



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Physics Letters B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb



Search for dijet resonances in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and constraints on dark matter and other models

The CMS Collaboration*

CERN, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 November 2016
 Received in revised form 21 January 2017
 Accepted 6 February 2017
 Available online xxxx
 Editor: M. Doser

Keywords:

CMS
 Physics
 Search
 Exotica
 Dijet
 Resonance

ABSTRACT

A search is presented for narrow resonances decaying to dijet final states in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 12.9 fb^{-1} . The dijet mass spectrum is well described by a smooth parameterization and no significant evidence for the production of new particles is observed. Upper limits at 95% confidence level are reported on the production cross section for narrow resonances with masses above 0.6 TeV. In the context of specific models, the limits exclude string resonances with masses below 7.4 TeV, scalar diquarks below 6.9 TeV, axigluons and colorons below 5.5 TeV, excited quarks below 5.4 TeV, color-octet scalars below 3.0 TeV, W' bosons below 2.7 TeV, Z' bosons below 2.1 TeV and between 2.3 and 2.6 TeV, and RS gravitons below 1.9 TeV. These extend previous limits in the dijet channel. Vector and axial-vector mediators in a simplified model of interactions between quarks and dark matter are excluded below 2.0 TeV. The first limits in the dijet channel on dark matter mediators are presented as functions of dark matter mass and are compared to the exclusions of dark matter in direct detection experiments.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

The dijet mass (m_{jj}) spectrum in proton–proton (pp) collisions arising from the production of partons at high transverse momentum (p_T) is predicted by quantum chromodynamics (QCD) to fall smoothly with increasing dijet mass. Many models of physics beyond the standard model (SM) require new particles that couple to quarks (q) and gluons (g) and can be observed as resonances in the dijet mass spectrum. One example is a model in which dark matter (DM) particles couple to quarks through a DM mediator. This mediator can decay to either a pair of DM particles or a pair of jets and therefore can be observed as a dijet resonance [1]. Here, we report a search for narrow dijet resonances, which are those with natural widths that are small compared to the experimental mass resolution.

This letter presents the results of two searches for dijet resonances, using data collected in 2016 with the CMS detector at the CERN LHC in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 12.9 fb^{-1} . The first is a *high-mass* search for resonances with mass above 1.6 TeV using dijet events that are reconstructed offline. Similar high-mass searches were published by

CMS and ATLAS at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV [2,3], 8 TeV [4–6], and 7 TeV [7–13] using strategies reviewed in Ref. [14]. The most recently published high-mass searches used data collected in 2015 corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 2.4 fb^{-1} by CMS [2] and 3.6 fb^{-1} by ATLAS [3]. The second is a *low-mass* search for resonances with mass between 0.6 and 1.6 TeV using dijet events that are reconstructed, selected, and recorded in a compact form by the high-level trigger (HLT) in a technique called *data scouting* [15]. Data scouting was previously used for a similar low-mass search published by CMS at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [16].

We present model-independent results and, in addition, consider the following benchmark models of s -channel dijet resonances: string resonances [17,18], scalar diquarks [19], axigluons [20,21], colorons [21,22], excited quarks (q^*) [23,24], color-octet scalars [25], new gauge bosons (W' and Z') with SM-like or leptophobic couplings [26], DM mediators [27,28], and Randall–Sundrum (RS) gravitons (G) [29]. In the color-octet scalar model the squared anomalous coupling used is $k_s^2 = 1/2$ [30], yielding a width and a cross section that is half the value used in the previous CMS search [2]. Following the recommendations of Ref. [27] the DM mediator in a simplified model [28] is assumed to be a spin-1 particle and to decay only to $q\bar{q}$ and pairs of DM particles, with unknown mass m_{DM} , and with a universal quark coupling

* E-mail address: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.02.012>

0370-2693/© 2017 Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP³.

$g_q = 0.25$ and a DM coupling $g_{DM} = 1.0$. Otherwise, the specific choices of parameters for the benchmark models are the same as those that were used in previous CMS searches, and can be found in Ref. [7].

2. Jet reconstruction and event selection

The CMS detector and its coordinate system, including the azimuthal angle ϕ and the pseudorapidity η , are described in detail in Ref. [31]. The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter providing an axial field of 3.8 T. Within the field volume are located the silicon pixel and strip tracker ($|\eta| < 2.4$) and the barrel and endcap calorimeters ($|\eta| < 3$), which consist of a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter, and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter. An iron and quartz-fiber hadron calorimeter is located in the forward region ($3 < |\eta| < 5$), outside the field volume. For triggering purposes and to facilitate jet reconstruction, the calorimeter cells are grouped into towers projecting radially outward from the center of the detector.

A particle-flow (PF) event algorithm reconstructs and identifies each individual particle with an optimized combination of information from the various elements of the CMS detector [32,33]. Particles are classified as muons, electrons, photons, and either charged or neutral hadrons. Jets are reconstructed either using particle flow, giving *PF-jets*, or from energy deposits in the calorimeters, giving *Calo-jets*. *PF-jets* reconstructed offline are used in the high-mass search, and *Calo-jets* reconstructed by the HLT are used in the low-mass search. To reconstruct both types of jets, we use the anti- k_T algorithm [34,35] with a distance parameter of 0.4, as implemented in the FASTJET package [36]. For the high-mass search, at least one reconstructed vertex is required. The primary vertex is defined as the vertex with the highest sum of p_T^2 of the associated tracks. For *PF-jets*, charged PF candidates not originating from the primary vertex are removed prior to the jet finding. For both types of jets, an event-by-event correction based on jet area [37,38] is applied to the jet energy to remove the estimated contribution from additional collisions in the same or adjacent bunch crossings (pileup).

Events are selected using a two-tier trigger system. Events satisfying loose jet requirements at the first level (L1) are examined by the HLT. The HLT uses H_T , the scalar sum of the jet p_T from all jets in the event with $|\eta| < 3$ that satisfy a jet p_T requirement, to select events. For the high-mass search, *PF-jets* with $p_T > 30$ GeV are used to compute H_T , and events are accepted by the HLT if they satisfy the requirement $H_T > 800$ GeV. We then select events with $m_{jj} > 1.06$ TeV for which the combined L1 trigger and HLT are found to be fully efficient. For the low-mass search, when an event passes the HLT, the *Calo-jets* reconstructed at the HLT are saved, along with the event energy density and missing transverse momentum reconstructed from the calorimeter. The shorter time for event reconstruction of calorimeter quantities and the reduced event size recorded for these events allow a reduced H_T threshold compared to the high-mass search. For the low-mass search, *Calo-jets* with $p_T > 40$ GeV are used to compute H_T , the threshold is $H_T > 250$ GeV, and we select events with $m_{jj} > 0.45$ TeV for which the trigger is fully efficient.

The jet momenta and energies are corrected using calibration constants obtained from simulation, test beam results, and pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. The methods described in Ref. [38] are used and all *in-situ* calibrations are obtained from the current data. All jets are required to have $p_T > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. The two jets with largest p_T are defined as the leading jets. Jet identification (ID) criteria are applied to remove spurious jets associated with calorimeter noise. The jet ID for *PF-jets* is described

in Ref. [39]. The jet ID for *Calo-jets* requires that the jet be detected by both the electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters with the fraction of jet energy deposited within the electromagnetic calorimeter between 5 and 95% of the total jet energy. An event is rejected if either of the two leading jets fails the jet ID criteria.

Spatially close jets are combined into “wide jets” and used to determine the dijet mass, as in the previous CMS searches [4,6,7,10]. The wide-jet algorithm, designed for dijet resonance event reconstruction, reduces the analysis sensitivity to gluon radiation from the final-state partons. The two leading jets are used as seeds and the four-vectors of all other jets, if within $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2} < 1.1$, are added to the nearest leading jet to obtain two wide jets, which then form the dijet system. The background from t -channel dijet events peaks at large values of $|\Delta\eta_{jj}|$ and is suppressed by requiring the pseudorapidity separation of the two wide jets to satisfy $|\Delta\eta_{jj}| < 1.3$. The above requirements maximize the search sensitivity for isotropic decays of dijet resonances in the presence of QCD dijet background. For the low-mass search, after wide jet reconstruction and event selection, we use a correction derived from a smaller sample of dijet data to calibrate the wide jets reconstructed from *Calo-jets* at HLT. With this correction, based on a dijet balance tag-and-probe method similar to that discussed in Ref. [38], the wide jets from *Calo-jets* have the same response as those reconstructed from *PF-jets*.

3. Dijet mass spectrum and fit

Fig. 1 shows the dijet mass spectra, defined as the observed number of events in each bin divided by the integrated luminosity and the bin width, with predefined bins of width corresponding to the dijet mass resolution [12]. The highest mass event has a dijet mass of 7.7 TeV. The dijet mass spectra for both the high- and low-mass searches are fit with the following parameterization:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dm_{jj}} = \frac{P_0(1-x)^{P_1}}{x^{P_2+P_3 \ln(x)}}, \quad (1)$$

where $x = m_{jj}/\sqrt{s}$ and P_0 , P_1 , P_2 , and P_3 are four free parameters. The functional form in Eq. (1) was also used in previous searches [2–13,16,40] to describe the data. In Fig. 1 we show the result of binned maximum likelihood fits, performed independently, which yields the following chi-squared per number of degrees of freedom: $\chi^2/\text{NDF} = 33.3/42$ for the high-mass search and $\chi^2/\text{NDF} = 17.3/22$ for the low-mass search. The dijet mass spectra are well modeled by the background fits. In the lower panels of Fig. 1, in the region of dijet mass between 1.1 and 2.0 TeV, the bin-by-bin differences between the data and the background fit are not identical in the two searches because fluctuations in reconstructed dijet mass for *Calo-jets* and *PF-jets* are not completely correlated.

We search for narrow resonances in the dijet mass spectrum. Fig. 1 shows examples of dijet mass distributions for signal events generated with the PYTHIA 8.205 [41] program with the CUETP8M1 tune [42,43] and including a GEANT4-based [44] simulation of the CMS detector. The predicted mass distributions have Gaussian cores from jet energy resolution, and tails towards lower mass values primarily from QCD radiation. The contribution of the low mass tail to the lineshape depends on the parton content of the resonance (qq, qg, or gg). Resonances containing gluons, which emit more QCD radiation than quarks, are wider and have a more pronounced tail. The signal distributions shown in Fig. 1 are for qq, qg, and gg resonances with signal cross sections corresponding to the limits at 95% confidence level (CL) obtained by this analysis, as described below. There is no evidence for a narrow resonance in the data. The most significant excess of the data relative to the




Fig. 1. Dijet mass spectra (points) compared to a fitted parameterization of the background (solid curve) for the low-mass search (top) and the high-mass search (bottom). The lower panel in each plot shows the difference between the data and the fitted parameterization, divided by the statistical uncertainty of the data. Predicted signals from narrow gluon–gluon, quark–gluon, and quark–quark resonances are shown with cross sections equal to the observed upper limits at 95% CL.

background fit comes from the five consecutive bins between 0.74 and 1.00 TeV in the low mass search shown in Fig. 1. Fitting these data to qq, qg, and gg resonances with a mass of 0.85 TeV yields local significances of 2.2, 2.5 and 2.6 standard deviations including systematic uncertainties, respectively.

4. Limits on dijet resonances

We use the dijet mass spectrum from wide jets, the background parameterization, and the dijet resonance shapes to set limits on the production of new particles decaying to the parton pairs qq (or $q\bar{q}$), qg, and gg. A separate limit is determined for each final state

(qq, qg, and gg) because of the dependence of the dijet resonance shape on the types of the two final-state partons.

The dominant sources of systematic uncertainty are the jet energy scale and resolution, integrated luminosity, and the estimation of background. The uncertainty in the jet energy scale in both the low-mass and the high-mass search is 2% and is determined from $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV data using the methods described in Ref. [38]. This uncertainty is propagated to the limits by shifting the dijet mass shape for signal by $\pm 2\%$. The uncertainty in the jet energy resolution translates into an uncertainty of 10% in the resolution of the dijet mass [38], and is propagated to the limits by observing the effect of increasing and decreasing by 10% the reconstructed width of the dijet mass shape for signal. The uncertainty in the integrated luminosity is 6.2%, and is propagated to the normalization of the signal. Changes in the values of the parameters describing the background introduce a change in the signal strength, which is accounted for as a systematic uncertainty as discussed in the next paragraph.

The modified frequentist method [45,46] is utilized to set upper limits on signal cross sections, following the prescription described in Refs. [47,48]. We use a multi-bin counting experiment likelihood, which is a product of Poisson distributions corresponding to different bins. We evaluate the likelihood independently at each value of resonance pole mass from 0.6 to 1.6 TeV in 50-GeV steps in the low-mass search, and from 1.6 to 7.5 TeV in 100-GeV steps in the high-mass search. The systematic uncertainties are implemented as nuisance parameters in the likelihood model, with Gaussian constraints for the jet energy scale and resolution, and log-normal constraints for the integrated luminosity. The systematic uncertainty in the background is automatically evaluated via profiling, effectively refitting for the optimal values of the background parameters for each value of resonance cross section. This procedure gives the same limits as the Bayesian procedure used previously for dijet resonance searches at CMS [4]. For both the Bayesian and modified frequentist statistical procedures we find that the background systematic uncertainty has the largest effect on the limit. The extent to which the background uncertainty affects the limit depends significantly on the signal shape and the resonance mass, with the largest effect occurring for the gg resonances because they are wider, and the smallest effect for qq resonances. The effect decreases as the resonance mass increases. For example, considering two signals shown in Fig. 1: for a gg resonance at a mass of 0.75 TeV systematic uncertainties increase the limit by a factor of 3, and for a qq resonance at a mass of 6 TeV systematic uncertainties increase the limit by only 10%.

Signal injection tests were performed to investigate the potential bias introduced through the choice of background parameterization. Pseudo-data generated assuming an alternative parameterization, $d\sigma/dm_{jj} = \exp(\ln(P_0) + P_1 x^{P_2} + P_1(1-x)^{P_3})$, were fit with the nominal parameterization given in Eq. (1). The bias in the extracted signal was found to be negligible. We tried other functions but did not find any with four or fewer parameters that could fit our data.

Fig. 2 shows the model-independent observed upper limits at 95% CL on the product of the cross section (σ), the branching fraction (B), and the acceptance (A) for narrow resonances, with the kinematic requirements $|\Delta\eta_{jj}| < 1.3$ and $|\eta| < 2.5$. The acceptance of the minimum dijet mass requirement in each search has been evaluated separately for qq, qg, and gg resonances, and has been taken into account by correcting the limits, and therefore does not appear in the acceptance A . The corrections are independent of the spin and coupling of the narrow resonance at the one percent level. Fig. 2 also shows the expected limits on the cross section and their bands of uncertainty. The difference in the limits for qq,




Fig. 2. The observed 95% CL upper limits on the product of the cross section, branching fraction, and acceptance for quark–quark (top left), quark–gluon (top right), and gluon–gluon (bottom left) type dijet resonances. The corresponding expected limits (dashed) and their variations at the 1 and 2 standard deviation levels (shaded bands) are also shown. All observed limits (solid) are compared (bottom right). Limits are compared to predicted cross sections for string resonances [17,18], excited quarks [23,24], axigluons [20], colorons [22], scalar diquarks [19], color-octet scalars [25], new gauge bosons W' and Z' with SM-like couplings [26], dark matter mediators for $m_{DM} = 1$ GeV [27,28], and RS gravitons [29].

qg, and gg resonances at the same resonance mass originates from the difference in their lineshapes.

All upper limits presented can be compared to the parton-level predictions of $\sigma B A$, without detector simulation, to determine mass limits on new particles. The model predictions shown in Fig. 2 are calculated in the narrow-width approximation [14] using the CTEQ6L1 [49] PDF at leading order, with a next-to-leading order correction factor of approximately 1.3 included for the W' and Z' models, and approximately 1.2 for the axigluon/coloron models [21]. The branching fraction includes the direct decays of the resonance into the five light quarks and gluons only, excluding top quarks from the decay, although top quarks are included in the calculation of the resonance width. The acceptance is evaluated at the parton level for the resonance decay to two partons. In the case of isotropic decays, the acceptance is $A \approx 0.6$ and is independent of the resonance mass. For a given model, new particles are excluded at 95% CL in mass regions where the theoretical prediction lies at or above the observed upper limit for the appropriate final state of Fig. 2. For the RS graviton model, the decay fraction is 60% to quarks and 40% to gluons, and we obtain mass limits by compar-

Table 1

Observed and expected mass limits at 95% CL. The listed models are excluded between 0.6 TeV and the indicated mass. In addition to the observed mass limits listed below, this analysis also excludes a Z' in the mass interval between 2.3 and 2.6 TeV.

Model	Final state	Limit [TeV]	
		Obs.	Exp.
String	qg	7.4	7.4
Scalar diquark	qq	6.9	6.8
Axigluon/coloron	q \bar{q}	5.5	5.6
Excited quark	qg	5.4	5.4
Color-octet scalar ($k_s^2 = 1/2$)	gg	3.0	3.3
W'	q \bar{q}	2.7	3.1
Z'	q \bar{q}	2.1	2.3
DM mediator ($m_{DM} = 1$ GeV)	q \bar{q}	2.0	2.0
RS graviton	q \bar{q} , gg	1.9	1.8

ing the model cross section curve to the weighted average of the limits in the qq and gg final states. Mass limits on all benchmark models are summarized in Table 1 and are more stringent than the mass limits in the dijet channel previously published by CMS [2] and ATLAS [3].




Fig. 3. The 95% CL upper limits on the universal quark coupling g'_q as a function of resonance mass for a leptophobic Z' resonance that only couples to quarks. The observed limits (solid), expected limits (dashed) and their variation at the 1 and 2 standard deviation levels (shaded bands) are shown. Dotted horizontal lines show the coupling strength for which the cross section for dijet production in this model is the same as for a DM mediator (see text).

Mass limits on new particles are sensitive to assumptions about their coupling. Conversely, at a fixed resonance mass, models with smaller couplings are excluded by searches with increased sensitivity. Fig. 3 shows our upper limits on the coupling as a function of mass for a model of a leptophobic Z' resonance with a universal quark coupling, g'_q [27], related to the Z' coupling convention of Ref. [50] by $g'_q = g_B/6$.

5. Limits on dark matter

We use our limits to constrain simplified models of DM, with leptophobic vector and axial-vector mediators that couple only to quarks and DM particles [27,28]. Fig. 4 shows the excluded values of mediator mass as a function of m_{DM} for both types of mediators. For $m_{DM} = 1$ GeV, indistinguishable from zero, the excluded range of mediator mass (M_{Med}) is between 0.6 and 2.0 TeV, as also shown in Fig. 2 and listed in Table 1. An additional excluded range of $0.5 < M_{Med} < 0.6$ TeV, not shown, comes from the low-mass search at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [16]. In Fig. 4 the expected upper value of excluded M_{Med} increases with m_{DM} to as high as 2.65 TeV because the branching fraction to $q\bar{q}$ increases with m_{DM} . If $m_{DM} > M_{Med}/2$, the mediator cannot decay to DM particles, and the dijet cross section from the mediator models becomes identical to that in the leptophobic-phobic Z' model used in Fig. 3 with a coupling $g'_q = g_q = 0.25$. Therefore for these values of m_{DM} the limits on the mediator mass in Fig. 4 are identical to the limits on the Z' mass at $g'_q = 0.25$ in Fig. 3. Similarly, if $m_{DM} = 0$, the limits on the mediator mass in Fig. 4 are identical to the limits on the Z' mass at $g'_q = g_q/\sqrt{1 + 16/(3N_f)} \approx 0.182$ in Fig. 3, where N_f is the effective number of quark flavors contributing to the width of the resonance.

As outlined in detail in Ref. [27] these results can also be compared with results from direct detection experiments. The limits in Fig. 4 are first re-calculated at 90% CL, and then translated into the plane of the DM mass versus the DM-nucleon interaction cross section from the predicted relation between the interaction cross section and the mediator mass. An axial-vector mediator leads to a spin-dependent cross section, σ^{SD} , and a vector mediator leads to a spin-independent cross section, σ^{SI} . Fig. 5 shows the comparison of these results with dark matter searches by direct detec-




Fig. 4. The 95% CL observed (solid) and expected (dashed) excluded regions in the plane of dark matter mass vs. mediator mass, for an axial-vector mediator (top) and a vector mediator (bottom), are compared to constraints from the cosmological relic density of DM (light gray) determined from astrophysical measurements [51, 52] and MADDM version 2.0.6 [53,54] as described in Ref. [55]. Following the recommendation of the LHC DM working group [27,28], the exclusions are computed for Dirac DM and for a universal quark coupling $g_q = 0.25$ and for a DM coupling of $g_{DM} = 1.0$. It should also be noted that the excluded region strongly depends on the chosen coupling and model scenario. Therefore, the excluded regions and relic density contours shown in this plot are not applicable to other choices of coupling values or models.

tion [56–63]. The gap in the CMS excluded region in Fig. 5 corresponds to a structure with a statistical significance of one standard deviation seen at a mass of 2.2 TeV in Figs. 1–4. For our benchmark model the present search excludes a significantly smaller σ^{SD} than the direct detection experiments, and a competitive region of σ^{SI} . We note that the absolute exclusion of this search, as well as its relative importance with respect to other dark matter searches, strongly depends on the chosen coupling and model scenario. Nevertheless, this benchmark model, a vector or an axial-vector mediator with a universal quark coupling $g_q = 0.25$ and a DM coupling of $g_{DM} = 1.0$, illustrates that dijet searches can place significant bounds on relevant DM models and thus are important ingredients in the search for DM.

6. Summary

Two searches for narrow resonances decaying into a pair of jets have been performed using proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 12.9 fb^{-1} :




Fig. 5. Excluded regions at 90% CL in the plane of dark matter nucleon interaction cross section vs. dark matter mass. (top) The CMS exclusion of a spin-dependent cross section (shaded) from an axial-vector mediator decaying to dijets is compared with limits from the PICO experiments [56,57], IceCube [58], and Super-Kamiokande [59]. (bottom) The CMS exclusion of a spin-independent cross section (shaded) from a vector mediator decaying to dijets is compared with the LUX 2016 [60], PandaX-II 2016 [61], CDMSlite 2015 [62], and CRESST-II 2015 [63] limits, which have documented the most constraining results in the shown mass range. The CMS exclusions are for Dirac DM and couplings $g_q = 0.25$ and $g_{DM} = 1$, for leptophobic axial-vector and vector mediators, and they strongly depend on these choices and are not applicable to other choices of coupling values or models. The CMS limits do not include a constraint on the relic density.

a low-mass search based on calorimeter jets, reconstructed by the high level trigger and recorded in compact form (data scouting), and a high-mass search based on particle-flow jets. The dijet mass spectra are observed to be smoothly falling distributions. In the analyzed data samples, there is no evidence for resonant particle production. Generic upper limits are presented on the product of the cross section, the branching fraction, and the acceptance for narrow quark-quark, quark-gluon, and gluon-gluon resonances that are applicable to any model of narrow dijet resonance production. String resonances with masses below 7.4 TeV are excluded at 95% confidence level, as are scalar diquarks below 6.9 TeV, axigluons and colorons below 5.5 TeV, excited quarks below 5.4 TeV, color-octet scalars below 3.0 TeV, W' bosons below 2.7 TeV, Z' bosons

with SM-like couplings below 2.1 TeV and between 2.3 and 2.6 TeV, and Randall-Sundrum gravitons below 1.9 TeV. This extends previously published limits in the dijet channel. The first limits are set on a simplified model of dark matter mediators based on the dijet channel, excluding vector and axial-vector mediators below 2.0 TeV, and using a universal quark coupling $g_q = 0.25$ and a dark matter coupling $g_{DM} = 1.0$. Limits on the mass of a dark matter mediator are presented as a function of dark matter mass, and are translated into upper limits on the cross section for dark matter particles scattering on nucleons that are more sensitive than those of direct detection experiments for spin-dependent cross sections.

Acknowledgements

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMWFW and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MOST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC IUT, and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS, and RFBR (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI and CPAN (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR, and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU and SFFR (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and EPLANET (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A.P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the HOMING PLUS program of the Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced from European Union, Regional Development Fund, the Mobility Plus program of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education, the National Science Center (Poland), contracts Harmonia 2014/14/M/ST2/00428, Opus 2013/11/B/ST2/04202, 2014/13/B/ST2/02543 and 2014/15/B/ST2/03998, Sonata-bis 2012/07/E/ST2/01406; the Thalís and Aristeia programs cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; the Programa Clarín-COFUND del Principado de Asturias; the Rachadapisek Sompot Fund for Postdoctoral Fellowship, Chulalongkorn University and the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project (Thailand); and the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845.

References

- [1] M. Chala, F. Kahlhoefer, M. McCullough, G. Nardini, K. Schmidt-Hoberg, Constraining dark sectors with monojets and dijets, *J. High Energy Phys.* 07 (2015) 089, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07\(2015\)089](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2015)089), arXiv:1503.05916.
- [2] CMS Collaboration, Search for narrow resonances decaying to dijets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 116 (2016) 071801, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.071801>, arXiv:1512.01224.
- [3] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for new phenomena in dijet mass and angular distributions from pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Lett. B* 754 (2016) 302, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.01.032>, arXiv:1512.01530.
- [4] CMS Collaboration, Search for resonances and quantum black holes using dijet mass spectra in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* 91 (2015) 052009, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.052009>, arXiv:1501.04198.
- [5] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for new phenomena in the dijet mass distribution using pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Rev. D* 91 (2015) 052007, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.052007>, arXiv:1407.1376.
- [6] CMS Collaboration, Search for narrow resonances using the dijet mass spectrum in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* 87 (2013) 114015, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.114015>, arXiv:1302.4794.
- [7] CMS Collaboration, Search for narrow resonances and quantum black holes in inclusive and b-tagged dijet mass spectra from pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 01 (2013) 013, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01\(2013\)013](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2013)013), arXiv:1210.2387.
- [8] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for new physics in the dijet mass distribution using 1 fb^{-1} of pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV collected by the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Lett. B* 708 (2012) 37, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.01.035>, arXiv:1108.6311.
- [9] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS search for new phenomena in dijet mass and angular distributions using pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 01 (2013) 029, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01\(2013\)029](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2013)029), arXiv:1210.1718.
- [10] CMS Collaboration, Search for resonances in the dijet mass spectrum from 7 TeV pp collisions at CMS, *Phys. Lett. B* 704 (2011) 123, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.09.015>, arXiv:1107.4771.
- [11] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for new physics in dijet mass and angular distributions in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV measured with the ATLAS detector, *New J. Phys.* 13 (2011) 053044, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/5/053044>, arXiv:1103.3864.
- [12] CMS Collaboration, Search for dijet resonances in 7 TeV pp collisions at CMS, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 105 (2010) 211801, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.211801>, arXiv:1010.0203, Erratum: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.029902>.
- [13] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for new particles in two-jet final states in 7 TeV proton-proton collisions with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 105 (2010) 161801, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.161801>, arXiv:1008.2461.
- [14] R.M. Harris, K. Kousouris, Searches for dijet resonances at hadron colliders, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 26 (2011) 5005, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X11054905>, arXiv:1110.5302.
- [15] CMS Collaboration, Data parking and data scouting at the CMS experiment, CMS Detector Performance Summary CMS-DP-2012-022, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1480607>, 2012.
- [16] CMS Collaboration, Search for narrow resonances in dijet final states at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the novel CMS technique of data scouting, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 117 (2016) 031802, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.031802>, arXiv:1604.08907.
- [17] L.A. Anchordoqui, H. Goldberg, D. Lüst, S. Nawata, S. Stieberger, T.R. Taylor, Dijet signals for low mass strings at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 101 (2008) 241803, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.241803>, arXiv:0808.0497.
- [18] S. Cullen, M. Perelstein, M.E. Peskin, TeV strings and collider probes of large extra dimensions, *Phys. Rev. D* 62 (2000) 055012, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.055012>, arXiv:hep-ph/0001166.
- [19] J.L. Hewett, T.G. Rizzo, Low-energy phenomenology of superstring-inspired E(6) models, *Phys. Rep.* 183 (1989) 193, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573\(89\)90071-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(89)90071-9).
- [20] P.H. Frampton, S.L. Glashow, Chiral color: an alternative to the standard model, *Phys. Lett. B* 190 (1987) 157, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(87\)90859-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(87)90859-8).
- [21] R.S. Chivukula, E.H. Simmons, A. Farzinia, J. Ren, Hadron collider production of massive color-octet vector bosons at next-to-leading order, *Phys. Rev. D* 87 (2013) 094011, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.094011>, arXiv:1303.1120.
- [22] E.H. Simmons, Coloron phenomenology, *Phys. Rev. D* 55 (1997) 1678, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.55.1678>, arXiv:hep-ph/9608269.
- [23] U. Baur, I. Hinchliffe, D. Zeppenfeld, Excited quark production at hadron colliders, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 02 (1987) 1285, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X87000661>.
- [24] U. Baur, M. Spira, P.M. Zerwas, Excited quark and lepton production at hadron colliders, *Phys. Rev. D* 42 (1990) 815, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.42.815>.
- [25] T. Han, I. Lewis, Z. Liu, Colored resonant signals at the LHC: largest rate and simplest topology, *J. High Energy Phys.* 12 (2010) 085, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12\(2010\)085](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2010)085), arXiv:1010.4309.
- [26] E. Eichten, I. Hinchliffe, K.D. Lane, C. Quigg, Supercollider physics, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 56 (1984) 579, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.56.579>.
- [27] A. Boveia, O. Buchmüller, C. Doglioni, K. Hahn, U. Haisch, F. Kahlhoefer, M. Mangano, C. McCabe, T.M.P. Tait, Recommendations on presenting LHC searches for missing transverse energy signals using simplified s-channel models of dark matter, arXiv:1603.04156, 2016.
- [28] J. Abdallah, et al., Simplified models for dark matter searches at the LHC, *Phys. Dark Universe* 9–10 (2015) 8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dark.2015.08.001>, arXiv:1506.03116.
- [29] L. Randall, R. Sundrum, An alternative to compactification, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 83 (1999) 4690, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.4690>, arXiv:hep-th/9906064.
- [30] R.S. Chivukula, E.H. Simmons, N. Vignaroli, Distinguishing dijet resonances at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. D* 91 (2015) 055019, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.055019>, arXiv:1412.3094.
- [31] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, *J. Instrum.* 3 (2008) S08004, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004>.
- [32] CMS Collaboration, Particle-flow event reconstruction in CMS and performance for jets, taus, and E_{miss} , CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-09-001, <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1194487>, 2009.
- [33] CMS Collaboration, Commissioning of the particle-flow event reconstruction with the first LHC collisions recorded in the CMS detector, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-10-001, <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1247373>, 2010.
- [34] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, The anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm, *J. High Energy Phys.* 04 (2008) 063, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063>, arXiv:0802.1189.
- [35] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, Dispelling the N^3 myth for the k_t jet-finder, *Phys. Lett. B* 641 (2006) 57, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2006.08.037>, arXiv:hep-ph/0512210.
- [36] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, FastJet user manual, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 72 (2012) 1896, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1896-2>, arXiv:1111.6097.
- [37] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, Pileup subtraction using jet areas, *Phys. Lett. B* 659 (2008) 119, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.09.077>, arXiv:0707.1378.
- [38] CMS Collaboration, Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV, arXiv:1607.03663, 2016, submitted for publication to *J. Instrum.*
- [39] CMS Collaboration, Jet performance in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-JME-10-003, <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1279362>, 2010.
- [40] T. Aaltonen, et al., CDF, Search for new particles decaying into dijets in proton-antiproton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* 79 (2009) 112002, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.112002>, arXiv:0812.4036.
- [41] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, P. Skands, A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 178 (2008) 852, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2008.01.036>, arXiv:0710.3820.
- [42] CMS Collaboration, Event generator tunes obtained from underlying event and multiparton scattering measurements, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 76 (2016) 155, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-3988-x>, arXiv:1512.00815.
- [43] P. Skands, S. Carrazza, J. Rojo, Tuning PYTHIA 8.1: the Monash 2013 tune, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 74 (2014) 3024, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3024-y>, arXiv:1404.5630.
- [44] S. Agostinelli, et al., GEANT4, GEANT4 – a simulation toolkit, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* 506 (2003) 250, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(03\)01368-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8).
- [45] T. Junk, Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* 434 (1999) 435, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(99\)00498-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(99)00498-2), arXiv:hep-ex/9902006.
- [46] A.L. Read, Presentation of search results: the CL_s technique, *J. Phys. G* 28 (2002) 2693, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/28/10/313>.
- [47] LHC Higgs Combination Group, Procedure for the LHC Higgs Boson search Combination in Summer 2011, Technical Report CMS-NOTE-2011-005, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2011-11, 2011, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1379837>.
- [48] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, O. Vitells, Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 71 (2011) 1554, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1554-0>, arXiv:1007.1727, Erratum: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2501-z>.
- [49] J. Pumplin, D.R. Stump, J. Huston, H.-L. Lai, P. Nadolsky, W.-K. Tung, New generation of parton distributions with uncertainties from global QCD analysis, *J. High Energy Phys.* 07 (2002) 012, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2002/07/012>, arXiv:hep-ph/0201195.
- [50] B.A. Dobrescu, F. Yu, Coupling-mass mapping of dijet peak searches, *Phys. Rev. D* 88 (2013) 035021, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.035021>, arXiv:1306.2629, Erratum: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.079901>.
- [51] D.N. Spergel, et al., WMAP, Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) three year results: implications for cosmology, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* 170 (2007) 377, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/513700>, arXiv:astro-ph/0603449.

- [52] P.A.R. Ade, et al., Planck, Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters, *Astron. Astrophys.* 571 (2014) A16, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321591>, arXiv:1303.5076.
- [53] M. Backovic, K. Kong, M. McCaskey, MadDM v. 1.0: computation of dark matter relic abundance using MadGraph5, *Phys. Dark Universe* 5 (2014) 18, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dark.2014.04.001>, arXiv:1308.4955.
- [54] M. Backovic, A. Martini, O. Mattelaer, K. Kong, G. Mohlabeng, Direct detection of dark matter with MadDM v.2.0, *Phys. Dark Universe* 9 (2015) 37, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dark.2015.09.001>, arXiv:1505.04190.
- [55] T. du Pree, K. Hahn, P. Harris, C. Roskas, Cosmological constraints on Dark Matter models for collider searches, arXiv:1603.08525, 2016.
- [56] C. Amole, et al., PICO, Improved dark matter search results from PICO-2L Run 2, *Phys. Rev. D* 93 (2016) 061101, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.061101>, arXiv:1601.03729.
- [57] C. Amole, et al., PICO, Dark matter search results from the PICO-60 CF₃I bubble chamber, *Phys. Rev. D* 93 (2016) 052014, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.052014>, arXiv:1510.07754.
- [58] M.G. Aartsen, et al., IceCube, Improved limits on dark matter annihilation in the Sun with the 79-string IceCube detector and implications for supersymmetry, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* 04 (2016) 022, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2016/04/022>, arXiv:1601.00653.
- [59] K. Choi, et al., Super-Kamiokande, Search for neutrinos from annihilation of captured low-mass dark matter particles in the sun by Super-Kamiokande, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 114 (2015) 141301, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.141301>, arXiv:1503.04858.
- [60] D.S. Akerib, et al., LUX, Results from a search for dark matter in LUX with 332 live days of exposure, arXiv:1608.07648, 2016.
- [61] A. Tan, et al., PandaX-II, Dark matter results from first 98.7 days of data from the PandaX-II experiment, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 117 (2016) 121303, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.121303>, arXiv:1607.07400.
- [62] R. Agnese, et al., SuperCDMS, New results from the search for low-mass weakly interacting massive particles with the CDMS low ionization threshold experiment, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 106 (2016) 071301, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.071301>, arXiv:1509.02448.
- [63] G. Angloher, et al., CRESST, Results on light dark matter particles with a low-threshold CRESST-II detector, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 76 (2016) 25, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-3877-3>, arXiv:1509.01515.

The CMS Collaboration

A.M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

W. Adam, E. Ailar, T. Bergauer, J. Brandstetter, E. Brondolin, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, M. Flechl, M. Friedl, R. Frühwirth¹, V.M. Ghete, C. Hartl, N. Hörmann, J. Hrubec, M. Jeitler¹, A. König, I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, T. Matsushita, I. Mikulec, D. Rabady, N. Rad, B. Rahbaran, H. Rohringer, J. Schieck¹, J. Strauss, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz¹

Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Wien, Austria

O. Dvornikov, V. Makarenko, V. Mossolov, J. Suarez Gonzalez, V. Zykunov

Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus

N. Shumeiko

National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus

S. Alderweireldt, E.A. De Wolf, X. Janssen, J. Lauwers, M. Van De Klundert, H. Van Haevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, N. Van Remortel, A. Van Spilbeeck

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

S. Abu Zeid, F. Blekman, J. D'Hondt, N. Daci, I. De Bruyn, K. Deroover, S. Lowette, S. Moortgat, L. Moreels, A. Olbrechts, Q. Python, K. Skovpen, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders, I. Van Parijs

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

H. Brun, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, H. Delannoy, G. Fasanella, L. Favart, R. Goldouzian, A. Grebenyuk, G. Karapostoli, T. Lenzi, A. Léonard, J. Luetic, T. Maerschalk, A. Marinov, A. Randle-conde, T. Seva, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, D. Vannerom, R. Yonamine, F. Zenoni, F. Zhang²

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

A. Cimmino, T. Cornelis, D. Dobur, A. Fagot, M. Gul, I. Khvastunov, D. Poyraz, S. Salva, R. Schöfbeck, M. Tytgat, W. Van Driessche, E. Yazgan, N. Zaganidis

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

H. Bakhshiansohi, C. Beluffi³, O. Bondu, S. Brochet, G. Bruno, A. Caudron, S. De Visscher, C. Delaere, M. Delcourt, B. Francois, A. Giammanco, A. Jafari, M. Komm, G. Krintiras, V. Lemaître, A. Magitteri, A. Mertens, M. Musich, K. Piotrkowski, L. Quertenmont, M. Selvaggi, M. Vidal Marono, S. Wertz

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

1 N. Belyi

2 *Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium*

3
4 W.L. Aldá Júnior, F.L. Alves, G.A. Alves, L. Brito, C. Hensel, A. Moraes, M.E. Pol, P. Rebello Teles

5
6 *Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*

7
8 E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas, W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato⁴, A. Custódio, E.M. Da Costa, G.G. Da Silveira⁵,
9 D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, L.M. Huertas Guativa, H. Malbouisson,
10 D. Matos Figueiredo, C. Mora Herrera, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, A. Santoro,
11 A. Sznajder, E.J. Tonelli Manganote⁴, A. Vilela Pereira

12
13 *Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*

14
15 S. Ahuja^a, C.A. Bernardes^a, S. Dogra^a, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei^a, E.M. Gregores^b, P.G. Mercadante^b,
16 C.S. Moon^a, S.F. Novaes^a, Sandra S. Padula^a, D. Romero Abad^b, J.C. Ruiz Vargas^a

17
18 ^a *Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil*

19
20 ^b *Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil*

21
22 A. Aleksandrov, R. Hadjiiska, P. Iaydjiev, M. Rodozov, S. Stoykova, G. Sultanov, M. Vutova

23
24 *Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria*

25
26 A. Dimitrov, I. Glushkov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

27
28 *University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria*

29
30 W. Fang⁶

31
32 *Beihang University, Beijing, China*

33
34 M. Ahmad, J.G. Bian, G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, M. Chen, Y. Chen⁷, T. Cheng, C.H. Jiang, D. Leggat, Z. Liu,
35 F. Romeo, M. Ruan, S.M. Shaheen, A. Spiezia, J. Tao, C. Wang, Z. Wang, H. Zhang, J. Zhao

36
37 *Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China*

38
39 Y. Ban, G. Chen, Q. Li, S. Liu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, Z. Xu

40
41 *State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China*

42
43 C. Avila, A. Cabrera, L.F. Chaparro Sierra, C. Florez, J.P. Gomez, C.F. González Hernández, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez,
44 J.C. Sanabria

45
46 *Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia*

47
48 N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, I. Puljak, P.M. Ribeiro Cipriano, T. Sculac

49
50 *University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia*

51
52 Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

53
54 *University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia*

55
56 V. Brigljevic, D. Ferencek, K. Kadija, B. Mesic, T. Susa

57
58 *Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia*

59
60 A. Attikis, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis, H. Rykaczewski, D. Tsiakkouri

61
62 *University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus*

63
64 M. Finger⁸, M. Finger Jr.⁸

65
Charles University, Prague, Czechia

1 E. Carrera Jarrin 66

2 *Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador* 67

3 68
4 A. Ellithi Kamel⁹, M.A. Mahmoud^{10,11}, A. Radi^{11,12} 69

5 70
6 *Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt* 71

7 72
8 M. Kadastik, L. Perrini, M. Raidal, A. Tiko, C. Veelken 73

9 74
10 *National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia* 75

11 76
12 P. Eerola, J. Pekkanen, M. Voutilainen 77

13 78
14 *Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland* 79

15 80
16 J. Härkönen, T. Järvinen, V. Karimäki, R. Kinnunen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, 81
17 P. Luukka, J. Tuominiemi, E. Tuovinen, L. Wendland 82

18 83
19 *Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland* 84

20 85
21 J. Talvitie, T. Tuuva 86

22 87
23 *Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland* 88

24 89
25 M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, C. Favaro, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, 90
26 S. Ghosh, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, I. Kucher, E. Locci, M. Mached, 91
27 J. Malcles, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M. Titov 92

28 93
29 *IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France* 94

30 95
31 A. Abdulsalam, I. Antropov, S. Baffioni, F. Beaudette, P. Busson, L. Cadamuro, E. Chapon, C. Charlot, 96
32 O. Davignon, R. Granier de Cassagnac, M. Jo, S. Lisniak, P. Miné, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, G. Ortona, 97
33 P. Paganini, P. Pigard, S. Regnard, R. Salerno, Y. Sirois, T. Strebler, Y. Yilmaz, A. Zabi, A. Zghiche 98

34 99
35 *Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France* 100

36 101
37 J.-L. Agram¹³, J. Andrea, A. Aubin, D. Bloch, J.-M. Brom, M. Buttignol, E.C. Chabert, N. Chanon, C. Collard, 102
38 E. Conte¹³, X. Coubez, J.-C. Fontaine¹³, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, A.-C. Le Bihan, P. Van Hove 103

39 104
40 *Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France* 105

41 106
42 S. Gadrat 107

43 108
44 *Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France* 109

45 110
46 S. Beauceron, C. Bernet, G. Boudoul, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, R. Chierici, D. Contardo, B. Courbon, 111
47 P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fan, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, G. Grenier, B. Ille, F. Lagarde, 112
48 I.B. Laktineh, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, A.L. Pequegnot, S. Perries, A. Popov¹⁴, D. Sabes, V. Sordini, 113
49 M. Vander Donckt, P. Verdier, S. Viret 114

50 115
51 *Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France* 116

52 117
53 T. Toriashvili¹⁵ 118

54 119
55 *Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia* 120

56 121
57 Z. Tsamalaidze⁸ 122

58 123
59 *Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia* 124

60 125
61 C. Autermann, S. Beranek, L. Feld, M.K. Kiesel, K. Klein, M. Lipinski, M. Preuten, C. Schomakers, J. Schulz, 126
62 T. Verlage 127

63 128
64 *RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany* 129

65 130

1 A. Albert, M. Brodski, E. Dietz-Laursonn, D. Duchardt, M. Endres, M. Erdmann, S. Erdweg, T. Esch, 66
 2 R. Fischer, A. Güth, M. Hamer, T. Hebbeker, C. Heidemann, K. Hoepfner, S. Knutzen, M. Merschmeyer, 67
 3 A. Meyer, P. Millet, S. Mukherjee, M. Olschewski, K. Padeken, T. Pook, M. Radziej, H. Reithler, M. Rieger, 68
 4 F. Scheuch, L. Sonnenschein, D. Teysier, S. Thüer 69

5
 6 RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany 71
 7 72

8 V. Cherepanov, G. Flügge, B. Kargoll, T. Kress, A. Künsken, J. Lingemann, T. Müller, A. Nehrorn, 73
 9 A. Nowack, C. Pistone, O. Pooth, A. Stahl ¹⁶ 74
 10 75

11 RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany 76
 12 77

13 M. Aldaya Martin, T. Arndt, C. Asawatangtrakuldee, K. Beernaert, O. Behnke, U. Behrens, A.A. Bin Anuar, 78
 14 K. Borras ¹⁷, A. Campbell, P. Connor, C. Contreras-Campana, F. Costanza, C. Diez Pardos, G. Dolinska, 79
 15 G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, T. Eichhorn, E. Eren, E. Gallo ¹⁸, J. Garay Garcia, A. Geiser, A. Gizhko, 80
 16 J.M. Grados Luyando, A. Grohsjean, P. Gunnellini, A. Harb, J. Hauk, M. Hempel ¹⁹, H. Jung, 81
 17 A. Kalogeropoulos, O. Karacheban ¹⁹, M. Kasemann, J. Keaveney, C. Kleinwort, I. Korol, D. Krücker, 82
 18 W. Lange, A. Lelek, T. Lenz, J. Leonard, K. Lipka, A. Lobanov, W. Lohmann ¹⁹, R. Mankel, 83
 19 I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, G. Mittag, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, E. Ntomari, D. Pitzl, R. Placakyte, 84
 20 A. Raspereza, B. Roland, M.Ö. Sahin, P. Saxena, T. Schoerner-Sadenius, C. Seitz, S. Spannagel, N. Stefaniuk, 85
 21 G.P. Van Onsem, R. Walsh, C. Wissing 86
 22 87
 23 88
 24 89

25 Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany 90
 26 91

27 V. Blobel, M. Centis Vignali, A.R. Draeger, T. Dreyer, E. Garutti, D. Gonzalez, J. Haller, M. Hoffmann, 92
 28 A. Junkes, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, N. Kovalchuk, T. Lapsien, I. Marchesini, D. Marconi, M. Meyer, 93
 29 M. Niedziela, D. Nowatschin, F. Pantaleo ¹⁶, T. Peiffer, A. Perieanu, J. Poehlsen, C. Sander, C. Scharf, 94
 30 P. Schleper, A. Schmidt, S. Schumann, J. Schwandt, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, F.M. Stober, M. Stöver, 95
 31 H. Tholen, D. Troendle, E. Usai, L. Vanelderden, A. Vanhoefer, B. Vormwald 96
 32 97
 33 98

34 University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany 99
 35 100

36 M. Akbiyik, C. Barth, S. Baur, C. Baus, J. Berger, E. Butz, R. Caspart, T. Chwalek, F. Colombo, W. De Boer, 101
 37 A. Dierlamm, S. Fink, B. Freund, R. Friese, M. Giffels, A. Gilbert, P. Goldenzweig, D. Haitz, F. Hartmann ¹⁶, 102
 38 S.M. Heindl, U. Husemann, I. Katkov ¹⁴, S. Kudella, H. Mildner, M.U. Mozer, Th. Müller, M. Plagge, 103
 39 G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, S. Röcker, F. Roscher, M. Schröder, I. Shvetsov, G. Sieber, H.J. Simonis, R. Ulrich, 104
 40 S. Wayand, M. Weber, T. Weiler, S. Williamson, C. Wöhrmann, R. Wolf 105
 41 106
 42 107

43 Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany 108
 44 109

45 G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis, T. Gerasis, V.A. Giakoumopoulou, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, I. Topsis-Giotis 110
 46 111
 47 112

48 Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece 113
 49 114

50 S. Kesisoglou, A. Panagiotou, N. Saoulidou, E. Tziaferi 115
 51 116

52 National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece 117
 53 118

54 I. Evangelou, G. Flouris, C. Foudas, P. Kokkas, N. Loukas, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, E. Paradis 119
 55 120

56 University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece 121
 57 122

58 N. Filipovic, G. Pasztor 123
 59 124

60 MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary 125
 61 126

62 G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, D. Horvath ²⁰, F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi ²¹, A.J. Zsigmond 127
 63 128
 64 129
 65 130

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

1 N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Karancsi²², A. Makovec, J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi

2 *Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary*

3 M. Bartók²¹, P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

4 *Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Hungary*

5 S. Bahinipati²³, S. Bhowmik²⁴, S. Choudhury²⁵, P. Mal, K. Mandal, A. Nayak²⁶, D.K. Sahoo²³, N. Sahoo,
6 S.K. Swain

7 *National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India*

8 S. Bansal, S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, R. Chawla, U. Bhawandeep, A.K. Kalsi, A. Kaur, M. Kaur, R. Kumar,
9 P. Kumari, A. Mehta, M. Mittal, J.B. Singh, G. Walia

10 *Panjab University, Chandigarh, India*

11 Ashok Kumar, A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, R.B. Garg, S. Keshri, S. Malhotra, M. Naimuddin, N. Nishu,
12 K. Ranjan, R. Sharma, V. Sharma

13 *University of Delhi, Delhi, India*

14 R. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharya, K. Chatterjee, S. Dey, S. Dutt, S. Dutta, S. Ghosh, N. Majumdar,
15 A. Modak, K. Mondal, S. Mukhopadhyay, S. Nandan, A. Purohit, A. Roy, D. Roy, S. Roy Chowdhury,
16 S. Sarkar, M. Sharan, S. Thakur

17 *Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India*

18 P.K. Behera

19 *Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India*

20 R. Chudasama, D. Dutta, V. Jha, V. Kumar, A.K. Mohanty¹⁶, P.K. Netrakanti, L.M. Pant, P. Shukla, A. Topkar

21 *Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India*

22 T. Aziz, S. Dugad, G. Kole, B. Mahakud, S. Mitra, G.B. Mohanty, B. Parida, N. Sur, B. Sutar

23 *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India*

24 S. Banerjee, R.K. Dewanjee, S. Ganguly, M. Guchait, Sa. Jain, S. Kumar, M. Maity²⁴, G. Majumder,
25 K. Mazumdar, T. Sarkar²⁴, N. Wickramage²⁷

26 *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India*

27 S. Chauhan, S. Dube, V. Hegde, A. Kapoor, K. Kothekar, S. Pandey, A. Rane, S. Sharma

28 *Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India*

29 S. Chenarani²⁸, E. Eskandari Tadavani, S.M. Etesami²⁸, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi,
30 M. Naseri, S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi²⁹, F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi, B. Safarzadeh³⁰, M. Zeinali

31 *Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran*

32 M. Felcini, M. Grunewald

33 *University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland*

34 M. Abbrescia^{a,b}, C. Calabria^{a,b}, C. Caputo^{a,b}, A. Colaleo^a, D. Creanza^{a,c}, L. Cristella^{a,b}, N. De Filippis^{a,c},
35 M. De Palma^{a,b}, L. Fiore^a, G. Iaselli^{a,c}, G. Maggi^{a,c}, M. Maggi^a, G. Miniello^{a,b}, S. My^{a,b}, S. Nuzzo^{a,b},
36 A. Pompili^{a,b}, G. Pugliese^{a,c}, R. Radogna^{a,b}, A. Ranieri^a, G. Selvaggi^{a,b}, A. Sharma^a, L. Silvestris^{a,16},
37 R. Venditti^{a,b}, P. Verwilligen^a

38 ^a INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy

39 ^b Università di Bari, Bari, Italy

40 ^c Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy

1 G. Abbiendi^a, C. Battilana, D. Bonacorsi^{a,b}, S. Braibant-Giacomelli^{a,b}, L. Brigliadori^{a,b}, R. Campanini^{a,b}, 66
 2 P. Capiluppi^{a,b}, A. Castro^{a,b}, F.R. Cavallo^a, S.S. Chhibra^{a,b}, G. Codispoti^{a,b}, M. Cuffiani^{a,b}, 67
 3 G.M. Dallavalle^a, F. Fabbri^a, A. Fanfani^{a,b}, D. Fasanella^{a,b}, P. Giacomelli^a, C. Grandi^a, L. Guiducci^{a,b}, 68
 4 S. Marcellini^a, G. Masetti^a, A. Montanari^a, F.L. Navarria^{a,b}, A. Perrotta^a, A.M. Rossi^{a,b}, T. Rovelli^{a,b}, 69
 5 G.P. Siroli^{a,b}, N. Tosi^{a,b,16} 70
 6

7 ^a INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy 72

8 ^b Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy 73

9
 10 S. Albergo^{a,b}, S. Costa^{a,b}, A. Di Mattia^a, F. Giordano^{a,b}, R. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Tricomi^{a,b}, C. Tuve^{a,b} 74
 11

12 ^a INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy 75

13 ^b Università di Catania, Catania, Italy 76

14
 15 G. Barbagli^a, V. Ciulli^{a,b}, C. Civinini^a, R. D'Alessandro^{a,b}, E. Focardi^{a,b}, P. Lenzi^{a,b}, M. Meschini^a, 77
 16 S. Paoletti^a, L. Russo^{a,31}, G. Sguazzoni^a, D. Strom^a, L. Viliani^{a,b,16} 78
 17

18 ^a INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy 79

19 ^b Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy 80

20
 21 L. Benussi, S. Bianco, F. Fabbri, D. Piccolo, F. Primavera¹⁶ 81
 22

23 INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy 82

24
 25 V. Calvelli^{a,b}, F. Ferro^a, M.R. Monge^{a,b}, E. Robutti^a, S. Tosi^{a,b} 83
 26

27 ^a INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy 84

28 ^b Università di Genova, Genova, Italy 85

29
 30 L. Brianza^{a,b,16}, F. Brivio^{a,b}, V. Ciriolo, M.E. Dinardo^{a,b}, S. Fiorendi^{a,b,16}, S. Gennai^a, A. Ghezzi^{a,b}, 86
 31 P. Govoni^{a,b}, M. Malberti^{a,b}, S. Malvezzi^a, R.A. Manzoni^{a,b}, D. Menasce^a, L. Moroni^a, M. Paganoni^{a,b}, 87
 32 D. Pedrini^a, S. Pigazzini^{a,b}, S. Ragazzi^{a,b}, T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b} 88
 33

34 ^a INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy 89

35 ^b Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy 90

36
 37 S. Buontempo^a, N. Cavallo^{a,c}, G. De Nardo, S. Di Guida^{a,d,16}, M. Esposito^{a,b}, F. Fabozzi^{a,c}, F. Fienga^{a,b}, 91
 38 A.O.M. Iorio^{a,b}, G. Lanza^a, L. Lista^a, S. Meola^{a,d,16}, P. Paolucci^{a,16}, C. Sciacca^{a,b}, F. Thyssen^a 92
 39

40 ^a INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy 93

41 ^b Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy 94

42 ^c Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy 95

43 ^d Università G. Marconi, Roma, Italy 96

44
 45 P. Azzi^{a,16}, N. Bacchetta^a, L. Benato^{a,b}, D. Bisello^{a,b}, A. Boletti^{a,b}, M. Dall'Osso^{a,b}, 97
 46 P. De Castro Manzano^a, T. Dorigo^a, U. Dosselli^a, F. Gasparini^{a,b}, U. Gasparini^{a,b}, A. Gozzelino^a, 98
 47 S. Lacaprara^a, M. Margoni^{a,b}, A.T. Meneguzzo^{a,b}, M. Passaseo^a, J. Pazzini^{a,b}, N. Pozzobon^{a,b}, 99
 48 P. Ronchese^{a,b}, M. Sgaravatto^a, F. Simonetto^{a,b}, E. Torassa^a, S. Ventura^a, M. Zanetti^{a,b}, P. Zotto^{a,b}, 100
 49 G. Zumerle^{a,b} 101
 50

51 ^a INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy 102

52 ^b Università di Padova, Padova, Italy 103

53 ^c Università di Trento, Trento, Italy 104

54
 55 A. Braghieri^a, F. Fallavollita^{a,b}, A. Magnani^{a,b}, P. Montagna^{a,b}, S.P. Ratti^{a,b}, V. Re^a, C. Riccardi^{a,b}, 105
 56 P. Salvini^a, I. Vai^{a,b}, P. Vitulo^{a,b} 106
 57

58 ^a INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy 107

59 ^b Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy 108

60
 61 L. Alunni Solestizi^{a,b}, G.M. Bilei^a, D. Ciangottini^{a,b}, L. Fanò^{a,b}, P. Lariccia^{a,b}, R. Leonardi^{a,b}, 109
 62 G. Mantovani^{a,b}, M. Menichelli^a, A. Saha^a, A. Santocchia^{a,b} 110
 63

64 ^a INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy 111

65 ^b Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy 112

1 K. Androso^{a,31}, P. Azzurri^{a,16}, G. Bagliesi^a, J. Bernardini^a, T. Boccali^a, R. Castaldi^a, M.A. Ciocci^{a,31}, 66
 2 R. Dell'Orso^a, S. Donato^{a,c}, G. Fedi, A. Giassi^a, M.T. Grippo^{a,31}, F. Ligabue^{a,c}, T. Lomtadze^a, L. Martini^{a,b}, 67
 3 A. Messineo^{a,b}, F. Palla^a, A. Rizzi^{a,b}, A. Savoy-Navarro^{a,32}, P. Spagnolo^a, R. Tenchini^a, G. Tonelli^{a,b}, 68
 4 A. Venturi^a, P.G. Verdini^a 69
 5

6 ^a INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy 71

7 ^b Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy 72

8 ^c Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy 73

9
 10 L. Barone^{a,b}, F. Cavallari^a, M. Cipriani^{a,b}, D. Del Re^{a,b,16}, M. Diemoz^a, S. Gelli^{a,b}, E. Longo^{a,b}, 74
 11 F. Margaroli^{a,b}, B. Marzocchi^{a,b}, P. Meridiani^a, G. Organtini^{a,b}, R. Paramatti^a, F. Preiato^{a,b}, 75
 12 S. Rahatlou^{a,b}, C. Rovelli^a, F. Santanastasio^{a,b} 76
 13

14 ^a INFN Sezione di Roma, Roma, Italy 79

15 ^b Università di Roma, Roma, Italy 80

16
 17 N. Amapane^{a,b}, R. Arcidiacono^{a,c,16}, S. Argiro^{a,b}, M. Arneodo^{a,c}, N. Bartosik^a, R. Bellan^{a,b}, C. Biino^a, 82
 18 N. Cartiglia^a, F. Cenna^{a,b}, M. Costa^{a,b}, R. Covarelli^{a,b}, A. Degano^{a,b}, N. Demaria^a, L. Finco^{a,b}, B. Kiani^{a,b}, 83
 19 C. Mariotti^a, S. Maselli^a, E. Migliore^{a,b}, V. Monaco^{a,b}, E. Monteil^{a,b}, M. Monteno^a, M.M. Obertino^{a,b}, 84
 20 L. Pacher^{a,b}, N. Pastrone^a, M. Pelliccioni^a, G.L. Pinna Angioni^{a,b}, F. Ravera^{a,b}, A. Romero^{a,b}, M. Ruspa^{a,c}, 85
 21 R. Sacchi^{a,b}, K. Shchelina^{a,b}, V. Sola^a, A. Solano^{a,b}, A. Staiano^a, P. Traczyk^{a,b} 86
 22
 23

24 ^a INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy 89

25 ^b Università di Torino, Torino, Italy 90

26 ^c Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy 91

27
 28 S. Belforte^a, M. Casarsa^a, F. Cossutti^a, G. Della Ricca^{a,b}, A. Zanetti^a 92
 29

30 ^a INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy 94

31 ^b Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy 95

32
 33 D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, M.S. Kim, S. Lee, S.W. Lee, Y.D. Oh, S. Sekmen, D.C. Son, Y.C. Yang 97
 34

35 *Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Republic of Korea* 99

36
 37 A. Lee 101

38 *Chonbuk National University, Jeonju, Republic of Korea* 102

39
 40 H. Kim 104

41 *Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Republic of Korea* 105

42
 43 J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, T.J. Kim 107
 44

45 *Hanyang University, Seoul, Republic of Korea* 110

46
 47 S. Cho, S. Choi, Y. Go, D. Gyun, S. Ha, B. Hong, Y. Jo, Y. Kim, K. Lee, K.S. Lee, S. Lee, J. Lim, S.K. Park, Y. Roh 112
 48

49 *Korea University, Seoul, Republic of Korea* 114

50
 51 J. Almond, J. Kim, H. Lee, S.B. Oh, B.C. Radburn-Smith, S.h. Seo, U.K. Yang, H.D. Yoo, G.B. Yu 115
 52

53 *Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea* 116

54
 55 M. Choi, H. Kim, J.H. Kim, J.S.H. Lee, I.C. Park, G. Ryu, M.S. Ryu 117
 56

57 *University of Seoul, Seoul, Republic of Korea* 120

58
 59 Y. Choi, J. Goh, C. Hwang, J. Lee, I. Yu 121
 60

61 *Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Republic of Korea* 122

62
 63 V. Dudenias, A. Juodagalvis, J. Vaitkus 123
 64

65 *Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania* 124

1 I. Ahmed, Z.A. Ibrahim, J.R. Komaragiri, M.A.B. Md Ali³³, F. Mohamad Idris³⁴, W.A.T. Wan Abdullah,
2 M.N. Yusli, Z. Zolkapli

3
4 *National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*

5
6 H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-De La Cruz³⁵, A. Hernandez-Almada,
7 R. Lopez-Fernandez, R. Magaña Villalba, J. Mejia Guisao, A. Sanchez-Hernandez

8
9 *Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico*

10 S. Carrillo Moreno, C. Oropeza Barrera, F. Vazquez Valencia

11
12 *Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico*

13 S. Carpinteyro, I. Pedraza, H.A. Salazar Ibarquen, C. Uribe Estrada

14
15 *Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico*

16 A. Morelos Pineda

17
18 *Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico*

19 D. Krofcheck

20
21 *University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand*

22 P.H. Butler

23
24 *University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand*

25 A. Ahmad, M. Ahmad, Q. Hassan, H.R. Hoorani, W.A. Khan, A. Saddique, M.A. Shah, M. Shoaib, M. Waqas

26
27 *National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan*

28 H. Bialkowska, M. Bluj, B. Boimska, T. Frueboes, M. Górski, M. Kazana, K. Nawrocki,
29 K. Romanowska-Rybinska, M. Szeleper, P. Zalewski

30
31 *National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland*

32 K. Bunkowski, A. Byszuk³⁶, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Misiura,
33 M. Olszewski, M. Walczak

34
35 *Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland*

36 P. Bargassa, C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva, B. Calpas, A. Di Francesco, P. Faccioli, P.G. Ferreira Parracho,
37 M. Gallinaro, J. Hollar, N. Leonardo, L. Lloret Iglesias, M.V. Nemallapudi, J. Rodrigues Antunes, J. Seixas,
38 O. Toldaiev, D. Vadrucio, J. Varela, P. Vischia

39
40 *Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal*

41 V. Alexakhin, P. Bunin, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavin, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov,
42 V. Matveev^{37,38}, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, M. Savina, S. Shmatov, S. Shulha, N. Skatchkov, V. Smirnov,
43 N. Voytishin, A. Zarubin

44
45 *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia*

46 L. Chtchipounov, V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim³⁹, E. Kuznetsova⁴⁰, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, V. Sulimov,
47 A. Vorobyev

48
49 *Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia*

50 Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, A. Karneyeu, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov,
51 A. Pashenkov, D. Tlisov, A. Toropin

52
53 *Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia*

V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilov, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Popov, I. Pozdnyakov, G. Safronov, A. Spiridonov, M. Toms, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia

A. Bylinkin³⁸

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Russia

M. Chadeeva⁴¹, M. Danilov⁴¹, V. Rusinov

National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin³⁸, I. Dremin³⁸, M. Kirakosyan, A. Leonidov³⁸, A. Terkulov

P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia

A. Baskakov, A. Belyaev, E. Boos, V. Bunichev, M. Dubinin⁴², L. Dudko, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova, I. Lokhtin, I. Miagkov, S. Obraztsov, V. Savrin, A. Snigirev

Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

V. Blinov⁴³, Y. Skovpen⁴³, D. Shtol⁴³

Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia

I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, D. Elumakhov, V. Kachanov, A. Kalinin, D. Konstantinov, V. Krychkin, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, A. Sobol, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia

P. Adzic⁴⁴, P. Cirkovic, D. Devetak, M. Dordevic, J. Milosevic, V. Rekovic

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia

J. Alcaraz Maestre, M. Barrio Luna, E. Calvo, M. Cerrada, M. Chamizo Llatas, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, A. Escalante Del Valle, C. Fernandez Bedoya, J.P. Fernández Ramos, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, P. Garcia-Abia, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, E. Navarro De Martino, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo, J. Puerta Pelayo, A. Quintario Olmeda, I. Redondo, L. Romero, M.S. Soares

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

J.F. de Trocóniz, M. Missiroli, D. Moran

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

J. Cuevas, J. Fernandez Menendez, I. Gonzalez Caballero, J.R. González Fernández, E. Palencia Cortezon, S. Sanchez Cruz, I. Suárez Andrés, J.M. Vizan Garcia

Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain

I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, E. Curras, M. Fernandez, J. Garcia-Ferrero, G. Gomez, A. Lopez Virto, J. Marco, C. Martinez Rivero, F. Matorras, J. Piedra Gomez, T. Rodrigo, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Scodellaro, N. Trevisani, I. Vila, R. Vilar Cortabitarte

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

D. Abbaneo, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, M. Bachtis, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, P. Bloch, A. Bocci, C. Botta, T. Camporesi, R. Castello, M. Cepeda, G. Cerminara, Y. Chen, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, V. Daponte, A. David, M. De Gruttola, A. De Roeck, E. Di Marco⁴⁵, M. Dobson, B. Dorney, T. du Pree, D. Duggan, M. Dünser, N. Dupont, A. Elliott-Peisert, P. Everaerts, S. Fartoukh, G. Franzoni, J. Fulcher, W. Funk, D. Gigi, K. Gill, M. Girone, F. Glege, D. Gulhan, S. Gundacker, M. Guthoff, P. Harris, J. Hegeman, V. Innocente, P. Janot, J. Kieseler, H. Kirschenmann, V. Knünz, A. Kornmayer¹⁶, M.J. Kortelainen, K. Kousouris,

1 M. Krammer¹, C. Lange, P. Lecoq, C. Lourenço, M.T. Lucchini, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, A. Martelli, 66
 2 F. Meijers, J.A. Merlin, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, P. Milenovic⁴⁶, F. Moortgat, S. Morovic, M. Mulders, 67
 3 H. Neugebauer, S. Orfanelli, L. Orsini, L. Pape, E. Perez, M. Peruzzi, A. Petrilli, G. Petrucciani, A. Pfeiffer, 68
 4 M. Pierini, A. Racz, T. Reis, G. Rolandi⁴⁷, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, J.B. Sauvan, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, 69
 5 M. Seidel, A. Sharma, P. Silva, P. Sphicas⁴⁸, J. Steggemann, M. Stoye, Y. Takahashi, M. Tosi, D. Treille, 70
 6 A. Triossi, A. Tsirou, V. Veckalns⁴⁹, G.I. Veres²¹, M. Verweij, N. Wardle, H.K. Wöhri, A. Zagozdinska³⁶, 71
 7 W.D. Zeuner 72
 8
 9

10 CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland 74
 11 75

12 W. Bertl, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, 77
 13 T. Rohe 78
 14

15 Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland 79
 16 80

17 F. Bachmair, L. Bäni, L. Bianchini, B. Casal, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, C. Grab, C. Heidegger, 82
 18 D. Hits, J. Hoss, G. Kasieczka, W. Lustermann, B. Mangano, M. Marionneau, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, 83
 19 M. Masciovecchio, M.T. Meinhard, D. Meister, F. Micheli, P. Musella, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pandolfi, J. Pata, 84
 20 F. Pauss, G. Perrin, L. Perrozzi, M. Quittnat, M. Rossini, M. Schönenberger, A. Starodumov⁵⁰, 85
 21 V.R. Tavolaro, K. Theofilatos, R. Wallny 86
 22
 23

24 Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland 88
 25 89

26 T.K. Aarrestad, C. Amsler⁵¹, L. Caminada, M.F. Canelli, A. De Cosa, C. Galloni, A. Hinzmann, T. Hreus, 91
 27 B. Kilminster, J. Ngadiuba, D. Pinna, G. Rauco, P. Robmann, D. Salerno, Y. Yang, A. Zucchetta 92
 28 93

29 Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland 94
 30 95

31 V. Candelise, T.H. Doan, Sh. Jain, R. Khurana, M. Konyushikhin, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, A. Pozdnyakov, S.S. Yu 96
 32 97

33 National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan 98
 34 99

35 Arun Kumar, P. Chang, Y.H. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, P.H. Chen, F. Fiori, W.-S. Hou, Y. Hsiung, Y.F. Liu, 100
 36 R.-S. Lu, M. Miñano Moya, E. Paganis, A. Psallidas, J.f. Tsai 101
 37 102

38 National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan 103
 39 104

40 B. Asavapibhop, G. Singh, N. Srimanobhas, N. Suwonjandee 105
 41 106

42 Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand 107
 43 108

44 A. Adiguzel, S. Cerci⁵², S. Damarseckin, Z.S. Demiroglu, C. Dozen, I. Dumanoglu, S. Girgis, G. Gokbulut, 109
 45 Y. Guler, I. Hos⁵³, E.E. Kangal⁵⁴, O. Kara, A. Kayis Topaksu, U. Kiminsu, M. Oglakci, G. Onengut⁵⁵, 110
 46 K. Ozdemir⁵⁶, D. Sunar Cerci⁵², H. Topakli⁵⁷, S. Turkcapar, I.S. Zorbakir, C. Zorbilmez 111
 47 112

48 Cukurova University – Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Turkey 113
 49 114

50 B. Bilin, S. Bilmis, B. Isildak⁵⁸, G. Karapinar⁵⁹, M. Yalvac, M. Zeyrek 115
 51 116

52 Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey 117
 53 118

54 E. Gülmez, M. Kaya⁶⁰, O. Kaya⁶¹, E.A. Yetkin⁶², T. Yetkin⁶³ 119
 55 120

56 Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey 121
 57 122

58 A. Cakir, K. Cankocak, S. Sen⁶⁴ 123
 59 124

60 Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey 125
 61 126

62 B. Grynyov 127
 63 128

64 Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine 129
 65 130

1 L. Levchuk, P. Sorokin

2 *National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine*

3
4 R. Aggleton, F. Ball, L. Beck, J.J. Brooke, D. Burns, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, J. Goldstein,
5 M. Grimes, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, J. Jacob, L. Kreczko, C. Lucas, D.M. Newbold⁶⁵, S. Paramesvaran,
6 A. Poll, T. Sakuma, S. Seif El Nasr-storey, D. Smith, V.J. Smith

7
8
9 *University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom*

10 K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev⁶⁶, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, L. Calligaris, D. Cieri, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan,
11 K. Harder, S. Harper, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, A. Thea, I.R. Tomalin, T. Williams

12
13
14 *Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom*

15
16 M. Baber, R. Bainbridge, O. Buchmuller, A. Bundock, D. Burton, S. Casasso, M. Citron, D. Colling, L. Corpe,
17 P. Dauncey, G. Davies, A. De Wit, M. Della Negra, R. Di Maria, P. Dunne, A. Elwood, D. Futyan, Y. Haddad,
18 G. Hall, G. Iles, T. James, R. Lane, C. Laner, R. Lucas⁶⁵, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, S. Malik, L. Mastrolorenzo,
19 J. Nash, A. Nikitenko⁵⁰, J. Pela, B. Penning, M. Pesaresi, D.M. Raymond, A. Richards, A. Rose, E. Scott,
20 C. Seez, S. Summers, A. Tapper, K. Uchida, M. Vazquez Acosta⁶⁷, T. Virdee¹⁶, J. Wright, S.C. Zenz

21
22
23 *Imperial College, London, United Kingdom*

24 J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, I.D. Reid, P. Symonds, L. Teodorescu, M. Turner

25
26
27 *Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom*

28 A. Borzou, K. Call, J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, H. Liu, N. Pastika

29
30
31 *Baylor University, Waco, USA*

32 R. Bartek, A. Dominguez

33
34
35 *Catholic University of America, USA*

36 S.I. Cooper, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio, C. West

37
38
39 *The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA*

40 D. Arcaro, A. Avetisyan, T. Bose, D. Gastler, D. Rankin, C. Richardson, J. Rohlf, L. Sulak, D. Zou

41
42
43 *Boston University, Boston, USA*

44 G. Benelli, D. Cutts, A. Garabedian, J. Hakala, U. Heintz, J.M. Hogan, O. Jesus, K.H.M. Kwok, E. Laird,
45 G. Landsberg, Z. Mao, M. Narain, S. Piperov, S. Sagir, E. Spencer, R. Syarif

46
47
48 *Brown University, Providence, USA*

49 R. Breedon, D. Burns, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, S. Chauhan, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway,
50 P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, C. Flores, G. Funk, M. Gardner, W. Ko, R. Lander, C. Mclean, M. Mulhearn, D. Pellett,
51 J. Pilot, S. Shalhout, M. Shi, J. Smith, M. Squires, D. Stolp, K. Tos, M. Tripathi

52
53
54 *University of California, Davis, Davis, USA*

55 C. Bravo, R. Cousins, A. Dasgupta, A. Florent, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, N. Mccoll, D. Saltzberg,
56 C. Schnaible, V. Valuev, M. Weber

57
58
59 *University of California, Los Angeles, USA*

60 E. Bouvier, K. Burt, R. Clare, J. Ellison, J.W. Gary, S.M.A. Ghiasi Shirazi, G. Hanson, J. Heilman, P. Jandir,
61 E. Kennedy, F. Lacroix, O.R. Long, M. Olmedo Negrete, M.I. Paneva, A. Shrinivas, W. Si, H. Wei,
62 S. Wimpenny, B.R. Yates

63
64
65 *University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA*

1 J.G. Branson, G.B. Cerati, S. Cittolin, M. Derdzinski, R. Gerosa, A. Holzner, D. Klein, V. Krutelyov, J. Letts, 66
 2 I. Macneill, D. Olivito, S. Padhi, M. Pieri, M. Sani, V. Sharma, S. Simon, M. Tadel, A. Vartak, 67
 3 S. Wasserbaech⁶⁸, C. Welke, J. Wood, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, G. Zevi Della Porta 68
 4 69

5 *University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA* 70
 6 71

7 N. Amin, R. Bhandari, J. Bradmiller-Feld, C. Campagnari, A. Dishaw, V. Dutta, M. Franco Sevilla, C. George, 72
 8 F. Golf, L. Gouskos, J. Gran, R. Heller, J. Incandela, S.D. Mullin, A. Ovcharova, H. Qu, J. Richman, D. Stuart, 73
 9 I. Suarez, J. Yoo 74
 10 75

11 *University of California, Santa Barbara – Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, USA* 76
 12 77

13 D. Anderson, J. Bendavid, A. Bornheim, J. Bunn, J. Duarte, J.M. Lawhorn, A. Mott, H.B. Newman, C. Pena, 78
 14 M. Spiropulu, J.R. Vlimant, S. Xie, R.Y. Zhu 79
 15 80

16 *California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA* 81
 17 82

18 M.B. Andrews, T. Ferguson, M. Paulini, J. Russ, M. Sun, H. Vogel, I. Vorobiev, M. Weinberg 83
 19 84

20 *Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA* 85
 21 86

22 J.P. Cumalat, W.T. Ford, F. Jensen, A. Johnson, M. Krohn, T. Mulholland, K. Stenson, S.R. Wagner 87
 23 88

24 *University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, USA* 89
 25 90

26 J. Alexander, J. Chaves, J. Chu, S. Dittmer, K. Mcdermott, N. Mirman, G. Nicolas Kaufman, J.R. Patterson, 91
 27 A. Rinkevicius, A. Ryd, L. Skinnari, L. Soffi, S.M. Tan, Z. Tao, J. Thom, J. Tucker, P. Wittich, M. Zientek 92
 28 93

29 *Cornell University, Ithaca, USA* 94
 30 95

31 D. Winn 96
 32 97

33 *Fairfield University, Fairfield, USA* 98
 34 99

35 S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, G. Apollinari, A. Apresyan, S. Banerjee, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, J. Berryhill, 100
 36 P.C. Bhat, G. Bolla, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, S. Cihangir[†], M. Cremonesi, 101
 37 V.D. Elvira, I. Fisk, J. Freeman, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, S. Grünendahl, O. Gutsche, D. Hare, 102
 38 R.M. Harris, S. Hasegawa, J. Hirschauer, Z. Hu, B. Jayatilaka, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, B. Klima, 103
 39 B. Kreis, S. Lammel, J. Linacre, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, T. Liu, R. Lopes De Sá, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, 104
 40 N. Magini, J.M. Marraffino, S. Maruyama, D. Mason, P. McBride, P. Merkel, S. Mrenna, S. Nahn, V. O'Dell, 105
 41 K. Pedro, O. Prokofyev, G. Rakness, L. Ristori, E. Sexton-Kennedy, A. Soha, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, 106
 42 S. Stoynev, N. Strobbe, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, C. Vernieri, 107
 43 M. Verzocchi, R. Vidal, M. Wang, H.A. Weber, A. Whitbeck, Y. Wu 108
 44 109
 45 110

46 *Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA* 111
 47 112

48 D. Acosta, P. Avery, P. Bortignon, D. Bourilkov, A. Brinkerhoff, A. Carnes, M. Carver, D. Curry, S. Das, 113
 49 R.D. Field, I.K. Furic, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, J.F. Low, P. Ma, K. Matchev, H. Mei, G. Mitselmakher, 114
 50 D. Rank, L. Shchutska, D. Sperka, L. Thomas, J. Wang, S. Wang, J. Yelton 115
 51 116

52 *University of Florida, Gainesville, USA* 117
 53 118

54 S. Linn, P. Markowitz, G. Martinez, J.L. Rodriguez 119
 55 120

56 *Florida International University, Miami, USA* 121
 57 122

58 A. Ackert, T. Adams, A. Askew, S. Bein, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson, H. Prosper, A. Santra, 123
 59 R. Yohay 124
 60 125

61 *Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA* 126
 62 127

63 M.M. Baarmand, V. Bhopatkar, S. Colafranceschi, M. Hohlmann, D. Noonan, T. Roy, F. Yumiceva 128
 64 129

65 *Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA* 130

1 M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, D. Berry, R.R. Betts, I. Bucinskaite, R. Cavanaugh, O. Evdokimov, L. Gauthier, 66
2 C.E. Gerber, D.J. Hofman, K. Jung, I.D. Sandoval Gonzalez, N. Varelas, H. Wang, Z. Wu, M. Zakaria, J. Zhang 67
3 68

4 *University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA* 69

5 70
6 B. Bilki⁶⁹, W. Clarida, K. Dilsiz, S. Durgut, R.P. Gandrajula, M. Haytmyradov, V. Khristenko, J.-P. Merlo, 71
7 H. Mermerkaya⁷⁰, A. Mestvirishvili, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, H. Ogul, Y. Onel, F. Ozok⁷¹, A. Penzo, 72
8 C. Snyder, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel, K. Yi 73

9 74
10 *The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA* 75

11 76
12 I. Anderson, B. Blumenfeld, A. Cocoros, N. Eminizer, D. Fehling, L. Feng, A.V. Gritsan, P. Maksimovic, 77
13 M. Osherson, J. Roskes, U. Sarica, M. Swartz, M. Xiao, Y. Xin, C. You 78

14 79
15 *Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA* 80

16 81
17 A. Al-bataineh, P. Baringer, A. Bean, S. Boren, J. Bowen, J. Castle, L. Forthomme, R.P. Kenny III, S. Khalil, 82
18 A. Kropivnitskaya, D. Majumder, W. Mcbrayer, M. Murray, S. Sanders, R. Stringer, J.D. Tapia Takaki, 83
19 Q. Wang 84
20 85

21 *The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA* 86
22 87

23 88
24 A. Ivanov, K. Kaadze, Y. Maravin, A. Mohammadi, L.K. Saini, N. Skhirtladze, S. Toda 89

25 *Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA* 90
26 91

27 F. Rebassoo, D. Wright 92
28 93

29 *Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA* 94
30 95

31 96
32 C. Anelli, A. Baden, O. Baron, A. Belloni, B. Calvert, S.C. Eno, C. Ferraioli, J.A. Gomez, N.J. Hadley, 97
33 S. Jabeen, R.G. Kellogg, T. Kolberg, J. Kunkle, Y. Lu, A.C. Mignerey, F. Ricci-Tam, Y.H. Shin, A. Skuja, 98
34 M.B. Tonjes, S.C. Tonwar 99

35 *University of Maryland, College Park, USA* 100
36 101

37 102
38 D. Abercrombie, B. Allen, A. Apyan, V. Azzolini, R. Barbieri, A. Baty, R. Bi, K. Bierwagen, S. Brandt, 103
39 W. Busza, I.A. Cali, M. D'Alfonso, Z. Demiragli, L. Di Matteo, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, D. Hsu, 104
40 Y. Iiyama, G.M. Innocenti, M. Klute, D. Kovalskyi, K. Krajczar, Y.S. Lai, Y.-J. Lee, A. Levin, P.D. Luckey, 105
41 B. Maier, A.C. Marini, C. Mcginn, C. Mironov, S. Narayanan, X. Niu, C. Paus, C. Roland, G. Roland, 106
42 J. Salfeld-Nebgen, G.S.F. Stephans, K. Tatar, M. Varma, D. Velicanu, J. Veverka, J. Wang, T.W. Wang, 107
43 B. Wyslouch, M. Yang 108
44 109

45 *Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA* 110
46 111

47 112
48 A.C. Benvenuti, R.M. Chatterjee, A. Evans, P. Hansen, S. Kalafut, S.C. Kao, Y. Kubota, Z. Lesko, J. Mans, 113
49 S. Nourbakhsh, N. Ruckstuhl, R. Rusack, N. Tambe, J. Turkewitz 114

50 *University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA* 115
51 116

52 J.G. Acosta, S. Oliveros 117
53 118

54 *University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA* 119
55 120

56 121
57 E. Avdeeva, K. Bloom, D.R. Claes, C. Fangmeier, R. Gonzalez Suarez, R. Kamalieddin, I. Kravchenko, 122
58 A. Malta Rodrigues, F. Meier, J. Monroy, J.E. Siado, G.R. Snow, B. Stieger 123

59 *University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA* 124
60 125

61 126
62 M. Alyari, J. Dolen, A. Godshalk, C. Harrington, I. Iashvili, J. Kaisen, D. Nguyen, A. Parker, S. Rappoccio, 127
63 B. Roobahani 128

64 *State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA* 129
65 130

1 G. Alverson, E. Barberis, A. Hortiangtham, A. Massironi, D.M. Morse, D. Nash, T. Orimoto,
2 R. Teixeira De Lima, D. Trocino, R.-J. Wang, D. Wood

3
4 *Northeastern University, Boston, USA*

5
6 S. Bhattacharya, O. Charaf, K.A. Hahn, A. Kumar, N. Mucia, N. Odell, B. Pollack, M.H. Schmitt, K. Sung,
7 M. Trovato, M. Velasco

8
9 *Northwestern University, Evanston, USA*

10
11 N. Dev, M. Hildreth, K. Hurtado Anampa, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, N. Kellams, K. Lannon, N. Marinelli,
12 F. Meng, C. Mueller, Y. Musienko³⁷, M. Planer, A. Reinsvold, R. Ruchti, G. Smith, S. Taroni, M. Wayne,
13 M. Wolf, A. Woodard

14
15 *University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA*

16
17 J. Alimena, L. Antonelli, B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, S. Flowers, B. Francis, A. Hart, C. Hill, R. Hughes, W. Ji,
18 B. Liu, W. Luo, D. Puigh, B.L. Winer, H.W. Wulsin

19
20 *The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA*

21
22 S. Cooperstein, O. Driga, P. Elmer, J. Hardenbrook, P. Hebda, D. Lange, J. Luo, D. Marlow, T. Medvedeva,
23 K. Mei, I. Ojalvo, J. Olsen, C. Palmer, P. Piroué, D. Stickland, A. Svyatkovskiy, C. Tully

24
25 *Princeton University, Princeton, USA*

26
27 S. Malik

28
29 *University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA*

30
31 A. Barker, V.E. Barnes, S. Folgueras, L. Gutay, M.K. Jha, M. Jones, A.W. Jung, A. Khatiwada, D.H. Miller,
32 N. Neumeister, J.F. Schulte, X. Shi, J. Sun, F. Wang, W. Xie

33
34 *Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA*

35
36 N. Parashar, J. Stupak

37
38 *Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, USA*

39
40 A. Adair, B. Akgun, Z. Chen, K.M. Ecklund, F.J.M. Geurts, M. Guilbaud, W. Li, B. Michlin, M. Northup,
41 B.P. Padley, J. Roberts, J. Rorie, Z. Tu, J. Zabel

42
43 *Rice University, Houston, USA*

44
45 B. Betchart, A. Bodek, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, Y.t. Duh, T. Ferbel, M. Galanti, A. Garcia-Bellido, J. Han,
46 O. Hindrichs, A. Khukhunaishvili, K.H. Lo, P. Tan, M. Verzetti

47
48 *University of Rochester, Rochester, USA*

49
50 A. Agapitos, J.P. Chou, Y. Gershtein, T.A. Gómez Espinosa, E. Halkiadakis, M. Heindl, E. Hughes, S. Kaplan,
51 R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli, S. Kyriacou, A. Lath, K. Nash, H. Saka, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, D. Sheffield,
52 S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas, P. Thomassen, M. Walker

53
54 *Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA*

55
56 A.G. Delannoy, M. Foerster, J. Heideman, G. Riley, K. Rose, S. Spanier, K. Thapa

57
58 *University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA*

59
60 O. Bouhali⁷², A. Celik, M. Dalchenko, M. De Mattia, A. Delgado, S. Dildick, R. Eusebi, J. Gilmore, T. Huang,
61 E. Juska, T. Kamon⁷³, R. Mueller, Y. Pakhotin, R. Patel, A. Perloff, L. Perniè, D. Rathjens, A. Safonov,
62 A. Tatarinov, K.A. Ulmer

63
64 *Texas A&M University, College Station, USA*

65

1 N. Akchurin, C. Cowden, J. Damgov, F. De Guio, C. Dragoiu, P.R. Dundero, J. Faulkner, E. Gурpinar, 66
 2 S. Kunori, K. Lamichhane, S.W. Lee, T. Libeiro, T. Peltola, S. Undleeb, I. Volobouev, Z. Wang 67

3 *Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA* 68
 4 69

5 S. Greene, A. Gurrola, R. Janjam, W. Johns, C. Maguire, A. Melo, H. Ni, P. Sheldon, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska, 70
 6 Q. Xu 71
 7 72

8 *Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA* 73
 9 74
 10 75

11 M.W. Arenton, P. Barria, B. Cox, J. Goodell, R. Hirsosky, A. Ledovskoy, H. Li, C. Neu, T. Sinthuprasith, 76
 12 X. Sun, Y. Wang, E. Wolfe, F. Xia 77
 13 78

14 *University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA* 79
 15 80

16 C. Clarke, R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, J. Sturdy 81
 17 82

18 *Wayne State University, Detroit, USA* 83
 19 84

20 D.A. Belknap, J. Buchanan, C. Caillol, S. Dasu, L. Dodd, S. Duric, B. Gomber, M. Grothe, M. Herndon, 85
 21 A. Hervé, P. Klabbbers, A. Lanaro, A. Levine, K. Long, R. Loveless, T. Perry, G.A. Pierro, G. Polese, T. Ruggles, 86
 22 A. Savin, N. Smith, W.H. Smith, D. Taylor, N. Woods 87
 23 88

24 *University of Wisconsin – Madison, Madison, WI, USA* 89
 25 90
 26 91
 27 92

† Deceased.

1 Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria. 93

2 Also at State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China. 94

3 Also at Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France. 95

4 Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil. 96

5 Also at Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil. 97

6 Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium. 98

7 Also at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany. 99

8 Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia. 100

9 Now at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt. 101

10 Also at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt. 102

11 Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt. 103

12 Now at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt. 104

13 Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France. 105

14 Also at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia. 106

15 Also at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia. 107

16 Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland. 108

17 Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany. 109

18 Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany. 110

19 Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany. 111

20 Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary. 112

21 Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary. 113

22 Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary. 114

23 Also at Indian Institute of Technology Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India. 115

24 Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India. 116

25 Also at Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhopal, India. 117

26 Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India. 118

27 Also at University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka. 119

28 Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran. 120

29 Also at Yazd University, Yazd, Iran. 121

30 Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran. 122

31 Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy. 123

32 Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA. 124

33 Also at International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. 125

34 Also at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia. 126

35 Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico city, Mexico. 127

36 Also at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland. 128

37 Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia. 129

38 Now at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia. 130

39 Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia. 131

40 Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, USA. 132

41 Also at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia. 133

1	42	Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA.	66
2	43	Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia.	67
3	44	Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.	68
4	45	Also at INFN Sezione di Roma; Università di Roma, Roma, Italy.	69
5	46	Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia.	70
6	47	Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy.	71
7	48	Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.	72
8	49	Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia.	73
9	50	Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia.	74
10	51	Also at Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Bern, Switzerland.	75
11	52	Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.	76
12	53	Also at Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul, Turkey.	77
13	54	Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey.	78
14	55	Also at Cag University, Mersin, Turkey.	79
15	56	Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey.	80
16	57	Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey.	81
17	58	Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey.	82
18	59	Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey.	83
19	60	Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.	84
20	61	Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.	85
21	62	Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey.	86
22	63	Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey.	87
23	64	Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.	88
24	65	Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom.	89
25	66	Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.	90
26	67	Also at Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, La Laguna, Spain.	91
27	68	Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA.	92
28	69	Also at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA.	93
29	70	Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey.	94
30	71	Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey.	95
31	72	Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.	96
32	73	Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea.	97
33			98
34			99
35			100
36			101
37			102
38			103
39			104
40			105
41			106
42			107
43			108
44			109
45			110
46			111
47			112
48			113
49			114
50			115
51			116
52			117
53			118
54			119
55			120
56			121
57			122
58			123
59			124
60			125
61			126
62			127
63			128
64			129
65			130