 *University of California, Davis, Davis, USA*

52 R. Cousins, P. Everaerts, A. Florent, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, D. Saltzberg, E. Takasugi, V. Valuev, M. Weber

53
54
55 *University of California, Los Angeles, USA*

56 K. Burt, R. Clare, J. Ellison, J.W. Gary, G. Hanson, J. Heilman, P. Jandir, E. Kennedy, F. Lacroix, O.R. Long,
57 M. Malberti, M. Olmedo Negrete, M.I. Paneva, A. Shrinivas, H. Wei, S. Wimpenny, B.R. Yates

58
59
60 *University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA*

61 J.G. Branson, G.B. Cerati, S. Cittolin, M. Derdzinski, R. Gerosa, A. Holzner, D. Klein, V. Krutelyov, J. Letts,
62 I. Macneill, D. Olivito, S. Padhi, M. Pieri, M. Sani, V. Sharma, S. Simon, M. Tadel, A. Vartak,
63 S. Wasserbaech⁶⁵, C. Welke, J. Wood, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, G. Zevi Della Porta

64
65

66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130

1 University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA

2
3 R. Bhandari, J. Bradmiller-Feld, C. Campagnari, A. Dishaw, V. Dutta, K. Flowers, M. Franco Sevilla,
4 P. Geffert, C. George, F. Golf, L. Gouskos, J. Gran, R. Heller, J. Incandela, N. Mccoll, S.D. Mullin,
5 A. Ovcharova, J. Richman, D. Stuart, I. Suarez, C. West, J. Yoo

6
7 University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA

8
9 D. Anderson, A. Apresyan, J. Bendavid, A. Bornheim, J. Bunn, Y. Chen, J. Duarte, J.M. Lawhorn, A. Mott,
10 H.B. Newman, C. Pena, M. Spiropulu, J.R. Vlimant, S. Xie, R.Y. Zhu

11
12 California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA

13
14 M.B. Andrews, V. Azzolini, B. Carlson, T. Ferguson, M. Paulini, J. Russ, M. Sun, H. Vogel, I. Vorobiev

15
16 Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA

17
18 J.P. Cumalat, W.T. Ford, F. Jensen, A. Johnson, M. Krohn, T. Mulholland, K. Stenson, S.R. Wagner

19
20 University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, USA

21
22 J. Alexander, J. Chaves, J. Chu, S. Dittmer, K. Mcdermott, N. Mirman, G. Nicolas Kaufman, J.R. Patterson,
23 A. Rinkevicius, A. Ryd, L. Skinnari, L. Soffi, S.M. Tan, Z. Tao, J. Thom, J. Tucker, P. Wittich, M. Zientek

24
25 Cornell University, Ithaca, USA

26
27 D. Winn

28
29 Fairfield University, Fairfield, USA

30
31 S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, G. Apollinari, S. Banerjee, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat,
32 G. Bolla, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, S. Cihangir, M. Cremonesi, V.D. Elvira, I. Fisk,
33 J. Freeman, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, S. Grünendahl, O. Gutsche, D. Hare, R.M. Harris, S. Hasegawa,
34 J. Hirschauer, Z. Hu, B. Jayatilaka, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, B. Klima, B. Kreis, S. Lammel,
35 J. Linacre, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, T. Liu, R. Lopes De Sá, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, N. Magini, J.M. Marraffino,
36 S. Maruyama, D. Mason, P. McBride, P. Merkel, S. Mrenna, S. Nahn, C. Newman-Holmes[†], V. O'Dell,
37 K. Pedro, O. Prokofyev, G. Rakness, L. Ristori, E. Sexton-Kennedy, A. Soha, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel,
38 S. Stoynev, N. Strobbe, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, C. Vernieri,
39 M. Verzocchi, R. Vidal, M. Wang, H.A. Weber, A. Whitbeck

40
41
42 Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA

43
44 D. Acosta, P. Avery, P. Bortignon, D. Bourilkov, A. Brinkerhoff, A. Carnes, M. Carver, D. Curry, S. Das,
45 R.D. Field, I.K. Furic, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, P. Ma, K. Matchev, H. Mei, P. Milenovic⁶⁶,
46 G. Mitselmakher, D. Rank, L. Shchutska, D. Sperka, L. Thomas, J. Wang, S. Wang, J. Yelton

47
48
49 University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

50
51 S. Linn, P. Markowitz, G. Martinez, J.L. Rodriguez

52
53 Florida International University, Miami, USA

54
55 A. Ackert, J.R. Adams, T. Adams, A. Askew, S. Bein, B. Diamond, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson,
56 A. Khatiwada, H. Prosper, A. Santra, M. Weinberg

57
58 Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA

59
60 M.M. Baarmand, V. Bhopatkar, S. Colafranceschi⁶⁷, M. Hohlmann, D. Noonan, T. Roy, F. Yumiceva

61
62 Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA

1 M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, D. Berry, R.R. Betts, I. Bucinskaite, R. Cavanaugh, O. Evdokimov, L. Gauthier, 66
2 C.E. Gerber, D.J. Hofman, P. Kurt, C. O'Brien, I.D. Sandoval Gonzalez, P. Turner, N. Varelas, H. Wang, 67
3 Z. Wu, M. Zakaria, J. Zhang 68
4

5 *University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA* 69
6

7 B. Bilki⁶⁸, W. Clarida, K. Dilsiz, S. Durgut, R.P. Gandrajula, M. Haytmyradov, V. Khristenko, J.-P. Merlo, 72
8 H. Mermerkaya⁶⁹, A. Mestvirishvili, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, H. Ogul, Y. Onel, F. Ozok⁷⁰, A. Penzo, 73
9 C. Snyder, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel, K. Yi 74
10

11 *The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA* 75
12

13 I. Anderson, B. Blumenfeld, A. Cocoros, N. Eminizer, D. Fehling, L. Feng, A.V. Gritsan, P. Maksimovic, 78
14 M. Osherson, J. Roskes, U. Sarica, M. Swartz, M. Xiao, Y. Xin, C. You 79
15

16 *Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA* 80
17

18 A. Al-bataineh, P. Baringer, A. Bean, J. Bowen, C. Bruner, J. Castle, R.P. Kenny III, A. Kropivnitskaya, 83
19 D. Majumder, W. Mcbrayer, M. Murray, S. Sanders, R. Stringer, J.D. Tapia Takaki, Q. Wang 84
20

21 *The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA* 85
22

23 A. Ivanov, K. Kaadze, S. Khalil, M. Makouski, Y. Maravin, A. Mohammadi, L.K. Saini, N. Skhirtladze, 88
24 S. Toda 89
25

26 *Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA* 90
27

28 D. Lange, F. Rebassoo, D. Wright 93
29

30 *Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA* 94
31

32 C. Anelli, A. Baden, O. Baron, A. Belloni, B. Calvert, S.C. Eno, C. Ferraioli, J.A. Gomez, N.J. Hadley, S. Jabeen, 97
33 R.G. Kellogg, T. Kolberg, J. Kunkle, Y. Lu, A.C. Mignerey, Y.H. Shin, A. Skuja, M.B. Tonjes, S.C. Tonwar 98
34

35 *University of Maryland, College Park, USA* 99
36

37 D. Abercrombie, B. Allen, A. Apyan, R. Barbieri, A. Baty, R. Bi, K. Bierwagen, S. Brandt, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, 102
38 Z. Demiragli, L. Di Matteo, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, D. Hsu, Y. Iiyama, G.M. Innocenti, M. Klute, 103
39 D. Kovalskyi, K. Krajczar, Y.S. Lai, Y.-J. Lee, A. Levin, P.D. Luckey, A.C. Marini, C. McGinn, C. Mironov, 104
40 S. Narayanan, X. Niu, C. Paus, C. Roland, G. Roland, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, G.S.F. Stephans, K. Sumorok, 105
41 K. Tatar, M. Varma, D. Velicanu, J. Veverka, J. Wang, T.W. Wang, B. Wyslouch, M. Yang, V. Zhukova 106
42
43

44 *Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA* 107
45

46 A.C. Benvenuti, R.M. Chatterjee, A. Evans, A. Finkel, A. Gude, P. Hansen, S. Kalafut, S.C. Kao, Y. Kubota, 111
47 Z. Lesko, J. Mans, S. Nourbakhsh, N. Ruckstuhl, R. Rusack, N. Tambe, J. Turkewitz 112
48

49 *University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA* 113
50

51 J.G. Acosta, S. Oliveros 116
52

53 *University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA* 117
54

55 E. Avdeeva, R. Bartek, K. Bloom, S. Bose, D.R. Claes, A. Dominguez, C. Fangmeier, R. Gonzalez Suarez, 120
56 R. Kamalieddin, D. Knowlton, I. Kravchenko, A. Malta Rodrigues, F. Meier, J. Monroy, J.E. Siado, 121
57 G.R. Snow, B. Stieger 122
58

59 *University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA* 123
60

61 M. Alyari, J. Dolen, J. George, A. Godshalk, C. Harrington, I. Iashvili, J. Kaisen, A. Kharchilava, A. Kumar, 126
62 A. Parker, S. Rappoccio, B. Roobahani 127
63

64 *State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA* 128
65

1 G. Alverson, E. Barberis, D. Baumgartel, A. Hortiangtham, A. Massironi, D.M. Morse, D. Nash, T. Orimoto, 66
 2 R. Teixeira De Lima, D. Trocino, R.-J. Wang, D. Wood 67

3 *Northeastern University, Boston, USA* 68
 4 69

5 S. Bhattacharya, K.A. Hahn, A. Kubik, A. Kumar, J.F. Low, N. Mucia, N. Odell, B. Pollack, M.H. Schmitt, 70
 6 K. Sung, M. Trovato, M. Velasco 71
 7 72

8 *Northwestern University, Evanston, USA* 73
 9 74

10 N. Dev, M. Hildreth, K. Hurtado Anampa, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, N. Kellams, K. Lannon, N. Marinelli, 75
 11 F. Meng, C. Mueller, Y. Musienko³⁶, M. Planer, A. Reinsvold, R. Ruchti, G. Smith, S. Taroni, N. Valls, 76
 12 M. Wayne, M. Wolf, A. Woodard 77
 13 78
 14 79

15 *University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA* 80
 16 81

17 J. Alimena, L. Antonelli, J. Brinson, B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, S. Flowers, B. Francis, A. Hart, C. Hill, 82
 18 R. Hughes, W. Ji, B. Liu, W. Luo, D. Puigh, B.L. Winer, H.W. Wulsin 83
 19 84

20 *The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA* 85
 21 86

22 S. Cooperstein, O. Driga, P. Elmer, J. Hardenbrook, P. Hebda, J. Luo, D. Marlow, T. Medvedeva, M. Mooney, 87
 23 J. Olsen, C. Palmer, P. Piroué, D. Stickland, C. Tully, A. Zuranski 88
 24 89

25 *Princeton University, Princeton, USA* 90
 26 91

27 S. Malik 92
 28 93

29 *University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA* 94
 30 95

31 A. Barker, V.E. Barnes, S. Folgueras, L. Gutay, M.K. Jha, M. Jones, A.W. Jung, K. Jung, D.H. Miller, 96
 32 N. Neumeister, B.C. Radburn-Smith, X. Shi, J. Sun, A. Svyatkovskiy, F. Wang, W. Xie, L. Xu 97
 33 98

34 *Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA* 99
 35 100

36 N. Parashar, J. Stupak 101
 37 102

38 *Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, USA* 103
 39 104

40 A. Adair, B. Akgun, Z. Chen, K.M. Ecklund, F.J.M. Geurts, M. Guilbaud, W. Li, B. Michlin, M. Northup, 105
 41 B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Rorie, Z. Tu, J. Zabel 106
 42 107

43 *Rice University, Houston, USA* 108
 44 109

45 B. Betchart, A. Bodek, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, Y.t. Duh, T. Ferbel, M. Galanti, A. Garcia-Bellido, J. Han, 110
 46 O. Hindrichs, A. Khukhunaishvili, K.H. Lo, P. Tan, M. Verzetti 111
 47 112

48 *University of Rochester, Rochester, USA* 113
 49 114

50 J.P. Chou, E. Contreras-Campana, Y. Gershtein, T.A. Gómez Espinosa, E. Halkiadakis, M. Heindl, D. Hidas, 115
 51 E. Hughes, S. Kaplan, R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli, S. Kyriacou, A. Lath, K. Nash, H. Saka, S. Salur, 116
 52 S. Schnetzer, D. Sheffield, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas, P. Thomassen, M. Walker 117
 53 118

54 *Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA* 119
 55 120

56 M. Foerster, J. Heideman, G. Riley, K. Rose, S. Spanier, K. Thapa 121
 57 122

58 *University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA* 123
 59 124

60 O. Bouhali⁷¹, A. Celik, M. Dalchenko, M. De Mattia, A. Delgado, S. Dildick, R. Eusebi, J. Gilmore, T. Huang, 125
 61 E. Juska, T. Kamon⁷², R. Mueller, Y. Pakhotin, R. Patel, A. Perloff, L. Perniè, D. Rathjens, A. Rose, 126
 62 A. Safonov, A. Tatarinov, K.A. Ulmer 127
 63 128

64 *Texas A&M University, College Station, USA* 129
 65 130

1 N. Akchurin, C. Cowden, J. Damgov, C. Dragoiu, P.R. Duderu, J. Faulkner, S. Kunori, K. Lamichhane, 66
 2 S.W. Lee, T. Libeiro, S. Undleeb, I. Volobouev, Z. Wang 67

3 *Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA* 68
 4 69

5 A.G. Delannoy, S. Greene, A. Gurrola, R. Janjam, W. Johns, C. Maguire, A. Melo, H. Ni, P. Sheldon, S. Tuo, 70
 6 J. Velkovska, Q. Xu 71
 7 72

8 *Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA* 73
 9 74
 10 75

11 M.W. Arenton, P. Barria, B. Cox, J. Goodell, R. Hirsosky, A. Ledovskoy, H. Li, C. Neu, T. Sinthuprasith, 76
 12 X. Sun, Y. Wang, E. Wolfe, F. Xia 77
 13 78

14 *University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA* 79
 15 80

16 C. Clarke, R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, P. Lamichhane, J. Sturdy 81
 17 82

18 *Wayne State University, Detroit, USA* 83
 19 84

20 D.A. Belknap, S. Dasu, L. Dodd, S. Duric, B. Gomber, M. Grothe, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, P. Klabbbers, 85
 21 A. Lanaro, A. Levine, K. Long, R. Loveless, I. Ojalvo, T. Perry, G.A. Pierro, G. Polese, T. Ruggles, A. Savin, 86
 22 A. Sharma, N. Smith, W.H. Smith, D. Taylor, N. Woods 87
 23 88

24 *University of Wisconsin – Madison, Madison, WI, USA* 89
 25 90
 26 91

27 † Deceased. 92

28 ¹ Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria. 93

29 ² Also at State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China. 94

30 ³ Also at Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France. 95

31 ⁴ Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil. 96

32 ⁵ Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium. 97

33 ⁶ Also at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany. 98

34 ⁷ Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia. 99

35 ⁸ Also at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt. 100

36 ⁹ Now at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt. 101

37 ¹⁰ Now at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt. 102

38 ¹¹ Also at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt. 103

39 ¹² Now at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt. 104

40 ¹³ Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France. 105

41 ¹⁴ Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland. 106

42 ¹⁵ Also at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia. 107

43 ¹⁶ Also at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia. 108

44 ¹⁷ Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany. 109

45 ¹⁸ Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany. 110

46 ¹⁹ Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany. 111

47 ²⁰ Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary. 112

48 ²¹ Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary. 113

49 ²² Also at University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary. 114

50 ²³ Also at Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhopal, India. 115

51 ²⁴ Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India. 116

52 ²⁵ Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India. 117

53 ²⁶ Also at University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka. 118

54 ²⁷ Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran. 119

55 ²⁸ Also at University of Tehran, Department of Engineering Science, Tehran, Iran. 120

56 ²⁹ Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran. 121

57 ³⁰ Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy. 122

58 ³¹ Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA. 123

59 ³² Also at International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. 124

60 ³³ Also at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia. 125

61 ³⁴ Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico city, Mexico. 126

62 ³⁵ Also at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland. 127

63 ³⁶ Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia. 128

64 ³⁷ Now at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia. 129

65 ³⁸ Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia. 130

³⁹ Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, USA.

⁴⁰ Also at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia.

⁴¹ Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA.

1	42	Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia.	66
2	43	Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.	67
3	44	Also at INFN Sezione di Roma; Università di Roma, Roma, Italy.	68
4	45	Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy.	69
5	46	Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.	70
6	47	Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia.	71
7	48	Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia.	72
8	49	Also at Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Bern, Switzerland.	73
9	50	Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey.	74
10	51	Also at Cag University, Mersin, Turkey.	75
11	52	Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey.	76
12	53	Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey.	77
13	54	Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.	78
14	55	Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey.	79
15	56	Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey.	80
16	57	Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.	81
17	58	Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.	82
18	59	Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey.	83
19	60	Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey.	84
20	61	Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.	85
21	62	Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom.	86
22	63	Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.	87
23	64	Also at Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, La Laguna, Spain.	88
24	65	Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA.	89
25	66	Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia.	90
26	67	Also at Facoltà Ingegneria, Università di Roma, Roma, Italy.	91
27	68	Also at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA.	92
28	69	Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey.	93
29	70	Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey.	94
30	71	Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.	95
31	72	Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea.	96
32			97
33			98
34			99
35			100
36			101
37			102
38			103
39			104
40			105
41			106
42			107
43			108
44			109
45			110
46			111
47			112
48			113
49			114
50			115
51			116
52			117
53			118
54			119
55			120
56			121
57			122
58			123
59			124
60			125
61			126
62			127
63			128
64			129
65			130

Sponsor names

Do not correct this page. Please mark corrections to sponsor names and grant numbers in the main text.

1		66
2		67
3		68
4	BMWFW , <i>country=Austria, grants=</i>	69
5		70
6	FWF , <i>country=Austria, grants=</i>	71
7		72
8	FWO , <i>country=Belgium, grants=</i>	73
9		74
10	CNPq , <i>country=Brazil, grants=</i>	75
11		76
12	CAPES , <i>country=Brazil, grants=</i>	77
13		78
14	FAPERJ , <i>country=Brazil, grants=</i>	79
15		80
16	FAPESP , <i>country=Brazil, grants=</i>	81
17		82
18	MES , <i>country=Bulgaria, grants=</i>	83
19		84
20	NSFC , <i>country=China, grants=</i>	85
21		86
22	COLCIENCIAS , <i>country=Colombia, grants=</i>	87
23		88
24	RPF , <i>country=Cyprus, grants=</i>	89
25		90
26	SENESCYT , <i>country=Ecuador, grants=</i>	91
27		92
28	Academy of Finland , <i>country=Finland, grants=</i>	93
29		94
30	CEA , <i>country=France, grants=</i>	95
31		96
32	BMBF , <i>country=Germany, grants=</i>	97
33		98
34	DFG , <i>country=Germany, grants=</i>	99
35		100
36	GSRT , <i>country=Greece, grants=</i>	101
37		102
38	OTKA , <i>country=Hungary, grants=</i>	103
39		104
40	DAE , <i>country=India, grants=</i>	105
41		106
42	DST , <i>country=India, grants=</i>	107
43		108
44	IPM , <i>country=Iran, grants=</i>	109
45		110
46	SFI , <i>country=Ireland, grants=</i>	111
47		112
48	INFN , <i>country=Italy, grants=</i>	113
49		114
50	MSIP , <i>country=South Korea, grants=</i>	115
51		116
52	NRF , <i>country=South Korea, grants=</i>	117
53		118
54	UM , <i>country=Malaysia, grants=</i>	119
55		120
56	BUAP , <i>country=Mexico, grants=</i>	121
57		122
58	CONACYT , <i>country=Mexico, grants=</i>	123
59		124
60	SEP , <i>country=Mexico, grants=</i>	125
61		126
62	NSC , <i>country=Taiwan, grants=</i>	127
63		128
64	FCT , <i>country=Portugal, grants=</i>	129
65		130